INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT
BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA AND
THE GUIDIVILLE BAND OF POMO INDIANS

This Agreement (“Agreement”) is entered into this 10th day of November, 2009, between the County of Contra Costa, a political subdivision of the State of California (“County”), and the Guidiville Band of Pomo Indians, a federally recognized Indian Tribe that is seeking a reservation within the geographical boundaries of the County of Contra Costa, State of California (“Tribe”). The County and the Tribe shall be collectively referred to as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

A. The Board of Supervisors of the County of Contra Costa and the Tribal Council of the Guidiville Band of Pomo Indians, a sovereign governmental entity, recognize that each is responsible for the welfare of its people and residents.

B. The Tribe has requested that the Bureau of Indian Affairs take into trust approximately 266 acres of the former Naval Fuel Depot Point Molate, on which the Tribe and its developer, Upstream Point Molate LLC, propose to construct new facilities and improve existing facilities to develop a mixed-use tribal destination resort and casino (“Pt. Molate Project”). The proposed Pt. Molate Project site is located in the City of Richmond (“City”), in Contra Costa County, California, north of the San Rafael Bridge along the shoreline of San Francisco Bay. The site is approximately one mile north of Interstate 580, with direct freeway access through Western Drive, a City-owned roadway to the site. An approximately 1,200-foot pier that extends into the San Francisco Bay from the central point of the site will be used as a ferry terminal for workers and visitors to the site.

C. The project site consists of lands formerly and presently owned by the U.S. Navy. The Navy ceased operations on the site on September 30, 1995, and in September 2003 transferred approximately 85 percent of the property to the City pursuant to the Base Realignment and Closure (“BRAC”) process and the City’s 1997 Point Molate Reuse Plan. The Navy prepared an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) before the transferring of ownership of the project site. The remaining 15 percent of land will be transferred to the City under an agreement between the City and the Navy that provides for the completion of petroleum and other hazardous materials remediation. The final Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer (“FOSET”) and Early Transfer Cooperative Agreement (“ETCA”) were executed on September 8, 2008. The City has entered into a land option and sales contract (“Land Disposition Agreement” or “LDA”) with Upstream Point Molate LLC to be assigned to the Tribe by Upstream Point Molate LLC if the land is to be placed in trust with the United States for the benefit of the Tribe at the time of the LDA closing. If the land is not placed in trust by the United States by the time of the LDA closing, Winehaven Partners LLC may acquire the land at the LDA closing for subsequent placement in trust by the United States prior to development of the Pt. Molate project.

D. The Bureau of Indian Affairs (“BIA”) and the City (“the Lead Agencies”) caused to be prepared a draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (“EIS/EIR”) for environmental review of the Pt. Molate Project in accordance with the requirements set forth in the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (42 USC 4321 et seq.);
the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations for Implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508); the BIA’s NEPA handbook (59 IAM 3); the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA); and CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 14) and City of Richmond’s guidelines and procedures for implementation of CEQA (Resolution No. 125-03). The Draft EIS/EIR was published on July 10, 2009.

E. The cooperating agencies to the EIS/EIR are the United States Environmental Protection Agency, the County, and the Tribe (collectively the “Cooperating Agencies”). Public notice was provided pursuant to CEQA and NEPA. After reviewing and addressing all comments to the draft EIS/EIR, the “Final EIS/EIR” will be issued. The Parties, as will be described in greater detail herein, have agreed that the County will inform the State that any tribal-state gaming compact with the Governor of California (the “Compact”) requirement for mitigation of off-reservation impacts is satisfied by the issuance of the Final EIS/EIR and that the County will not request, any additional process required by the Compact because such process is not necessary. The Parties agree that no other environmental review document will be produced by the Tribe, the City, or County regarding the Pt. Molate Project after the certification of the Final EIS/EIR, unless a new EIR is required by the City due to material changes to the proposed project over time as defined in the LDA.

F. As part of the LDA, the Tribe is required by the City to enter into a Municipal Services Agreement (MSA) with the Tribe outlining terms for the provision of public services including police, fire, sewer, water, and establishing annual payments to the City in lieu of taxes. Required mitigation measures to mitigate all feasible off-reservation impacts caused by the Pt. Molate Project identified in the Final EIS/EIR will be incorporated into the MSA as a Mitigation, Monitoring, and Reporting Plan (“Mitigation Plan”). The Tribe will comply with all aspects of the MSA and Mitigation Plan and compliance with such Mitigation Plan will be enforceable by the City.


H. IGRA makes Class III gaming activities lawful on the lands of federally recognized Indian tribes only if such activities are, among other things, conducted in conformity with a tribal-state compact entered into between the Indian tribe and the state and approved by the Secretary of the Interior.

I. The Tribe anticipates entering into a Compact with the Governor of the State of California to permit the Tribe to conduct Class III gaming activities on its trust lands in compliance with IGRA.

J. The development program for the Pt. Molate project is unique for tribal projects in Northern California in that it includes an array of economic, governmental, cultural, and environmental facilities in the design, and an array of community programs linked to and supported by the project to extend social and community benefits to the citizens of Richmond and Contra Costa County. The Pt. Molate Project includes construction of a destination resort
that, upon full build out, will include up to two hotels totaling approximately 1,075 rooms, 170,000 square feet of business, conference and entertainment facilities, a 124,000 square foot casino gaming floor, 54 luxury accommodation cottages and casitas, a 300,000 square foot retail shopping center, public plazas, pedestrian/bicycle trails, shoreline parks, a tribal park, tribal government offices and cultural facilities, restoration of 34 of the historic buildings located on-site, up to 340 residential units of multi-family housing, and extensive linkages to enhance existing transportation networks including construction of an on-site ferry terminal. The project has employed leading-edge environmental sustainability features in its design, has included an array of educational features throughout the project, and included local employment, job training, and community partnerships that exceed the current standards typically used in development projects in Contra Costa County.

K. Because the Pt. Molate project grew out of a Navy base reuse project, the local and community involvement for this project has been considerable, and is unique for tribal projects in California. There have been extensive local public hearings on the project since 2004, numerous opportunities for community input and involvement, and exhaustive discussion around the scope and layout of the project, potential impacts and benefits, and mitigations and opportunities to enhance the project’s surroundings. During the past 5 years, the City of Richmond has held numerous noticed public hearings and considered extensive public input during its selection of the developer for the Pt Molate site, its ratification of the LDA including the project conceptual plan, its negotiation of the MSA, and its initiation and scoping of environmental review in accordance with CEQA with the City as local lead agency. The City has reaffirmed these decisions several times through successive City Councils and City Managers.

L. It is anticipated that the Compact may require the Tribe to negotiate with the County and enter into an agreement with the County to mitigate off-reservation impacts of the Pt. Molate Project (hereinafter “Intergovernmental Agreement”). The Parties agree that this Agreement satisfies the anticipated requirements in the Compact for such an Intergovernmental Agreement with the County. The Parties agree to submit this Agreement without amendment to the State as satisfaction of such anticipated Compact requirement. In the event this Agreement is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be insufficient for compliance with the Compact, the Parties agree to make only those minimum changes necessary to bring this Agreement into compliance with the Compact. The Parties agree that entering into this Agreement prior to the negotiation and approval of the Compact and any court decision is mutually beneficial.

