

City of Richmond – POINT MOLATE COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Multi-Purpose Room
440 CIVIC CENTER PLAZA

MINUTES

MONDAY, October 21, 2013, 6:30 PM

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Whitty called the meeting to order at 6:38 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Present: Committee Members Carman, Garrett, Gilbert, Hite, Kortz (6:42), Martinez, Puleo (6:40), Smith, Stello (6:42), Sundance, Whitty.

Absent: Rosing, Stephenson

Staff Present: Craig K. Murray, Staff Liaison, Development Project Manager II; Gayle McLaughlin, Liaison to the City Council.

3. WELCOME AND MEETING PROCEDURES

Whitty welcomed audience. Whitty then explained meeting procedures, and discussed the Speaker Card process.

4. AGENDA REVIEW AND ADOPTION

Whitty reviewed Agenda items and briefed PMCAC on the Agenda order and speakers. Garrett moved to adopt the Agenda with Item 7B given more time and less time to 7A, seconded by Carman. Item passed unanimously.

5. ANNOUNCEMENTS THROUGH THE CHAIR

Chair reviewed Cal Trans Notice on shifting lanes to accommodate rebuilding of the bridge deck. Chair noted that on October 15 City Council voted to rename Western Drive north of the Richmond San Rafael Bridge to Stenmark Drive. Garrett spoke on the renaming of Western Drive item and made a motion to request to City Council costing and source of funding information of renaming this portion of Western Drive, seconded by Smith. Motion passes unanimously. Martinez inquired if he could make a friendly amendment. Martinez made motion to reconsider prior motion, Garrett seconded. Motion passed unanimously. Martinez made friendly amendment to request information from City Council on how Cal Trans will provide for the name change on their signs, seconded by Smith. Amended Motion passed unanimously. Whitty announced that Committee Member Rosing has moved out of town and is expected to resign from the Pt Molate Community Advisory Committee. Murray clarified that Rosing auto-resigns as of missing tonight's PMCAC meeting.

6. OPEN FORUM

Jim Hanson spoke to the Committee regarding signage and to at least keep signage along the former Western Drive stating Pt Molate.

7. PRESENTATIONS, DISCUSSION & ACTION ITEMS

a. All One Ocean

Whitty introduced Pamela Comstock and Lauren Weiner of All One Ocean. Whitty noted that in packet it shows that Park and Rec. has approved a trial placement of the All One Ocean Trash Pick Up Sign Post in Marina Bay. Weiner introduced All One Ocean founder Hallie Inglehart and Pamela Comstock. Weiner described herself as a Surfer, Activist and a Mother of a two year old and holds a MBA with Green Certification and being the Director of All One Ocean is a dream job. A presentation was made by Comstock showing the stations, use of repurposed coffee bean bags for users and Weiner described the process of removing things such as cigarette butts and straws that don't belong on the beach and Comstock noted that Pt Reyes Station has three stations up already. Weiner showed pictures of the Great Pacific Garbage Patch, the

North Pacific Gyre, an ocean trash area and how the five different Gyres affect ocean animals and birds that frequent beach areas. Comstock walked around the trash bags for the Committee and displayed an example of the All One Ocean sign post that is placed in Beach areas. Weiner noted that there are a total of seven stations up already including two in Emeryville in the East Bay and in conversation with Pacifica and several other beach communities. Weiner noted that she will skip several slides to get to the Community Engagement piece. This involves incorporating local businesses as well as involving a Steward. The Steward visits the box about every seven to ten days and takes data points of how many times the bag has been used, make sure the signage hasn't been tagged and to take care of the station. Weiner noted that she is skipping the education portion of the presentation and noted a Beach Clean Up is coming up this Wednesday. Weiner concluded and opened up for questions. Carman asked if there were questions from the Committee. Hite asked what Weiner's favorite place to surf and Weiner noted Eldoran in Pacifica. Hite noted that Drake's Bay has best surf if you take a left and walk a mile and it only breaks on a west swell with a little tube that is not dangerous and easy to access. Weiner asked if she can longboard this location. Hite said short board would work. Weiner indicated that she could then tell her husband. Puleo commented that the boxes need to be secured or they will end up in the Pacific trash pile. Weiner noted that they are drilled into wood and showed the slide of the location in Limatour and other locations affixed to side of a building and set in cement. Boxes are located on the footway to the beach and not actually on the beach and away from the water. Signage is 60% educational even if people don't open the box. Information is on why this is an issue. Smith asked about the big stuff. Weiner noted that All One Ocean has found at other locations that people will drag larger items to the station and the beach agencies will pick it up. Inglehart noted that the stations will help visitors start changing the thinking about picking up trash. Martinez inquired if there would be extra trash can receptacles if the program is successful. Weiner indicated that no additional cans would be provided by All One Ocean and the materials in each bag is not voluminous. Weiner noted that the stations are located next to a garbage bin. Comstock noted that there has not been a complaint about an adjacent trash bin that is overflowing and has not been picked up. Garrett invited All One Ocean representatives to a Pt Molate Beach Clean Up and see amount of materials placed in just one trash can and it is a very different scenario. Weiner noted that Garrett's comment is a great question.

