

RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA, February 23, 2021

The Richmond City Council Evening Open Session was called to order at 5:00 p.m. by Mayor Thomas K. Butt via teleconference.

Due to the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, Contra Costa County and Governor Gavin Newsom issued multiple orders requiring sheltering in place, social distancing, and reduction of person-to-person contact. Accordingly, Governor Gavin Newsom issued executive orders that allowed cities to hold public meetings via teleconferencing (Executive Order N-29-20).

DUE TO THE SHELTER IN PLACE ORDERS, attendance at the City of Richmond City Council meeting was limited to Councilmembers, essential City of Richmond staff, and members of the news media. Public comment was confined to items appearing on the agenda and was limited to the methods provided below. Consistent with Executive Order N-29-20, this meeting utilized teleconferencing only. The following provides information on how the public participated in the meeting.

The public was able to view the meeting from home on KCRT Comcast Channel 28 or AT&T Uverse Channel 99 and livestream online at <http://www.ci.richmond.ca.us/3178/KCRT-Live>.

*Written public comments were received via email to cityclerkdept@ci.richmond.ca.us. Comments received by 1:00 p.m. on February 23, 2021, were summarized at the meeting, put into the record, and considered before Council action. Comments received via email after 1:00 p.m. and up until the public comment period on the relevant agenda item closed, were put into the record. Public comments were also received via teleconference during the meeting. **Attached herewith all written public comments received.***

ROLL CALL

Present: Councilmembers Claudia Jimenez, Eduardo Martinez, Gayle McLaughlin, Vice Mayor Demnlus Johnson III, and Mayor Thomas K. Butt. **Absent:** Councilmembers Nathaniel Bates and Melvin Willis arrived after the roll was called.

PUBLIC COMMENT

The city clerk announced the public comment procedures and that the purpose of the Open Session was for the City Council to hear public comments on the following items to be discussed in Closed Session:

CITY COUNCIL

CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS
(Government Code Section 54957.6):

Agency Representatives: Jack Hughes
Employee organizations:
1. SEIU Local 1021 Full-Time Unit
2. SEIU Local 1021 Part-Time Unit
3. IFPTE Local 21 Mid-Level Management Unit
4. IFPTE Local 21 Executive Management Unit
5. Richmond Police Officers Association RPOA

6. Richmond Police Management Association RPMA
7. IAFF Local 188
8. Richmond Fire Management Association RFMA

CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY
NEGOTIATOR (Government Code Section 54956.8):

Property: Terminal One
Agency negotiators: Laura Snideman, Shasa Curl, and Lina Velasco
Negotiating parties: Terminal One Development LLC
Under negotiation: Price and terms of payment

There were no public comments via teleconference.

The Open Session adjourned to Closed Session at 5:03 p.m.
Closed Session adjourned at 6:53 p.m.

The Regular Meeting of the Richmond City Council was called to order at 6:55 p.m. by Mayor Butt via teleconference.

ROLL CALL

Present: Councilmembers Bates, Jimenez, McLaughlin, Willis, Vice Mayor Johnson III, and Mayor Butt. **Absent:** Councilmember Martinez arrived after the roll was called.

STATEMENT OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

AGENDA REVIEW

Item G-5 was removed from the Consent Calendar for discussion at the end of the agenda. Item 1-4 was continued to the March 2, 2021, City Council meeting.

The city clerk announced the public comment procedures published on the agenda.

REPORT FROM THE CITY ATTORNEY ON FINAL DECISIONS MADE DURING CLOSED SESSION

City Attorney Theresa Stricker stated there were no final actions to report.

REPORT FROM THE CITY MANAGER

City Manager Laura Snideman reported the following items: The Richmond Housing Authority partnered with the Contra Costa Health Services, San Ramon Fire Department, and Community Housing Development Corporation to install the first Richmond mobile vaccination site at the Nevin Plaza Apartments. The City of Richmond also partnered with the Food Bank of Contra Costa and Solano counties to host a drive-through food giveaway on February 24, 2021, at the Richmond Civic Center parking lot on Barrett Avenue. The City of Richmond Love Your Block Mini-Grant applications deadline was February 26, 2021, for community volunteers that wanted to clean up and beautify Richmond.

OPEN FORUM FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

The following individuals gave comments via teleconference:

Naomi Williams acknowledged councilmembers Jimenez and McLaughlin for attending the Commission on Aging (COA) meeting on February 21, 2021. Ms. Williams invited Councilmember Willis to attend a future COA meeting.