M. The Parties agree that the MSA and the Mitigation Plan, except for those circumstances to be addressed by this Agreement, will provide sufficient mitigation of all off-reservation impacts of the Pt. Molate Project to the County and City. The off-reservation impacts of the Pt. Molate Project, including impacts to public safety, fire fighters and first responders, health, and human services, traffic and other County services, that are County-specific and not covered by the City MSA and Mitigation Plan, are addressed through this Agreement. The County agrees that this Agreement, together with the MSA and Mitigation Plan, adequately mitigates all impacts of the Pt. Molate Project requiring mitigation pursuant to the NEPA, CEQA, and the anticipated Compact. Accordingly, the County and Tribe agree that irrespective of whether a Compact requires the preparation of a Tribal Environmental Impact Report (“TEIR”) or any other mitigation of off-reservation impacts for the Pt. Molate Project, the
County hereby agrees that it will not seek mitigation or compensation beyond what is provided within this Agreement and those with the City of Richmond.

N. The County recognizes that once the United States takes into trust the 266 acres of the former Naval Fuel Depot Point Molate lands, then all such lands, although within the geographical boundaries of Contra Costa County, will be subject to Guidiville Band of Pomo Indians’ tribal and applicable federal laws and regulatory authority because such lands will be the Tribe’s reservation pursuant to a reservation proclamation by the United States. The Tribe recognizes that non-trust lands not located within the boundaries of the Guidiville reservation to be located in Contra Costa County are subject to State law and County and City regulatory authority as applicable.

O. The County and the Tribe recognize that residents of the Tribe’s reservation and the residents of Contra Costa County are neighbors with many common concerns and responsibilities over safety, development, and the character of life in Contra Costa County. The County views the Pt. Molate Project as unique, and the Parties understand that the County does not view this Agreement as setting a precedent for the expansion of casino gaming into other areas of the County.

P. The Tribe and the County jointly desire to develop a long term, government-to-government relationship based on mutual respect and in consideration of their respective jurisdiction, laws, regulations, and duties to their citizens.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby agree that this Agreement is to satisfy the requirements of NEPA, CEQA, and the anticipated Compact and sets forth (1) the Tribe’s obligations in providing feasible “Mitigation Measures” for any “Significant Effect on the Off-Reservation Environment” (as those terms are commonly used in recent gaming compacts, including gaming compacts from 2004 and 2007) attributable to the Pt. Molate Project; (2) the provisions relating to compensation for law enforcement, fire fighters and first responders, health, and human services, fire protection, emergency medical services and any other public services to be provided by the County to the Tribe as a consequence of the Pt. Molate Project; (3) provisions providing for reasonable compensation by the Tribe for programs designed to address gambling addiction; (4) provisions providing for mitigation of any effect to the County specifically on public safety attributable to the Pt. Molate Project; and (5) a continued strengthening of the government-to-government relationship between the County and the Tribe; and (6) the Parties agree that amounts to be paid by the Tribe to the County under this Agreement should be credited against any amounts that may be owed to the State of California under the anticipated Compact.

A. MITIGATION MEASURES ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT

In preparing this Agreement and in relation to the contents of the EIS/EIR, the Tribe and the County met over a period of time, including meetings between technical staff, to analyze and discuss the impacts of the Pt. Molate Project on the off-reservation environment and the community generally in Contra Costa County. The Parties agree that implementation of the following mitigation measures, combined with the MSA and Mitigation Plan, completely address the off-reservation impacts of the Pt. Molate Project and as such satisfy any requirements for mitigation of such impacts in the anticipated Compact.
The date that public use commences for the new casino gaming facilities constructed in the Pt. Molate Project (the “Casino”) shall be referred to as the “Pt. Molate Project Start Date.” To allow construction phasing and a smooth opening of public facilities, new tribal governmental buildings, cultural centers, parking structures, tribal housing, and other non-entertainment facilities constructed in the Pt. Molate Project may be used prior to the Pt. Molate Project Start Date.

For payments from Tribe to County under this Agreement that are to be paid annually:

1. **First Quarterly Payment Due Date.** One fourth of the annual payment amount shall be due on the last day of the calendar quarter in which the Pt. Molate Project Start Date occurs, and the amount shall be prorated if the Pt. Molate Project Start Date occurs on any day other than the first day of that quarter.

2. **Subsequent Quarterly Payments.** After the end of the first calendar quarter in which the Pt. Molate Project Start Date occurs, subsequent quarterly payments for a given quarter shall be due on the 15th of the second month of the quarter (February 15th, May 15th, August 15th, and November 15th).

3. **Consumer Price Index Adjustments.** After each anniversary of the “First Quarterly Payment Due Date,” quarterly payments for each subsequent year shall be adjusted commensurate with the previous 12 month change in the Consumer Price Index (not seasonally adjusted) for All Urban Consumers in the “San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose,” area, published by the U.S. Department of Labor (CPI), provided however, that in no event shall the annual increase in the adjustment exceed four percent (4%) per annum, except if the County certifies that its’ average per-employee total compensation costs (including consideration of all benefits for health, retirement, COLAs, step increases and other items that consist of total compensation) exceed 4%, in which case the annual increase in the adjustment shall be allowed up to the lesser of the CPI adjustment that is greater than 4% or such total compensation increase in County employee costs.

3. **Final Payment.** The final payment shall be prorated to reflect the number of days in the final calendar quarter of casino operation.

4. **Change in Payment Date.** The Parties to this Agreement may choose to jointly agree to change from quarterly payments to annual payments or any other agreeable schedule. Such agreement shall be in the form of a written amendment to this Agreement.

5. **Consolidation of Payments.** For payments to County that are due on the same date, Tribe may consolidate those payments into a single payment that equals the total due.
1. **Aesthetics**

   Regarding aesthetics, the Tribe will comply with the mitigation and improvement measures in the Mitigation Monitoring Plan adopted by the City and the Tribe and attached to the MSA when the Final EIS/EIR is certified. Such mitigation will be enforceable by the City pursuant to the terms of the MSA.

2. **Air Quality**

   Regarding air quality, the Tribe will comply with the mitigation and improvement measures in the Mitigation Plan adopted by the City and the Tribe and attached to the MSA when the Final EIS/EIR is certified. Such mitigation will be enforceable by the City, pursuant to the terms of the MSA. In addition, the Final EIS/EIR calls for implementation of Best Management Practices with respect to air discharges. Although significant air emissions are not expected due to the nature of site’s uses (hospitality and residential), the Tribe agrees to adopt within six (6) months of enactment by the regulatory agency and to enforce tribal ordinances that mirror any emission limitation legally adopted and implemented by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District “BAAQMD” and California Air Resources Board “CARB.” If the Tribe does not adopt or enforce such tribal ordinances, the County may enforce this provision utilizing the Dispute Resolution provisions described herein, and may seek specific performance of this provision to ensure the Tribe adopts such tribal ordinances. Nothing shall prevent the tribe from adopting more stringent air quality standards and practices than identified herein.

3. **Hazardous Materials**

   Regarding hazardous materials, the Tribe will comply with the mitigation and improvement measures in the Mitigation Plan adopted by the City and the Tribe, and attached to the MSA when the Final EIS/EIR is certified. Such mitigation will be enforceable by the City pursuant to the terms of the MSA.

4. **Water Resources**

   Regarding water resources, the Tribe will comply with the mitigation and improvement measures in the Mitigation Plan attached to the MSA when the Final EIS/EIR is certified. Such mitigation will be enforceable by the City pursuant to the MSA.

5. **Noise**

   Regarding noise, the Tribe will comply with the mitigation and improvement measures in the Mitigation Plan attached to the MSA when the Final EIS/EIR is certified. Such mitigation will be enforceable by the City pursuant to the MSA.