Garrett further noted that time has been spent with CFSPM (Citizens for a Sustainable Pt Molate) in regards to Adopt-A-Beach and Stewarding and clean ups at the beach and it is a very different scenario at Pt Molate. Garrett stated that not every beach you encounter will be a good candidate for an All One Ocean Trash Pick Up and Education Station and further stated that Pt Molate Beach right now is not a good candidate for this but may two years from now. Garrett stated that point source trash at Pt Molate Beach is derelict marine debris that came from creosote-loaded pilings from Red Rocks Marina and not good for any human to touch and there are a lot of sunken boat and boat parts that are being shed to the Beach Park including fiberglass. Garrett noted that she was on beach yesterday with gloves on and picked up two times the amount in just one All One Ocean bag. Garrett noted that the PMCAC wants to encourage people to clean up after themselves as stated in the Waste Management Plan and at the moment need to consider the programmatic expression of the park. Is it to encourage eating, parking, water sports and what have you and this is a big factor. Garrett stated that City of Richmond has a very diverse demographics to come and spend a quiet afternoon there. There are no sports facilities there but picnic tables and barbeques and that is it and all of the trash cans have been located next to the barbeques because of the point source of people bringing food in and their containers. Garrett noted that doing a study this weekend she found that the trash cans closest to the barbeques and tables were the ones that were full and those at the far end of the park weren't full at all. Garrett furthermore noted that the biggest thing is that the PMCAC does want park visitors to manage trash that they are bringing in but don't want, at this point, to encourage people that have not been trained to go out on the beach and pick things up that includes risks of fiberglass and picking up sharded fiberglass is not fun. Garrett noted that there are a number of dead animals there and dead birds too and she found three dead birds yesterday with a two year old trying to fling a dead crow in the air and there are a number of dead seals. Garrett noted that PMCAC does not want people to try to drag items up on the beach and need special care as well as don't want people to try to drag pilings around and there is a very erosive bluff and PMCAC does not want people to drag things up there and to bring things up next to a box. Garrett summarized that this is the scenario for now and it is not a great idea to push the uninitiated that haven't been involved to go out on their own and pick up the typical clean-ups of straws