Denny Khamphangthong, Miguel Moya, and Torm Nompraseurt expressed concerns regarding the Richmond Chevron Refinery oil spill that occurred on February 9, 2021. Mr. Moya urged the Council to push for transparency from Chevron and stricter refinery regulations.

Liesa Johnson expressed concerns regarding delaying item I-4 and the City of Richmond's fiscal status. Ms. Johnson gave comments regarding the Council's future review of Measure U (Business Tax) in 2021.

Chris Farwell and Susan Gaines gave comments in opposition to Prologis, Inc. acquiring the Hilltop Mall if it intended to use the site for a logistics center.

CITY COUNCIL CONSENT CALENDAR

On motion of Vice Mayor Johnson III, seconded by Councilmember Willis, the items marked with an (*) were approved by the unanimous vote of the City Council.

***G-1.** Adopted **Resolution No. 20-21** approving the temporary appointment of retired annuitant Yader Bermudez.

***G-2.** Approved appointment(s) to the Richmond Fund for Children and Youth Oversight Board: Councilmember Gayle McLaughlin Appointment: appointed Diego Castro Curry, new appointment, seat #4, term expiration date December 2, 2022; Councilmember Claudia Jimenez Appointment: appointed Alyssia Nichelle Jones, new appointment, seat #12, term expiration date December 2, 2022.

***G-3.** Approved actions to update the Youth Council: appointed Sebastian Dalvand, new appointment, seat #6, term expiration date October 1, 2021; Ashlee Davis, re-appointment, seat #8, term expiration date October 1, 2021.

***G-4.** Approved an appointment to the Economic Development Commission: appointed LaTanya Dandie, new appointment, seat #7, filling an unexpired term with an expiration date of March 30, 2023; Brandon Evans, new appointment, seat #12, filling an unexpired term with an expiration date of March 30, 2022.

G-5. **Continued to March 2, 2021**, the matter to approve a legal services agreement with Goldfarb & Lipman, LLP to advise and represent the City of Richmond in pending litigation with a payment limit of \$100,000 for services rendered from February 1, 2021, to June 30, 2023.

***G-6.** Approved a construction agreement with SH Mechanical Inc. for a total not to exceed the value of \$93,260 for the Riggers Loft Electrical Conversion at the Point Potrero Marine Terminal.

***G-7.** Authorized the city manager to execute a third amendment to the lease agreement between the City of Richmond and the County of Contra Costa, to continue to provide child care services at 5050 Hartnett Avenue through September 30, 2033.

***G-8.** Approved a contract with Sloan Sakai Yeung and Wong LLP to advise the Human Resources Management Department regarding general labor and employment legal advice in an amount not to exceed \$50,000.

***G-9.** Approved the renewal of a lease agreement between the City of Richmond (as lessor) and the YWCA of Contra Costa County for the use of space for a daycare program at the west wing of the Richmond Recreation Complex at 3230 Macdonald Avenue.

STATE OF THE CITY ADDRESS

H-1. Received a presentation by Mayor Tom Butt of the annual State of the City address posted on the City of Richmond's website at: <https://www.ci.richmond.ca.us/3539/State-of-the-City>. Gabriel Haaland and Sean Stalbaum gave comments via teleconference.

STUDY AND ACTION SESSION

I-1. The matter to adopt a resolution approving the form of and authorizing the City of Richmond's execution and delivery of the Agreement with respect to a State Revolving Fund loan in the amount of \$50,000,000 from the State Water Board, designation of the obligation under the Agreement as parity debt and therefore payable on a parity basis with bonds issued under the Indenture as required by the Agreement, approving the creation and funding of a reserve fund as required by the Agreement, designating the Trustee as the paying agent under the Agreement as required by the Indenture with respect to parity debt was introduced by Water Resource Recovery Project Manager Mary Phelps. Discussion ensued. On motion of Councilmember Willis, seconded by Councilmember Martinez, adopted **Resolution No. 21-21** by the unanimous vote of the City Council.

(Items I-2 and I-3 were discussed together).