6. **Traffic/Transportation**

   The County and the Tribe mutually agree to use the transportation analysis in the EIS/EIR as the basis for estimating required transportation and traffic mitigations for the Pt. Molate Project. The Tribe will comply with the mitigation and improvement measures in the Mitigation Plan adopted by the City and attached to the MSA when the Final EIS/EIR is certified.
Such mitigation will be enforceable by the City pursuant to the terms of the MSA and for improvements or mitigations required outside of City limits, by the County. In addition, the following mitigation measures are expected to reduce the traffic volume generated by the Pt. Molate Project and therefore reduce traffic impacts:

a) **Encourage Use of Buses/Vans for Casino Patrons.** The Tribe will seek ways to expand the availability of van/bus/shuttle services for patrons to the Casino/entertainment portion of the Pt. Molate Project, to reduce individual vehicular traffic levels, and where feasible, Tribe will contract with companies that use low-emission vehicles.

b) **Enhancement to County Public Transportation.** The Parties acknowledge the various mitigations and project enhancements proposed for the Pt. Molate Project in the EIS/EIR that will enhance public transit options within the County. In particular, the Tribe will provide funds for ferry services to the Pt. Molate Project, BART, and AC Transit linkages. The Tribal funding will help provide multi-modal connections that will benefit general transit accessibility in the west part of the County and region as a whole, and the Parties acknowledge the importance of this Tribal funding because other funding for these agencies is being reduced.

c) **Enhancement to BART Safety.** The Tribe will work with the City Police Department, Contra Costa County Sheriff and BART to implement enhanced security at the Richmond BART station, which the Parties agree will be a benefit to County residents and will encourage greater usage of BART facilities in the evenings.

d) **Encourage Casino Employee Carpooling and Mass Transit.** The Tribe will encourage Casino employees to carpool, vanpool or rideshare and provide ride-matching services and/or public transit incentives. The goal of these activities is to achieve an average of at least 40% Casino employee participation in carpools, vanpools, ridesharing, or public transit.

e) **County Roads Identified in the Final EIS/EIR – Fair-Share Contribution.** The conclusion of the Draft EIS/EIR is that in the short and medium-term (referred to in the EIS/EIR as “current conditions plus project”), all traffic impacts from the Pt. Molate Project are mitigatable to a less than significant impact by implementing the mitigation measures outlined in the EIS/EIR, paid for by the Tribe. In the cumulative year (2025), expected Pt. Molate Project traffic, plus expected traffic increases due to population increases and approximately thirty (30) other expected projects including the potential Sugar Bowl Casino in North Richmond, will all contribute to significant traffic impacts on a number of roadways, including some owned by the County. The Draft EIS/EIR found that these impacts and the required mitigations would be necessary whether or not the Pt. Molate Project is built, but that tribal fair-share funding will help the County achieve several important transportation project goals.

In addition to other EIS/EIR mitigation measures, the Tribe will contribute its fair-share contributions of the capital improvement cost of four potential transportation mitigation/improvement projects along County-owned portions of Richmond Parkway. These projects are defined below:
Project 1 (Mitigation Measure 7-10) Intersection of Richmond Parkway and Gertrude Avenue:
Construct additional southbound and northbound through lanes on Richmond Parkway at the intersection of Gertrude Avenue.

Project 2 (Mitigation Measure 7-11) Intersection of Richmond Parkway and Parr Boulevard:
Re-stripe Richmond Parkway to convert existing northbound and southbound right turn lanes to provide a shared through-right lane in both directions at the intersection of Parr Boulevard.

Project 3 (Mitigation Measure 7-16) Intersection of Richmond Parkway and Goodrick Avenue:
Construct an additional eastbound through lane on Richmond Parkway at the intersection of Goodrick Avenue.

Project 4 (Mitigation Measure 7-17) Intersection of Richmond Parkway and Pittsburg Avenue:
Re-stripe Richmond Parkway to convert the existing northbound right turn lane to provide a shared through-right lane at the intersection of Pittsburg Avenue.

The Tribe’s fair-share of these projects will be 20% of the total project cost. “Total Project Cost” is defined as the total cost to implement the project including planning, environmental review, permitting and construction, which costs will be estimated at the receipt of actual construction bids for each project, subject to a “truing” of expenses at the end of each project whereby the Tribe’s portion of unspent funds, if any, will be immediately returned to the Tribe. Payment for each project will be made by the Tribe after award of construction bids for that project, and such funds will be retained by the County in a separate project fund established for each project. For projects that are estimated to take more than one year, the Tribe may make annual payments totaling the amount required. If construction on any project has not commenced within one year of such payment, the Tribe may request return of the funds until such time as the project construction commences. It is agreed that maintenance of these roadway segments, intersections and other roadways within the County will be accomplished by the County without payments by the Tribe beyond those outlined in this Agreement.

Nothing in this Agreement requires any specific intersection or roadway or other improvements to be undertaken without full compliance with CEQA and any other applicable laws or regulations. County retains its discretion to approve, disapprove, or modify specific improvements, and the final specification of each project may be amended by the County, in consultation with the Tribe, after completion of CEQA review and incorporation of any applicable mitigation measures or may be rejected by the County as undesirable or infeasible based on such CEQA review. Changes in the project during final specification shall not change the Tribe’s aggregate fair share obligation.

f) Review of County Roadway Sections and Intersections that May Require Improvements in the Future – Re-Opener Clause. The County and the Tribe agree to use the transportation analysis in the EIS/EIR as the basis for estimating required transportation
and traffic mitigations for the Pt. Molate Project. However, if the following triggers occur on the County roads and intersections identified in Appendix A:

- A roadway segment or intersection operating at Level of Service (LOS) A through D in the baseline condition deteriorates to LOS E or F once the Casino opens;

- An intersection already operating at Level of Service (LOS) E or F in the baseline condition experiences an additional 5 seconds of average delay once the Casino opens; or

- A roadway segment already operating at Level of Service (LOS) E or F in the baseline condition experiences an increased V/C ratio of .20 or greater once the Casino opens.

and the County reasonably claims that such changes in Level of Service are beyond the estimates identified in the EIS/EIR and are due to Pt. Molate Project, then the Tribe and County will jointly conduct a traffic study of the applicable roadway segments and intersections at a frequency not greater than once every five (5) years after the Pt. Molate Project Start Date. In this event, the Tribe and County will jointly determine the scope of such study, and the Parties will jointly provide project oversight to the traffic consultants. The Tribe will bear the costs of such study, which will be approved by the Parties upon receipt of consultant bids.

If there is such a change of Level of Service in one of the roadway sections or intersections in the list in Exhibit A identified in such traffic study that is attributable to the Pt. Molate Project, the Tribe will make a fair-share contribution to the cost of any improvements deemed by such study to be necessary and feasible to mitigate such change, following CEQA review and County’s compliance with any other application laws and regulation, pursuant to the process as described in Paragraph 6(e). The Tribe’s fair-share contributions will be estimated for each such improvement based on the percent of incremental traffic attributed to the Pt Molate project, and the Tribe’s payments shall be made at similar milestones as are described in paragraph 6(e).