and bottles. Garrett noted that everyone she saw on the beach is doing that anyway. Garrett stated that she thinks it is really risky that unattended to encourage people to go onto the beach with serious hazards. Garrett noted that there is another big hazard and All One Ocean needs to face facts that Pt Molate Beach Park is an inner City with a bunch of drug paraphrenellia around and don't want to encourage people without gloves to pick that up. Garrett noted that she thinks All One Ocean's proposal is great and thinks that we should continue to follow the path that Parks Superintendent Chris Chamberlain is going down for good point source loading is fantastic. Weiner agreed that type of materials that Garrett described is not All One Ocean's target and All One Ocean is open to beaches that are appropriate. Garrett noted that she really likes the education component and told Weiner to go to the East Bay Regional Park District because there is another scenario that mimicks the programmatic scenario of Pt Molate Beach with much bigger volume and that is EBRPD's Miller Knox Park and there is a lot of people and trash coming in there and a great opportunity to safely educate people to pick up after themselves without any hazardous things. Garrett provided All One Ocean another tip to partner with other Non Profits who are stewarding these beaches and parks because the education is really important including other non profits are trying to put in signage of the importance of marine ecology and the life cycle with plastics. Garrett summarized that it is an opportunity for All One Ocean to get in and tell its three hundred and sixty degree story with an integrated educational sign. Garrett also mentioned that the All One Ocean stations need to be more rugged with laminated signage. Weiner noted that it is normally laminated but this is just a sample presented. Inglehart inquired what specific organizations that are stewarding Pt Molate. Garrett noted it is CFSPM and that she is surprised that David Helvarg didn't alert the All One Ocean of this fact and that CFSPM has been conducting clean ups at Pt Molate for a number of years in conjunction with The Watershed Project and in conjunction with Baykeeper. Garrett further noted that Baykeeper just pulled 18 tons of creosoted logs from Pt Molate Beach Park in May from September, 2013. Comstock noted that big events like Coastal Clean Up day bring out lots of people to clean up a lot as compared to All One Ocean being an everyday event. Garrett told All One Ocean that they should get together with CFSPM and all three directors of CFSPM are here in the PMCAC meeting now and All One Ocean can hook up with their Adopt-A-Beach Program and Garrett described it as very casual but trained and guided and wear gloves. Inglehart asked if this was through the Coastal Commission. Garrett said no and it is with the CFSPM. Whitty asked to describe CFSPN. Garrett noted that it is the organization that received the funding to open the Pt Molate Beach Park and that all three Directors are sitting at the PMCAC table. Stello indicated that she has been to the All One Ocean website and is a CFSPN Director and thinks All One Ocean is great and will send All One Ocean an email. Stello indicated that Smith is also a Director that CFSPM is working with. Hite agreed that All One Ocean is a great organization and could have used one of their bags last time he was on the beach.

Inglehart indicated that there are three stations about to go up on the island of Hawaii and the entrance to the Pt Molate Beach Park would be a great place for a sign on basics of green debris and stations as part of the way. Garrett noted that All One Ocean may want to put a bid in on a rubberized locker for all the volunteer organizations to put their supplies in and those that are trained could access this locker with pails, forks, knives to be used for clean up. Whitty thanked All One Ocean for a great presentation. Whitty noted that PMCAC will let CFSPM work with All One Ocean regarding working on Pt Molate Beach Park and that PMCAC would not be recommending any action.

b. Review IR Site 3 NER Correspondence, Final Numbers

Whitty announced that Terraphase has commenced preparing the FS RAP for IR Site 3. Carson confirmed. Whitty asked if Kirk Shellum is available. Garrett noted to Carson that the spreadsheet is difficult to read and has reduced it down to basic information of each alternative. A Go To Meeting connection was established and Kirk Shellum of NER/Minneapolis, MN introduced Daryl Nelson, NER CEO, in Edmonton, Canada and Technical Director John Tucker in San Antonio, TX. Garrett asked Mike Leacox of NCE to walk through the NCE spreadsheet to see the difference between the July and September costing, to allow NER to make any comments on it, so that PMCAC can

determine a dependable final number and make a recommendation on what road to travel in order to remediate IR Site 3.

Mike Leacox of NCE noted that there are only two spots where NER numbers have been used in the spreadsheet of difference and that is how the soil is treated. Garrett presented a summary spreadsheet and noted that there are differences on virtually every element. Garrett noted that the Ground Water Treatment Plant and an assumption of operation of three and one-half years makes a difference to NER's proposal in one of the scenarios. Garrett explained that the new spreadsheet with subtotal figures for P1,2,3,4 plus contingency and it lays out the figures from July 23 and September 12 and the spreadsheet only includes sub-totals and totals. Garrett explained that PMCAC can see items such as why P1 was \$250,000 and is now \$750,000 and to walk through those explanations. Leacox explained the comparison of the cost of Thermal Desorption versus the cost of Treating and Hauling from July 19 to September 12. Leacox mentioned that he thought a focus on the September 12 information with reoccurring costs that are important to consider in relation to timelines to the two alternatives and other costs that come in or out depending on what alternative is viewed.

NER provided Go To Meeting access to system at PMCAC meeting place so all could see spreadsheet information being discussed. Leacox summarized reoccurring costs that affected differences in the spreadsheet including Phase I of the July 19 estimate that did not include some of the reoccurring costs as Operation of the Ground Water Treatment System, the Semi-Annual Ground Water Monitoring, and Regional Water Quality Control Board oversight and indicated that the reason the numbers go up in Phase I for excavation and for Thermal Desorption is because they were not included in the original spreadsheet. Garrett clarified that Scenario 2 is lined up against others. Discussion on Ground Water Packaged Treatment Plant (PGWTP) and Carson noted that this system will not last 20 years but more like 2 years.