I-2. The matter to reconsider, after a 2/3 vote to suspend the City Council Rules of Procedure and Order, the direction the City Council gave staff on February 2, 2021, regarding the location for the Pilot Safe Parking Program, and select an alternative site to establish the Pilot Safe Parking Program was introduced by Councilmember Bates; and

I-3. The matter to reconsider, after a 2/3 vote to suspend the City Council Rules of Procedure and Order, the direction the City Council gave city staff on February 2, 2021, regarding the location and operator for the Pilot Safe Parking Program (SPP), direct the city manager to not enter into a sole source contract with Housing Consortium of East Bay to operate the Pilot Safe Parking Program as previously authorized, direct city staff to establish a one-year Pilot SPP on the city-owned site at the southeast corner of

Bissel Avenue at 22nd Street, and authorize the city manager to negotiate and execute a sole source agreement with the Greater Richmond Interfaith Program (GRIP), for up to \$560,000, to operate the Pilot, SPP made related site improvements, and to include children and pets as part of the pilot program was introduced by Councilmember Jimenez. Discussion ensued on the matter to suspend the rules to reconsider items I-2 and I-3. A motion was made by Councilmember Bates, seconded by Councilmember Martinez, to suspend the rules to discuss the reconsideration of Council's direction on February 2, 2021, for the selection of a site and operator. The following individuals gave comments via teleconference: Dawn Jackson, Leisa Johnson, Nick Despota, Paul (last name not stated), Christina Retze, Jeff Denson, Brenda Posada, Capri Roth, Tom Herriman, Guadalupe Enllana, Jessie Tourin, Tarnel Abbott, Desmond Carson, Christine Denson, Venise Wagner, Larry Lewis, Deborah Small, Molly Kretsch, Chris Farwell, Courtney Cummings, Ruby Roberts, Cheryl Maier, Naomi Williams, Mike Parker, Cesar Zepeda, and Kathleen Sullivan. The motion to suspend the rules and reconsider the site and operator passed by the following vote: **Ayes:** Councilmembers Bates, Jimenez, Martinez, McLaughlin, Willis, and Vice Mayor Johnson III. **Noes:** Mayor Butt. **Abstained:** None. **Absent:** None. Discussion ensued. A motion by Councilmember Martinez, seconded by Councilmember Jimenez, suspended the rules and allowed the representatives for the GRIP to give a presentation by the following vote: **Ayes:** Councilmembers Bates, Jimenez, Martinez, McLaughlin, Willis, and Vice Mayor Johnson III. **Noes:** Mayor Butt. **Abstained:** None. **Absent:** None. Further discussion ensued. A motion made by Councilmember Jimenez, seconded by Councilmember Willis, overruled the mayor's proposed time limit and allowed up to fifteen minutes for the GRIP's presentation by the following vote: **Ayes:** Councilmembers Bates, Jimenez, Martinez, McLaughlin, Willis, and Vice Mayor Johnson III. **Noes:** Mayor Butt. **Abstained:** None. **Absent:** None. The GRIP Executive Director Kathleen Sullivan, Care Center Director Contesa Tate, and the Hilltop District Neighborhood President Cesar Zepeda presented a Powerpoint that highlighted an overview of the proposed SPP, "Project Hope" and the GRIP's services. Further discussion ensued.

(At approximately 11:00 p.m., a motion by Councilmember Martinez, seconded by Councilmember McLaughlin, extended the meeting to 11:30 p.m. by the unanimous vote of the City Council).

Further discussion ensued. A motion by Councilmember Jimenez, seconded by Councilmember Martinez, overruled the mayor and allowed Ms. Sullivan to provide a response to the Council regarding the recent Contra Costa County letter sent to the GRIP for alleged failure to comply with contractual and operating standards by the following vote: **Ayes:** Councilmembers Bates, Jimenez, Martinez, McLaughlin, Willis, and Vice Mayor Johnson III. **Noes:** Mayor Butt. **Abstained:** None. **Absent:** None. Ms. Sullivan provided a brief response and advised that a detailed response would be made public within ten days. Further discussion ensued. A motion was made by Councilmember Willis to select the Civic Center parking lot located at 25th Street and Barrett Avenue. The motion was seconded by Councilmember McLaughlin with a friendly amendment to: 1) utilize the Civic Center 2 site on Barrett Avenue for the Safe Vehicle Park with the Civic Center 1 site on Civic Center Plaza as the second choice;