7. Storm Water and Wastewater Management

Regarding wastewater treatment, the Tribe will comply with the mitigation and improvement measures in the Mitigation Plan adopted by the City and the Tribe and attached to the MSA when the Final EIS/EIR is certified. Such mitigation will be enforceable by the City, pursuant to the terms of the MSA. In addition, the Draft EIS/EIR calls for implementation of Best Management Practices with respect to storm water management, and wastewater control and treatment. The Tribe agrees to adopt within six (6) months of enactment by the regulatory agency and to enforce tribal ordinances that contain the same wastewater limitations legally adopted and implemented by the Regional Water Quality Control Board “RWQCB.” If the Tribe does not adopt such tribal ordinances, the County may enforce this provision utilizing the Dispute Resolution provisions described herein, and may seek specific performance of this provision to ensure the Tribe adopts such tribal ordinances in the unlikely event the Tribe fails to comply with this provision. Nothing shall prevent the tribe from adopting more stringent wastewater standards and practices than identified herein.
8. Solid Waste

Regarding solid waste, the Tribe will comply with the mitigation and improvement measures in the Mitigation Plan attached to the MSA when the Final EIS/EIR is certified. Such mitigation will be enforceable by the City pursuant to the terms of the MSA.

9. Security and Law Enforcement

Regarding security and law enforcement, the Tribe will comply with the mitigation and improvement measures in the Mitigation Monitoring Plan adopted by the City and the Tribe and attached to the MSA when the Final EIS/EIR is certified. Such mitigation will be enforceable by the City pursuant to the terms of the MSA. The County agrees that because it will not, be providing primary on-site law enforcement response, the only direct off-Reservation law enforcement impacts to the County from the Pt. Molate Project would occur due to arrests arising from the Pt. Molate Project that are processed through the County. Such arrests would impact the County in the areas of Sheriff services, probation services, District Attorney services, Public Defender services, and County jail incarceration.

a) Security. The Tribe agrees to provide on-site security in the Pt. Molate Project during all hours of operations pursuant to the MSA.

b) On-Site City Police Department. The Tribe will construct and maintain a City police substation on-site to provide police services to the Pt. Molate Project site pursuant to the MSA.

c) Incarceration. The Tribe acknowledges that assistance from the County Sheriff may be required from time to time with respect to the incarceration of persons engaged in suspected criminal activity arising from the Pt. Molate Project. Compensation to the County for deputy sheriff support and associated non-labor costs including vehicles associated with the incarceration of individuals is included in the amounts described in Section A.12 (a).

d) Law Enforcement Responsibilities. While Public Law 280 (18 U.S.C. § 1162), provides that the County and the County Sheriff have concurrent jurisdiction with the Tribe and the City of Richmond over crimes committed on the Tribe’s Reservation, the Parties agree that the City of Richmond will have primary response for criminal jurisdiction on that Reservation, in conjunction with the federal government.

e) County of Contra Costa District Attorney and Criminal Prosecution Caseload, Public Defenders, and Probation. The Tribe acknowledges that the County will incur additional costs with respect to any arrests arising from the Pt. Molate Project. Compensation to the County for such County costs is included in the amounts described in Section A.12 (a).
10. **Public Health and Safety**

Regarding public health and safety, the Tribe will comply with the mitigation and improvement measures in the Mitigation Plan adopted by the City and the Tribe and attached to the MSA when the Final EIS/EIR is certified. The Parties agree that there could be off-Reservation impacts to the County in the areas of emergency medical care and County health services and by this Agreement will mitigate the impacts as follows:

a) **Emergency Medical Care.** The current MSA with the City of Richmond requires the Tribe to enter into a separate agreement with an ambulance provider. Through this Agreement, the Tribe agrees to contract with the County to provide emergency ambulance service to the Tribe. With respect to emergency visits to the County hospital, Doctors Medical Center, or any other hospital from Pt. Molate Project patrons and employees, the Tribe agrees to reimburse the County for actual costs of uncompensated ambulance service provided to Pt. Molate Project patrons or employees who are transported by the County’s designated ambulance provider from the Pt. Molate Project site to the hospital. The Parties agree that reimbursements for any uncompensated emergency health service costs at the medical care facility provided to Pt. Molate Project patrons and employees is included in the compensation paid by the Tribe to the County as described in Section A.12(a) For the purposes of this Agreement, uncompensated costs are the amounts not paid by the patron, or employee, after appropriate billing and follow-up by County personnel, and after insurance billings, and negotiated rates for services have been accounted for. Billings to the Tribe pursuant to this Section 10 will be provided no less than quarterly.

11. **Impacts of Problem Gambling**

The Tribe will comply with the mitigation and improvement measures in the Mitigation Plan adopted by the City and the Tribe and attached to the MSA when the Final EIS/EIR is certified. Such mitigation will be enforceable by the City pursuant to the terms of the MSA.

In addition, the Tribe agrees to the following:

a) **Tribe’s Responsible Gambling Program.** Within three (3) months of the Pt. Molate Project Start Date the Tribe shall assure its Casino Manager (“Manager”) adopts a comprehensive Responsible Gambling Program (“Program”) to support the development of awareness and prevention programs for problem and underage gambling at the Casino. The Manager shall provide a copy of the Program to the County Health Officer for review and comment, and shall consider all comments received, and shall strive to improve the Program on a continuing basis.

b) **Coordination.**

(1) County and Tribe shall each designate a representative for coordination of the County’s Pathological Gambling Treatment Program with the Tribe’s Responsible Gambling Program.
(2) Within three months of the Pt. Molate Start date, the two representatives shall meet to review opportunities for coordinating and for reviewing the effectiveness of the County’s and the Tribe’s programs. Additional meetings shall be scheduled quarterly or as determined by the representatives, for the purpose of ongoing coordination and continual improvement of the Parties’ programs.

c) County Social Services for Problem Gambling. The Draft EIS/EIR calls for two (2) health professionals to be employed by the County to treat problem gambling addiction. The County agrees to provide these additional professionals for gambling addiction prevention and treatment. Compensation to the County for County costs related to these professionals is included in the amounts described in Section A.12 (a).

d) Tribe’s Responsible Gambling Program Components. At a minimum, the Tribe’s Responsible Gambling Program shall include the following:

(1) The Casino shall provide information to its guests through signage, pamphlets, and an Internet website that describe the symptoms of problem gambling. Informational brochures shall be available throughout the Casino that discuss how a person knows that he or she has a gambling problem and the ramifications of such a problem in terms of family, friends and social obligations. The brochures shall provide a hotline number that is available to call 24 hours each day, including the Council on Compulsive Gambling of California’s 24-hour free and confidential Helpline, which offers problem gamblers and their families’ information and referral to self-help and professional services.

(2) All appropriate Casino employees shall receive training in the identification of problem gambling. Employees shall offer customers information about available problem gambling resources when signs of problem gambling are evident.

(3) The Casino shall maintain a Self-Exclusion Policy whereby patrons may request a halt to casino promotional mailings, check cashing privileges, and player club privileges. A patron may also request to be physically excluded from the Casino. Procedures shall be established that allow problem gamblers to assume the responsibility of excluding themselves from any form of gambling at the Casino. These procedures shall outline the steps involved in the initiation of a Self-Exclusion Form, and provide for the processing and retention of the Self-Exclusion Form, patron’s return and patron’s reinstatement process.

(4) The Casino shall maintain an Involuntary Exclusion Policy whereby a patron may be involuntarily subjected to the same provisions associated with the Self-Exclusion Policy, for purposes of preventing the patron’s problem gambling.

