

MINUTES APPROVED AT THE HPC MEETING ON MARCH 10, 2020

Historic Preservation Commission Meeting
450 Civic Center Plaza, Richmond, CA
1st Floor Lobby
February 11, 2020
5:00 p.m.

MINUTES

Roll Call:

Chair Cawelti called the meeting to order at 5:03 p.m.

Present: Chair Robin McNamara; Vice Chair Joann Pavlinec; Commissioners Michael Hibma, Caitlin Hibma, and Fatema Crane

Absent: Jonathan Haerber

Staff: Lina Velasco

Approval of Minutes:

December 10, 2019

Vice Chair Pavlinec suggested that the word racism on the second page, last paragraph, be replaced with a less incendiary word.

ACTION: It was M/S/C (Pavlinec/M. Hibma) to approve the minutes for December 10, 2019, as amended; approved by voice vote: (5-0-1) Ayes: Cawelti, Pavlinec, C. Hibma, M. Hibma and Crane; Noes: None; Absent: Haerber.
--

Meeting Procedures:

Chair Cawelti stated that any decision approved may be appealed in writing to the City Clerk within ten (10) days, or by Monday, February 24, 2020, by 5:00 p.m.

Public Forum:

No speakers

Liaison Reports:

There was no liaison present and no reports.

Consent Calendar:

None

Public Hearing:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| 1. PLN17-664 | WINEHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT |
| Description | STUDY SESSION TO PROVIDE INPUT ON A NATIONAL REGISTER AMENDMENT FOR THE WINEHAVEN HISTORIC DISTRICT (CA-CCO-422H) IDENTIFYING THE LIST OF ELEMENTS THAT CONTRIBUTE TO THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DISTRICT. |
| Location | 2100 STENMARK DRIVE |
| APN | 561-100-008 |

MINUTES APPROVED AT THE HPC MEETING ON MARCH 10, 2020

Zoning PR, PARKS AND RECREATIONS; CG, COMMERCIAL GENERAL; IL, INDUSTRIAL LIGHT; AND OS, OPEN SPACE
Applicant CITY OF RICHMOND (OWNER)
Staff Contact LINA VELASCO Recommendation: PROVIDE AND RECEIVE COMMENTS

Ms. Velasco explained that the staff had been working with two Commissioners regarding Winehaven and they had toured the site together. Once a consultant had written up the details of that visit, it was suggested that more detail be included regarding the general site and its features.

Ms. Charlane Gross, AES, reviewed what Staff was seeking guidance on from the Commission.

Commissioner M. Hibma expressed that character-defining features was a more commonly seen phrase among historic documents and believed that it should remain as character-defining features (CDF). Vice Chair Pavlinec agreed. Commissioner M. Hibma suggested that a footnote be included at the bottom stating that character-defining features carried the same meaning as essential physical features.

Vice Chair Pavlinec noted that tectonic was a more general term than fortress-like and preferred tectonic. Chair Cawelti agreed that tectonic was the better word to use.

Commissioner C. Hibma voiced that she did not believe that the skylights were not a character-defining feature. Ms. Gross advised that they are removed from the document.

In terms of A19 which described the Cottages, the Commission was in consensus that the word uniform be changed to similar.

On Page 7, Commissioner M. Hibma mentioned that he believed the uniform setback was a character-defining feature. After further discussion and clarification, the Commission agreed that the language should read, "a setback from the street in a linear arrangement" as well as "consistent front setback."

Commissioner M. Hibma wanted to see the Number 8, wood shingle cladding, under CDFs of Buildings Numbers 31-54 and 56-50 be incorporated back into the document. Commissioner C. Hibma suggested that it read "wood wall cladding (exposed wood observed underneath the stucco cladding)" and the Commission agreed.

The discussion commenced about CDFs of Buildings Numbers 31-54 and 56-50, Number 11, square-shaped metal-clad chimney to the right of the roof peak. The Commission decided that the item should not be included in the document but that it be emphasized that it was not included because the chimneys were added by the navy.

Vice Chair Pavlinec wanted to see CDFs of Buildings Numbers 31-54 and 56-50 Number 13 be put back into the document but have it read attic vent on primary façade.

After discussion, the Commission agreed that CDFs of Buildings Numbers 31-54 and 56-50 Number 14 be left in the cottage section but rephrased to read concrete path circulation pattern.

Commissioner M. Hibma emphasized that the reason to have a character-defining features list was to help guide future projects.

MINUTES APPROVED AT THE HPC MEETING ON MARCH 10, 2020

The Commission wanted to see CDFs of Buildings Numbers 31-54 and 56-50 Number 15 be restored.

ACTION: It was M/S/C (Pavlinec/Cawelti) to accept the changes as discussed to be incorporated into the document and moved forward; which carried by the following vote: (5-0-1) Ayes: Cawelti, C. Hibma, M. Hibma, Pavlinec, and Crane; Noes: None; Absent: Haeber.
--

Commission Business:

A. Commission member reports, requests, or announcements –

Ms. Velasco wanted to know if the Commission was interested in presenting preservation awards for the year. Due to the lack of projects, the Commission decided not to do any preservation awards. Vice Chair Pavlinec suggested that the Commission and Staff start working on the 2021 awards in December of 2020 or early January 2021.

Chair Cawelti suggested that at the next meeting the Commission should engage in a discussion regarding what they wanted to see happen for Preservation Month. She also requested that all the Commissioners submit ideas to Staff.

Adjournment - The Commission adjourned at 6:00 p.m. to March 10, 2020.