2) accept and appropriate a \$260,000 Homeless Emergency Aid Program grant from Contra Costa County for the program; 3) appropriate \$300,000 of Affordable Housing In-lieu fee for the program; 4) authorize the city manager to negotiate and execute a sole source contract with the Housing Consortium of the East Bay, for an amount not to exceed \$560,000, to establish and manage the SPP facility at Civic Center; 5) have East Bay Housing Consortium do outreach to subcontractors including GRIP and SOS!; 6) since funding has been offered by Prologis (new owner of Hilltop) for any site of the City of Richmond's choosing, direct staff to come back to the Council with any proposed agreement with Prologis; and 7) direct staff to also do outreach to churches and other private sites to take on a couple vehicles for a 90-day period (with rules and restrictions to be abided by in terms of vehicle owners). Further discussion ensued. The friendly amendment was accepted. The motion passed by the following vote: **Ayes:** Councilmembers Jimenez, McLaughlin, Willis, and Vice Mayor Johnson III. **Noes:** Councilmembers Bates, Martinez, and Mayor Butt. **Abstained:** None. **Absent:** None.

(At approximately 11:30 p.m., a motion by Vice Mayor Johnson III, seconded by Councilmember Bates, to suspend the rules and extend the meeting to discuss item G-5 failed by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmembers Bates, McLaughlin, and Vice Mayor Johnson III. Noes: Councilmembers Jimenez, Martinez, and Mayor Butt. Abstained: Councilmember Willis. Absent: None.

I-4. Continued to March 2, 2021, the matter to receive a presentation and adopt budget guiding principles.

I-5. Continued to March 2, 2021, the matter to announce recent resignations from City of Richmond boards, commissions, and committees; and announce vacancies as of February 17, 2021, and ask that interested individuals send applications to the city clerk.

REPORTS OF OFFICERS: REFERRALS TO STAFF, AND GENERAL REPORTS (INCLUDING AB 1234 REPORTS)

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 11:30 p.m., to meet again on Tuesday, March 2, 2021, at 6:30 p.m.

Clerk of the City of Richmond

(SEAL)

Approved:

Mayor

Archived: Tuesday, February 23, 2021 1:13:49 PM
From: Terry Hudson
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2021 9:03:39 PM
To: City Clerk Dept; llewis@rpal.org
Subject: Agenda Item I-2 Pilot Safe Parking Program
Importance: Normal

To Mayor Butts and the Members of the Richmond City Council,

I write to you this evening concerning **Agenda item I-2**, the **Pilot Safe Parking Program** under consideration. My name is Terry Hudson, Retired Member of the Richmond Police Department, and Interim Police Chief. One of the locations under consideration is 22nd and MacDonald Ave, near the RPAL facility in Richmond. As one of the RPAL Board of Directors I strongly urge the Council to not consider the 22nd and MacDonald as one of the locations under consideration location for the Pilot Parking Program, for the following reasons.

1. The lot was leased to RPAL as part of our conditional use permit to open our facility.
2. We routinely use the lot for parking of vehicles during events, i.e., luncheons, dinners, sports tournaments, boxing exhibitions, etc.
3. We use the lot for outdoor youth activities, i.e., horseback riding, gardening, petting zoo, flea markets, etc.
4. We routinely clean the lot from debris left by homeless individuals in and around the lot after hours.
5. Due to the excessive debris we routinely must clean a 2-block radius around the RPAL location.
6. We have dealt with numerous abandoned vehicles and RV's parking in the area where subsequently people have taken up residence for extended periods of time.
7. We dealt with speeding and reckless vehicles which have resulted in numerous vehicle accidents on 22nd and 23rd Streets throughout 2019-2020.
8. We must continually deal with homeless individuals and their human waste and debris around the RPAL building daily.

The addition of a Pilot Safe Parking facility will only multiply the issues and problems that already exist in the 22nd and MacDonald area. There is no infrastructure available at this time that would both monitor/maintain the blight/debris and potential crime which would develop associated with the parking facility. The RPAL program strives daily to provide the best structure and positive role model to the youth of Richmond. These efforts would be belittled by the additional blight and potential criminal elements that could develop around a Pilot Parking facility near the RPAL building.

I ask that you consider other locations for the Pilot Parking facility and not 22nd and MacDonald Ave. Please continue to give the youth of Richmond your support for their sustained growth and development.