(5) The Casino shall take special measures to prevent underage gambling including, at a minimum: (a) appropriate signage, detailing the age limit and possible penalties, shall be posted at all Casino entrance points; (b) Casino floor personnel shall be instructed to contact Casino Security when they suspect that a minor is gambling; and (c) Casino Security shall escort the minor from the Casino, and contact the appropriate law enforcement officials when warranted.
(6) The Casino shall provide training to all appropriate employees regarding the identification of intoxicated patrons gambling; shall adopt procedures to prohibit intoxicated persons from gambling at the Casino; and shall provide information to intoxicated gambling patrons regarding the dangers of intoxicated gambling, and available counseling and treatment resources.

f) Support to California Council on Problem Gambling. Tribe shall also provide non-monetary support to the California Council on Problem Gambling, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation that:

   i. Conducts responsible gambling workshops for Casino employees;

   ii. Staffs a 24 hour/day, 7 days/week “Problem Gambling Help Line” with live professional counselors who can provide first contact crisis intervention;

   iii. Trains and certifies California Certified Gambling Counselors;

   iv. Provides information on cost accessible programs for those with compulsive gambling problems; and

   v. Maintains a “Speakers Bureau” of volunteers to help educate children and adults at schools and community service forums.

12. Compensation to the County

a) Payments to the County in the Event of Class III Gaming. The Tribe recognizes that the County will incur costs associated with increased off-Reservation social and economic impacts and costs associated with increased public protection activities including costs of the County Sheriff, use of Detention facilities, and the costs of the District Attorney, Public Defender, and probation offices. Additionally, the County will incur costs associated with public health and its department of Employment & Human Services, including uncompensated emergency and medical care. While there are benefits accruing due to general employment increases in the County associated with the Pt. Molate Project, the County will also incur costs for additional expenses as a result of the Pt. Molate Project. In recognition of these costs, the Tribe will pay the County the annual sum of $12 million, if the Tribe conducts Class III gaming (as defined in the IGRA) at the Casino and as such obtains a Compact from the State of California for public safety, fire fighters and responders, health, and social services related costs, and community benefit payments, commencing with the Pt. Molate Project Start Date, and payable for as long as this Agreement is in effect. Annual payment obligations under this provision will be on the quarterly payment schedule set forth in Section A of this Agreement.

b) Payments to County - Class II Gaming Only. Tribes are not required to make payments to surrounding governments when only Class II gaming (as defined in the IGRA) is conducted. Nonetheless, the Tribe is willing to make such voluntary payments because of the Tribe's desire to prevent the County from incurring additional expenses as
a result of the Pt. Molate Project. During any period in which the Tribe is operating the Casino without a Compact and as such only offers Class II gaming (as defined in the IGRA) as part of the Pt. Molate Project, the annual payments will be reduced to an annual amount equal to 25% of the amount referenced herein above, plus $3 million, for a total of $6 million. With the exception of ambulance reimbursement payments described in Section 10 (a), the County agrees that the annual payments made under this provision shall be the only funding the County shall receive after the Pt. Molate Project Start Date from the Tribe while it conducts only Class II gaming. Annual payments under this provision will be on the quarterly payment schedule set forth in Section A of this Agreement.

(c) Public safety, health, and social services related Response Programs. In return for and as part of the annual payments by the Tribe required by this Section 12, the County will develop and implement a public safety, fire fighters and first responders, health, and social services related response program to address the off-Reservation County service impacts that may result from the Pt. Molate Project. Subject to the sole discretion of the County Board of Supervisors, this program will address any or all of the following: increased level of public protection costs of the County Sheriff, fire fighters and responders, the District Attorney, Public Defender, probation or use of Detention facilities; health and social service concerns resulting from the Pt. Molate Project operations; increased demand for emergency response medical services; and increased potential for addiction to gambling.

(d) Uses of Payments Received from the Tribe. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Agreement, the use by the County of payments received from the Tribe pursuant to this Agreement is subject to the sole discretion of the County Board of Supervisors, with the exception of payments received for specific transportation mitigations required under the EIS/EIR or as further required in this Agreement, and the portion of each of the Tribe’s annual payments which is designated as part of the community benefit payment to support the County health system as provided in 12 A (e). It is agreed between the Parties that $7 million of each annual payment by the Tribe required by this Section 12 will be directed by the County for programs and services in West Contra Costa County, and that the remaining portion $ 5 million will be used for programs and services throughout the County. Of these amounts, it is agreed that for seven years after the date of the first annual payment required by this section 12, (i), $2 million per year will be directed to help support the County Hospital and clinics and (ii) $2 million per year for seven years will be directed to health programs and services in West Contra Costa County, including County Clinics and Doctors Medical Center, and (iii) $ 1 million per year for seven years for additional Deputy Sheriff patrols in the unincorporated areas of West Contra Costa County. Items (ii) and (iii) will be provided out of the $ 7 million allocated for programs and services in West Contra Costa County. This Section does not apply if the Tribe's annual payments to the County are made pursuant to Section A.12 (b) above.

(e) Advance on Community Benefit Payments. Three advances on the first year’s annual payment required by this Section 12 will be made from the Tribe to the County pending completion of project milestones as follows:
1. $1 million dollars upon the Tribe’s receipt of a final, positive Indian Lands Determination from the United States, approving the Pt. Molate Project site as restored lands for the Tribe and all appeals of and challenges to such determination being completed and favorably resolved in the Tribe’s favor;

ii. $1 million dollars upon completion of the land into trust determination process culminating in the United States accepting the Pt. Molate Project site into trust on behalf of Guidiville for gaming purposes, as evidenced by a reservation proclamation by the United States and all appeals and challenges to such land into trust determination being completed and favorably resolved in the Tribe’s favor; and

iii. $1 million upon the Department of the Interior and the California Legislature’s approval of a Compact between the Tribe and the State of California approving Class III gaming at the Pt. Molate Project site.

Regardless of the above, the $1 million dollar payments shall be made no later than the Pt. Molate Project Start Date. Except that if the Tribe cannot obtain a Compact prior to the Pt. Molate Project Start Date, then it shall not have to make the advance under (iii) before the Compact approval. Any payments made under this section will be deducted from the first annual payment(s) required by this Section 12 and due from the Tribe after the Pt. Molate Project Start Date.

(f) Additional Payments to Support County Health System if Credit is Granted Under Compact with the State. In its negotiation of the Compact, the Tribe shall seek for the Compact to provide full credits for all amounts to be paid by the Tribe to the County under this Agreement against the amounts to be paid by the Tribe to the State under the Compact. If, without increasing the amount required to be paid to the State under the Compact to reflect or in any way consider any payment under this Agreement, the State allows for a full credit of all amounts to be paid by Guidiville to the County under this Agreement against payments to be made by the Tribe to the State under the Compact to the County under this Agreement, then the Tribe shall make additional annual payments to the County under this Agreement up to a total of Ten Million Dollars ($10,000,000) per year for so long as such Compact credit is in full force. These additional payments under this Agreement, if made, shall be used to support capital and operating financial needs of the County Health system, with expenditures by the County roughly split between West Contra Costa County and the County as a whole. The Tribe shall be obligated to make these additional payments only if the full value of the payments to be made to the County under this Agreement is credited against amounts that otherwise would be required to be paid by the Tribe to the State of California under the Compact. Therefore, the County will receive the additional payments contemplated in this subsection only if the Governor of the State of California is willing to give a credit against the amounts due under the Compact for the total of the annual payments described in Section A.12 (a) plus the additional payments contemplated in this subsection.
13. **Reopener Provision.** At any time after seven years after the Pt. Molate Project Start Date, upon written request by the County but no more frequently than every five years, the Parties shall undertake a joint analysis of the impacts of the Pt. Molate Project on the County's programs described in Section A.12 (b) relative to public safety, fire fighters and first responders, health, and human services and traffic and listed in Section A. (9)-(12). Based on that joint analysis, the County may request that the Tribe renegotiate one or more terms of this Agreement if and only if: (1) there has been a significant change in the off-reservation impact of any of the items described in Section A.12(b) relative to public safety, health, and human services and traffic and listed in Section A. (9)-(12); and (2) that such significant change either materially and adversely impacts the County to the extent that its annual costs exceed the total annual payments received by the County. If the Tribe and the County are not able to resolve such issues through negotiation, the County may proceed to arbitration of such issues under Section E of this Agreement. The results of arbitration as to this specific reopener provision, whether or not such arbitration results in an increase or a decrease of payments from the Tribe to the County will be binding on the Parties.