Regards,
Terry Hudson

From: [aksurfer99](#)
To: [City Clerk Dept](#)
Subject: Fwd: Public Comments - Open Session prior to Closed Session - Greater Richmond Interfaith Program (GRIP)
Date: Tuesday, February 23, 2021 2:48:04 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: aksurfer99 <aksurfer99@aol.com>
Date: February 23, 2021 at 4:27:59 PM CST
To: cityclerkdept@ci.richmond.ca.us
Subject: Public Comments - Open Session prior to Closed Session - Greater Richmond Interfaith Program (GRIP)

Greetings Mayor and Members of the City Council,

I am writing this day to strongly oppose the the proposed Safe Park site at the Hilltop Mall. I'm actually livid this is a consideration to a community we all know is worth preserving rather than disintegrating

I grew up in the Hilltop area and my family home is still there and occupied by my family. As a matter of fact the parents of several of my childhood friends remain living in the community. We remember rolling hills where horses roamed, precisely where you intend to ultimately replace a massive homeless encampment with RV parking.

I ask myself...why, why here, why now?

What safeguards the Hilltop community from becoming hostage to a long-term underfunded or perhaps no-funded and a unmanaged catastrophe intended by the Safe Park project proposal...you know, as many well intended projects of the sorts for the under-represented have gone astray...what insurances or guarantees do you have for this community that this will be short term, they will be safe and protected, etc. We all can look around locally and across the state and the country and see failed projects for the homeless that were once glorifying proposals. Failed agreements to deserving parties on both sides (residents and homeless) are rampant. So why do what you know is wrong and repeat what you know has previously failed? Why unleash such a disaster on this community specifically? Why not burden other communities where there is a different community makeup, you know those that lack the diversity and are opposite to that if the Hilltop community? Per you're own comments such communities have "killed" those plans. They won't stand for it! And for those same reasons the other communities vehemently opposed this plan and the like, are the very reasons the Hilltop residents vehemently oppose as well. They deserve the right to maintain a safe and healthy community as well. Empathy is desired and deserving for this community as well.

My heart aches for the individual without a home, fir those without foundation and shelter...that should be an inalienable right to every citizen of the United States of America. Unfortunately, our government on all levels has failed its citizens, it is the responsibility for said parties to correct their own mistakes when they create, ignore, mishandle or fail or to address and rectify problems timely...just as that same entity expects of its citizens

and constituents...or there will be consequences they must bare. Ok, now that the government has failed and the infection from the wound oozes, it is unfair and unjust to expect the stable communities and their residents to be dumped upon and bare the burdens of what should have been handled years ago. The audacity to attempt to place such an extreme burden on the backs of this community.

Mr. Mayor and members of the Richmond City Council this is yet another grave mistake! And the residents are telling you so. Initially you didn't ask them, you did not notice the public properly, so of course you got no response...now they know and are telling you they highly oppose the imposition you are attempting to put them in. The Hilltop Community is one to preserve and hold onto, it should not have to denigrate and be subjected to economic disaster...only to circle back and rebuild, recover and revitalize in a couple years. How about you continue with the current focus of maintaining and preserving this wonderful and logistically ideal community for the current residents who have paid their dues and have sweat equity invested in this community? Huh?!? They/we deserve the benefits of the resources currently being invested...ie the 3 new premier schools recently built and linked to the prestigious universities in the Bay Area (that currently many of the hilltop residents cannot access and utilize...hmmm); how about the private and elite golf course nestled in the community that strives to attract young professionals, but not the residents, the new condos and dwellings, highway, business? Etc

So, Mr. Mayor I ask why would you want to cause such disruption to what appears progress for this community? Makes no sense? Why a homeless encampment dead center of this progressive area? You and the owners of the Hilltop Mall in partnership? Well, I suspect your motives are disingenuous, quite suspicious....I happen to see your overall craftiness, and so do many of residents of the community. They do not deserve to experience the hardship coming their way with increased crime, unsanitary conditions and vermin that they are destined to experience. The residents do not deserve the horrific conditions that will in turn plummet their proper value, they do not deserve to be frightened for themselves, their children and loved ones with the transientness, the senior citizens deserve to walk for exercise and feel safe and parents should feel safe for their children walking to and from school and at play. The residents don't deserve the spectacle and monstrosity of an eye sore that's coming and will overwhelm them; a place they long ago shared peace and tranquillity along the rolling hills with roaming horses. Where my family and many others intentionally rooted themselves for their future and have fond memories. Why Mr. Mayor and Council members would you not want to preserve, improve and stabilize this community, why not make it a historic community instead of a homeless one? Why not encourage this area to be better and hold as an example of what to get back to when the residents moved here...yes ALL things must change, but they certainly don't have to change for the worst when you can make a better difference with your decisions. I employ you to do better with your dedications Mr. Mayor. Yes help the homeless and all those that are disenfranchised. Moving them from one spot to another is absolutely NOT helping anybody! So Not Hilltop!

To reiterate, I am vehemently opposed to the Safe Park proposal. Nothing will be safe about it for anyone!

Don't make the Hilltop Community pay the price for your mistakes.

Thank you

A. Smith

Family Residence since the 1970's

From: [Imani Smith](#)
To: [City Clerk Dept](#)
Subject: 1) Public Comments - Open Session prior to Closed Session - Greater Richmond Interfaith Program (GRIP)
Date: Tuesday, February 23, 2021 8:10:41 AM

Hello,

I am writing in opposition to the proposed Safe Park site at the Hilltop Mall. My grandmother is a long-time resident of the Hilltop community, like many of her neighbors, and it is extremely disheartening that you would even consider the Hilltop Mall as a Safe Park site.

Here is why I vehemently disagree with your consideration of the Hilltop Mall as a Safe Park site:

- You claim that this site will only be active for 1 year, but you also claim that it is a pilot. As with any pilot, if it is deemed a "success" by whatever metrics you decide to hold it to, the pilot will be quickly and easily extended, without any input from local residents. There needs to be binding legislation in place to prohibit extension beyond one year. Without this, I assume that you have no true integrity to hold this program to strictly 1 year.
- You have failed to explain why Rydin Road and Richmond Parkway are unsuitable for the homeless population that already lives there. What makes more logical sense than uprooting an existing RV camp, is to improve the existing camps with the amenities that you plan for the Hilltop Mall site i.e. the benefit of a managed operation - toilet, water, electricity, etc. This would be a better solution for all parties involved.

As seen from above, I think that there are better options that have not been thought through. Instead, you have chosen the Hilltop Mall as a path of least resistance, given that it is a predominately minority-occupied community. Since communities like Brickyard Cove were able to "kill the idea", you have now decided to double down on Hilltop, and that is simply unjust.

The least, and I mean absolute least, you could do is provide

legally-binding guarantees that the program will not last longer than a year and stipulations on the maximum number of RV residents and you have failed to provide the simplest of assurances.

At the end of the day, the increasing homeless population is a reflection of decades of poor political mishandeling. The government of Richmond has failed to provide proper social services and now, local residents have to pay the price? The city should make the necessary investment to remediate this problem without impacting the taxpayers and homeowners who have given so much to the city of Richmond. This is possible.

Best,

I. Smith

From: [Marian Barrett](#)
To: [City Clerk Dept](#)
Subject: Public Comments-Open Session prior to Closed Session:
Date: Tuesday, February 23, 2021 12:35:37 PM

Mayor Butt, Vice Mayor D. Johnson III and City Councilmembers

I am writing in hopes of my voice being heard about the Proposed Hilltop Mall Safe Park program. My family has a home walking distance to the Mall. My sister who is a senior citizen has walked the mall many years for safe exercise. We are opposed and DO NOT WANT the proposed one year pilot program to provide a safe haven for RV dwellers at the Mall or the Fire training center on cutting which is near where I reside. Crime is high enough in Richmond to bring another element for our Police Dept to have to manage, I am opposed to the Safe Park program any where in Richmond! I feel as if our city has been targeted because of the lack of respect for the black and brown communities. I am a homeowner and having a RV site for the homeless near my home is an ABSOLUTE NEGATIVE to me, my property value and the safety of our community and homes!
PLEASE DO NOT BRING THE SAFE PARK PROGRAM TO RICHMOND PERIOD!!!

Respectfully

Marian Barrett

From: [Tran Tawny](#)
To: [Tran Tawny](#); [City Clerk Dept](#)
Subject: Public comments-open session prior to closed session: 23FEB 21
Date: Monday, February 22, 2021 2:44:22 PM

Per California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA): All project undertaken by a public agency like the proposed SAFE site at hilltop are subject to submit a CEQA analysis to inform the public about the environmental impacts of the proposed project. Where is the sanitary sewer and trash for the proposed RV camp site? What are the security measures for safety? Where is the public notice and public participation plan? Please provide this requested this CEQA analysis.

Tawny Tran
Summer lane