**B. COUNTY JOB DEVELOPMENT**

The MSA between the Tribe and City requires the Tribe to hire 40% of its Casino non-management operational employees from the City of Richmond at opening of the Casino. The Tribe will collaborate with the County to develop a project-specific first-source hiring plan and the Tribe hereby agrees that, for initial hires, it will hire at least 70%, inclusive of the 40% from the City under the City MSA, of its non-management operational employees for the Casino and Casino Hotel from a pool of Contra Costa residents who otherwise meet all of the qualifications of employment established by the Tribe subject to the availability of a sufficient pool of qualified applicants and in accordance with applicable federal and state law. Each Party will bear its own costs in development of the first-source hiring plan. The County agrees that the sourcing of jobs from within the County would have significant economic benefits for the County from the direct, indirect, and induced jobs created by the Pt. Molate Project. To help effectuate this goal, the Tribe shall make three equal payments of $50,000 to the County to pay for staffing at the Employment and Human Services Department, North Richmond Young Adult Empowerment Center concurrently with each of the advances to the County listed in Section 12(e)(i)-(iii).

**C. COUNTY SUPPORT OF PROJECT**

Based upon the County's review of 1) the Draft EIS/EIR and participation in the EIS/EIR process as a cooperating agency, 2) the details of the proposed Pt. Molate project facilities and programs including: (i) its ability to generate significant employment and economic development where there is a demonstrated great need, (ii) its unique location accessible by Interstate 580, the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge, Ferry Service, and proximity to BART, (iii) and the project's ability to provide community benefits above and beyond the mitigation that would normally be required, (3) the environmental mitigations and project enhancements identified in the Draft EIS/EIR, and 4) compensation related to public safety, fire fighters and first responders, health, human services, traffic, job development and community benefits identified in this Agreement, the County will no longer oppose the Pt. Molate project or the Tribe’s proposed land acquisition and will cease all efforts to oppose or intervene against the Tribe’s project approvals or processes including the “Indian Lands Determination” and “Land into Trust” processes.
The County shall provide documentation, through letters, and/or other communications, that the County no longer opposes the Pt. Molate Project for the reasons stated above and now supports the Pt. Molate Project and its approval.

The County Board of Supervisors shall not authorize nor take any action concerning the Draft or Final EIS/EIR, or any other Pt. Molate Project approvals, excepting those consistent with this Agreement.

D. COMPACT COMPLIANCE

The Parties anticipate that the Compact will include provisions setting forth the Tribe’s obligations to mitigate adverse off-reservation impacts of a gaming facility. It is anticipated that those provisions will be substantially similar to the requirements of NEPA and CEQA, with which the Tribe will have already complied. The County supports the current EIR/EIS process, and agrees not to advocate for a different set of analyses to be used to identify impacts and mitigations. The Parties agree that, to the fullest extent, the project EIR/EIS and this intergovernmental agreement will be used to address all off-reservation impacts to the County and to satisfy the mitigation obligations normally required as part of a Tribal-State Compact.

a) Agreement Between the Parties Regarding Compact Compliance.

i. The Parties agree that the Pt. Molate Project is unique in that, unlike most other tribal projects, the Pt. Molate Project included a full NEPA and CEQA process led by BIA and the City, with the County as a cooperating agency which adequately analyzed all of the effects of the Pt. Molate Project on the off-reservation environment. The Parties agree that compliance with NEPA and CEQA are higher level environmental processes and require stronger commitments than that of a TEIR or similar document that could be required under the Compact process which would only describe the off-reservation impacts.

ii. The Parties agree that if the Compact requires the Tribe to prepare a TEIR or to satisfy a Compact provision substantially similar thereto, the Final EIS/EIR will be submitted to satisfy the Compact requirements because, as described herein, the Final EIS/EIR will address every issue that would be addressed by a subsequent analyses of off-reservation impacts as required by the anticipated Compact. The County will not request any further analyses and will accept the adoption of the Final EIS/EIR as satisfying the requirements of the Compact. The County further agrees it will not submit comments to any TEIR or other document regarding off-reservation impacts required by the Compact. If the State requires a TEIR or other document substantially similar thereto, the County will not in any manner participate in the process, except the County agrees to provide, in writing, a letter to the Tribe and the State stating the County’s support of the Pt. Molate Project and agreeing to the legal sufficiency of the Final EIS/EIR as the TEIR, or other required document.
substantially similar thereto. As reimbursement to the County for staff time reviewing the EIR and working with the Tribe on the Intergovernmental Agreement, the Tribe will provide $60,000 to the County to be paid within sixty (60) day of entering into this Agreement.

iii. The Parties agree that this Intergovernmental Agreement is the intergovernmental agreement between the Tribe and the County that may be required as part by the Tribal-State Compact.

E. **DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

1. **Dispute Resolution Procedures.** In an effort to foster good government-government relationships, and to assure that the Tribe is not unreasonably prevented from engaging in activities authorized under the anticipated Compact and benefiting there from, while assuring that the off-reservation environment is not negatively impacted by the construction and operation of the Pt. Molate Project, the County and the Tribe agree to the following:

a) **Arbitration.** The Tribe and the County shall make their best efforts to resolve disputes that occur under this Agreement by good faith negotiations whenever possible. Disputes between the Tribe and the County shall first be subjected to a process of meeting and conferring in good faith in order to foster a spirit of cooperation and efficiency in the administration of the terms, provisions, and conditions of this Agreement as follows:

   (i) Either Party shall give the other, as soon as possible after the event giving rise to the concern, a written notice setting forth, with specificity, the dispute to be resolved.

   (ii) The Parties shall meet and confer in a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute through negotiation not later than ten (10) business days after receipt of the notice, unless both Parties agree in writing to an extension of time.

   (iii) If after thirty (30) days following the “meet and confer” meeting identified above (or such longer period as may be agreed upon), the Parties are unable to resolve a dispute, either Party shall be entitled to send the other Party a written notice of impasse. Thereupon, the Parties shall have an additional ten (10) business days in which to resolve the subject dispute. If the dispute remains unresolved after the end of such 10-day period, then upon the written demand of either Party, the dispute shall be submitted to binding arbitration in accordance with this Section E. The Tribe and the County will submit to any arbitration proceeding as described in this Section E, and consent to the jurisdiction of the Superior Court of County of Contra Costa, State of California, solely for the purposes outlined in this Section E which is for the limited purpose of compelling arbitration in the event either Party refuses to arbitrate any dispute as contemplated herein, and for the enforcement of any decision and collection of any award of the arbitrator as contemplated herein. No other action may be maintained in any court of law and the Tribe does not consent to such. The disputes to be submitted to arbitration shall be limited to claims of breach or violation of this Agreement or to enforce the “Reopener Provision” pursuant to Section A.13. The arbitrator shall issue a written award regarding
any disputes submitted to arbitration pursuant to this Agreement, within one hundred and twenty (120) days of the disputes or issues being first submitted to him or her.

(iv) If the County asserts in writing, and provides the Tribe in such writing with a reasonable basis for such assertion, that the Tribe's activities with respect to the Pt. Molate Project are causing an imminent danger to the public health and safety, the Tribe agrees to cease such activity until the imminent danger to public health and safety has been abated. Nothing in this provision shall be cause for County to require the Tribe to discontinue operation of its Class III gaming activities.

(v) It is understood that certain disputes under this Agreement may take an extended period of time to resolve. Accordingly, the Parties agree that a dispute under this Agreement shall be deemed to be resolved, if the Parties meet and confer and develop a mutually satisfactory plan of action to resolve the subject dispute, taking into account the nature of any imminent danger to the public health and safety; provided, however, that nothing in this subsection shall limit the ability of either Party to invoke the provisions of this Section E with respect to any act or omission of the other Party under such plan of action.

b) Arbitration Rules. Any dispute between the Tribe and the County arising out of or relating to this Agreement, or the breach thereof, shall be settled in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association or “JAMS Streamlined Arbitration Rules,” depending upon the forum utilized and the party demanding arbitration may select the forum. The arbitration shall be held in San Francisco. Each side shall bear its own costs, attorneys’ fees, and one-half the costs and expenses of the arbitration, unless the arbitrator rules otherwise. The arbitration shall be administered by a single neutral arbitrator, unless the Tribe or the County objects in which case a panel of three arbitrators (one of whom is selected by each Party and a third arbitrator selected by the other two) will be named. The American Arbitration Association or JAMS shall recommend five (5) potential arbitrators from which the arbitrator shall be selected. The Parties shall make an attempt to mutually agree on the Arbitrator, but if the Arbitrator cannot be agreed upon, the Parties shall rank the recommended Arbitrators by order of preference to allow the American Arbitration Association or JAMS to make the best objective selection. The provisions of Section 1283.05 of the California Code of Civil Procedure shall apply; provided however, that no discovery authorized by that section may be conducted without leave of the arbitrator. The award of the arbitrator shall be in writing, give reasons for the decision, and shall be binding on both Parties.

Any Party to an arbitration in which an award has been made pursuant to this Agreement may petition the Contra Costa County Superior Court to confirm the award. The County and the Tribe expressly consent to be sued in such court for the purpose of confirmation of such an arbitration award which may include enforcement of the terms of this Agreement. No other action may be maintained in any court of law against the Tribe hereunder and Tribe does not consent to any such other action.

An arbitration award shall be limited to the purposes of arbitration stated in this Agreement.
(ii) No monetary damages shall be awarded other than those amounts determined to be payable under this Agreement. No additional damages for non-payment of such amounts may be awarded. (Awards may be made by the arbitrator for only such payments, for injunctive relief, for specific performance, for enforcement of provisions of this Agreement, and for declaratory relief, all in respect only to this Agreement).

(iii) If an award is confirmed, judgment shall be entered in conformity therewith. The judgment so entered has the same force and effect as, and is subject to all the provisions of law relating to, a judgment in civil action; and may be enforced like any other judgment of the court in which it is entered.

(iii) In no instance shall the Parties to this Agreement be entitled to consequential damages, punitive damages, or lost profits.

(iv) In no instance shall the Parties be entitled to any award or judgment that would explicitly require Tribe to discontinue operation of its Class III gaming activities.

(v) In any arbitration, and in any court action brought pursuant to this Agreement neither Party shall be entitled to recover attorney fees and costs, except as otherwise provided by law.

b) c) No Waiver or Preclusion of Other Means of Dispute Resolution. This Section E shall not be construed to waive, limit, or restrict any remedy that is otherwise available to either Party, nor may this Section E be construed to preclude, limit, or restrict the ability of the Parties to pursue, by mutual written agreement, any other method of dispute resolution, including, but not limited to, mediation or utilization of a technical advisor to the Tribal and any State gaming agencies; provided that neither Party is under any obligation to agree to such alternative method of dispute resolution.

F. CONSENT TO JURISDICTION: LIMITED EXPRESS WAIVER OF SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY

1... The Tribe expressly and irrevocably waives its sovereign immunity from unconsented suit but only for the limited purpose as set forth in this Section F. permitting the commencement, maintenance and enforcement of arbitration by the County as set forth in Section E. Additionally, the Tribe does hereby unconditionally waive any claim or defense of exhaustion of tribal administrative or judicial remedies. This waiver shall commence as of the date of execution of this Agreement.

2. The express waivers and consents provided for under this Section F shall extend only to civil actions authorized by this Agreement, including, but not limited to, actions to compel arbitration, any arbitration proceeding herein, any action to confirm or enforce any judgment or arbitration award as provided herein, and any appellate proceedings emanating from a matter in which an immunity waiver has been granted. Except as stated herein or elsewhere in this Agreement, no other waivers, or consents to be sued, either express or implied, are granted by either Party.
3. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the Tribe’s waiver of sovereign immunity shall not extend to permit claims against any trust lands or trust property or any assets of the Tribe other than revenues that the Tribe receives from operation of the Pt. Molate Project.

4. The Tribe does not waive any aspect of its sovereign immunity with respect to actions by third parties or actions not arising under this Agreement. This waiver is granted only to, and inures solely to the direct benefit of, the County and not to any other individual or entity. This limited waiver of sovereign immunity shall also not be construed as a waiver of any immunity of any elected or appointed officer, official, member, manager, employee, or agent of Tribe.

G. NOTICES

a) All notices required by this Agreement will be deemed to have been given when made in writing and delivered or mailed to the respective representatives of County and Tribe at their respective addresses as follows:

For the Tribe:

Tribal Chair  
Guidiveille Band of Pomo Indians  
P.O. Box 339  
Talmage, CA 95481

With a Copy To:

Rosette & Associates, PC  
Attn: Little Fawn Boland  
525 Market Street, 25th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105

Black Oak Development  
Attn: Michael Derry  
P.O. Box 339  
Talmage, California 95481

And an additional copy to:

Winehaven Partners LLC  
Attn: James D. Levine  
1900 Powell Street, 12th Floor  
Emeryville, CA 94608

For the County:

County Administrator  
County of Contra Costa  
651 Pine St., 10th Floor  
Martinez, CA 94553

With a Copy to:

County Counsel  
County of Contra Costa  
651 Pine St., 10th Floor  
Martinez, CA 94553

In lieu of written notice to the above addresses, any Party may provide notices through the use of facsimile machines provided confirmation of delivery is obtained at the time of transmission of the notices and provided the following facsimile telephone numbers are used:
Any Party may change the address or facsimile number to which such communications are to be given by providing the other Party with written notice of such change at least fifteen (15) calendar days prior to the effective date of the change.

All notices will be effective upon receipt and will be deemed received through delivery if personally served or served using facsimile machines, or on the fifth (5th) day following deposit in the mail if sent by first class mail.

H. LEGAL PROVISIONS

1. Term of Agreement. The term of this Agreement commences on the date of execution and runs concurrently to the expiration of the term of the Compact. The Tribe shall have the right to terminate this Agreement for any reason if the Pt. Molate Project is abandoned or ceases to be open to the public or ceases to conduct gaming at the Pt. Molate Project.

2. No Third Party Beneficiaries. This Agreement including the Section F Waiver of Sovereign Immunity is not intended to, and shall not be construed to, confer a benefit on any third Party or create any right or remedy for a third party to bring an action to enforce any of its terms of this Agreement.

3. Amendments. This Agreement may be amended only by written instrument duly signed and executed by the County and the Tribe.

4. Waiver. The waiver by either Party or any of its officers, agents or employees, or the failure of either Party or any of its officers, agents or employees to take action with respect to any right conferred by, or any breach of any obligation or responsibility of this Agreement, will not be deemed to be a waiver of such obligation or responsibility, or subsequent breach of same, or of any terms, covenants or conditions of this Agreement, unless such waiver is expressly set forth in writing in a document signed and executed by the appropriate authority of the County or of the Tribe.

5. Authorized Representatives. The persons executing this Agreement on behalf of the Parties hereto affirmatively represent that each has the requisite legal authority to enter into this Agreement on behalf of their respective Party and to bind their respective Party to the terms and conditions of this Agreement. The persons executing this Agreement on behalf of their respective Party understand that both Parties are relying on these representations in entering into this Agreement. County’s Board of Supervisors has expressly authorized the Chair of the Board of Supervisors to execute this Agreement on behalf of the County.

6. Successors in Interest. The terms of this Agreement will be binding on all successors in interest of each Party.
7. **Severability.** The provisions of this Agreement are severable, and the adjudicated invalidity of any provision or portion of this Agreement shall not in and of itself affect the validity of any other provision or portion of this Agreement, and the remaining provisions of the Agreement shall remain in full force and effect. If a court of competent jurisdiction determines that a provision of this Agreement is invalid or unenforceable, then the Parties agree to promptly use good faith efforts to amend this Agreement to reflect the original intent of the Parties in accordance with applicable law. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement regarding such an amendment, the Parties will resolve the dispute in accordance with the Dispute Resolution Section of this Agreement.

8. **Construction of Agreement.** This Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the United States, the Tribe and the State of California, and County ordinances wherein explicitly referenced. The Recitals contained in pages 1 – 4 are part of this Agreement and are incorporated by reference.

9. **Force Majeure.** In the event of a forced delay in performance by either the Tribe or the County due to causes beyond the reasonable control of that Party, including but not limited to fire, floods, drought, catastrophic weather events or other natural disasters, epidemics, embargoes, war, acts of war (whether or not war is declared); insurrections, riots, civil commotion, strikes, lockouts or other labor disturbances, acts of God, acts or inaction by the other Party its employees or agents, unusual delay in transportation, or unavailability of materials; the time for performance shall be extended for the period of the forced delay.

10. **Acknowledgement by County.** The County acknowledges and agrees that the matters addressed in this Agreement are the only issues required of the Tribe in connection with the Tribe’s development and operation of the Pt. Molate Project.

11. **Entire Agreement.**

   (a) This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the County and the Tribe and supersedes all prior negotiations, representations, or other agreements, whether written or oral.

   (b) In the event of a dispute between the Parties as to the language of this Agreement or the construction or meaning of any term hereof, this Agreement will be deemed to have been drafted by the Parties in equal parts so that no presumptions or inferences concerning its terms or interpretation may be construed against any Party to this Agreement.

12. **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, such that original signatures may appear on separate pages and when bound together all necessary signatures shall constitute an original. Facsimile signature pages transmitted to other parties to this Agreement shall be deemed the equivalent to original signatures.
13. In the event legal action is commenced by a third party or parties, the effect of which is to directly or indirectly challenge or compromise the enforceability, validity, or legality of the Agreement and/or the power of the County to enter into this Agreement or perform its obligations hereunder, the party subject to such challenge shall defend such action at the sole cost of the Tribe. Upon commencement of any such action, the County shall consult with the Tribe before deciding use of County Counsel or select outside counsel to defend against the challenge. In addition, Tribe agrees to indemnify the County for any costs incurred as a result of such challenge, including attorneys’ fees.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Agreement as of the day and year above set forth.

TRIBE:

Guidiville Band of Pomo Indians, a federally recognized Indian Tribe

By:

COUNTY:

County of Contra Costa, a political subdivision of the State of California

By:

Merlene Sanchez, Chair

[Signature]

Chair of the Board of Supervisors
APPENDIX A

Intersections Subject to Possible Re-examination and Further Study

In North Richmond Area

Market Avenue at Third Street
Chesley Avenue at Third Street
Pittsburg Avenue at Central Avenue
Pittsburg Avenue at Third Street
Goodrick Avenue at Parr Boulevard
Parr Boulevard at Third Street
Brookside Avenue at Third Street

In El Sobrante Area (Intersections Indentified by WCCTAC Study)

San Pablo Dam Road at Appian Way
San Pablo Dam Road at El Portal Drive

Impacts to Roadways to be analyzed:

Brookside Drive to Richmond Parkway
Pittsburg Avenue
Parr Boulevard
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY LETTER REGARDING
THE RESTORED LANDS DETERMINATION FOR THE
POINT MOLATE RESORT AND CASINO PROJECT
November 12, 2009

Honorable Ken Salazar, Secretary
Secretary of the Interior
1849 C Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20240

RE: Restored Lands Determination for the Point Molate Resort and Casino Project
(PLN 08-089)

Dear Secretary Salazar:

As you know, approximately 5,000 pages of materials submitted by the Guidiville Band of Pomo Indians ("Tribe") were recently released to the County through our Freedom of Information Act request. We now have a greater understanding of the basis for the Guidiville Tribe to request taking the Pt. Molate Site into trust. Our previous submittals to the BIA questioning the historical nexus of the Guidiville Tribe to the Bay Area were drafted without the benefit of the review of the Guidiville submittals to the BIA, which have helped to change our opinion on the matter.

On November 10, 2009, the Contra Costa Board of Supervisors voted 5-0 to approve and execute an Agreement with the Tribe that provides for full mitigation and additional community benefits to the County as part of the Tribe’s Pt. Molate project. Those community benefits include support of the County’s law enforcement, job development, and social services programs, and critical support for the County hospital and health system.

Additionally, based upon the County’s review of 1) the Draft EIS/EIR and participation in the EIS/EIR process as a cooperating agency, 2) the unique details of the proposed Pt. Molate project facilities and programs including: (i) its ability to generate significant employment and economic development where there is a demonstrated great need, (ii) its unique location accessible by Interstate 580, the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge, Ferry Service, and proximity to BART, (iii) and the project's ability to provide community benefits above and beyond the mitigation that would normally be required, (3) the environmental mitigations and project enhancements identified in the Draft EIS/EIR, and 4) compensation related to public safety, fire fighters and first responders, health, human services, traffic, job development and community benefits identified in the now executed Agreement between the Tribe and Contra Costa County, the County no longer opposes the Pt. Molate project or the Tribe’s proposed land acquisition and has ceased all efforts to oppose or intervene against the Tribe’s project approvals or processes including the “Indian Lands Determination” and “Land into Trust” processes.
Therefore, for the reasons stated above, Contra Costa County no longer objects to the BIA’s approval of an Indian Lands Determination for Guidiville at this site and now supports the Point Molate Project and its approval.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

DAVID J. TWA
County Administrator

cc: Board of Supervisors
  Silvano Marchesi, County Counsel
  Merlene Sanchez, Tribal Chair
  James Levine, Winehaven Partners