Garrett indicated that need to look at clean close and Carson indicated that with a Waste Management Unit the management costs of it will go down over the years. Garrett inquired why costs were double for Thermal Desorption (TD) and Leacox indicated that it is for more than just one year, and there are extra CEQA costs and uncertainty of permitting the TD system including Air Board Permit and City Green House Gas rule. Leacox further described Scenario 4 with 3.5 years rather than 1 year. Shellum noted that it was first time he looked at spreadsheet and questioned why a year and a half to permit the system. Shellum indicated that the Air Permit in San Joaquin recently received would be no where near a year and a half. Carson inquired to how long was the experience of NER in getting an Air Permit in the Bay Area. Shellum indicated it wouldn't take a year and a half. Carson inquired to Shellum to his experience with CEQA and how long that would take. Shellum indicated that talking to regulators it would be an amendment and not a full document. Carson inquired to what regulator. Shellum indicated that he spoke with the Water Board. Carson asked who Shellum knows as the Lead Agency. Shellum said he spoke with the Water Board and they felt that it would not take that long. Carson stated that the lead agency is the City. Shellum stated that he was asking the question if a new CEQA document would be required. Carson said that is correct. Shellum summarized experience in San Joaquin County and their staff said a permit could be obtained in six to eight weeks. Garrett indicated that even with public notification process best case would be nine months and realistically looking at a year to a year and a quarter. Stello asked if Carson could not speak in confrontational manner.

Leacox summarized other figures relating to operating the packaged groundwater plant and noted numbers for P2 for excavation did not change. Leacox explained that he did not have this NER letter and difference is about \$60,000. Garrett agreed that there are some differences and they show up later. Leacox indicated that the Phase 3 excavation and disposal really didn't change remarkably with one correction on converting the bank cubic yards to loose cubic yards. Real change on thermal desorption is the thermal rate. Garrett inquired to the change on the 46 and the 42. Leacox responded that it is the 123,000 tons compared to the 3,000 tons and confirmed that this is two different scenarios and this is the broadest change. Leacox noted in Phase 4 it is the reoccurring costs tied to ground water monitoring that created change and noted that in the 19 versus 12 and believes it was a regulatory oversight that did not get in there. Garrett thought it was P1. Leacox said no but it is a lot of things that came in and out and the timeframes attached to it and gave example of P4 with five years versus 20 years of monitoring. Garrett inquired about scenarios of 20 years of monitoring versus a clean close scenario.

Carson summarized that Garrett wants to see the scenarios at 5 year intervals. Garrett agreed and that, for PMCAC, it is best to put information in format that is digestible. Leacox noted that in order to get to each scenario final number

then it needs the review at this level of detail. Garrett agreed and noted that it helps with general numbers in counseling the City. Carson noted that it is closer to 1 to 3 million in Scenario 2 if only 5 years and the packaged groundwater treatment plant will be gone, Water Board has bought into it already and all we have to do is to aerate for non-polar which are considered non-toxic compounds in that trenched out compound in response to Garrett inquiry of annual cost of \$375,000 a year to run the PGWTP. Carson noted that the PGWTP is gone. Leacox noted that NCE has accounted for the PGWTP being turned off after remediation is complete. TD in scenario 4 is a 3 year process prior to being turned off. Garrett inquired if it could be broken into phasing in Scenario 2 to point PGWTP is turned off. Leacox understood this as phasing and then you compete on Mobilization Costs and each time mobilize it will be \$300,000. For TD and \$50,000. for soil excavation.

Garrett summarized that this body, the PMCAC, is trying to get to a point where it can provide a cogent set of guidance to the City Council. Carson noted that what Garrett proposed such as Phasing can be done. Carson said that no one will say that you can't excavate to clean closure. Garrett noted that City may need to make compromises and seek a 20 year clean up rather than 2 year clean up and seek additional funding. Carson noted that he spoke at last meeting and can provide flexibility and with City staff it can be bid as bid alternate such as there are three alternatives with the Waste Management Unit and to take it entirely out. Garrett indicated that it does hinder marketability of Pt. Molate and also noted that it is too bad that the review of what to do with IR Site 3 has created a cost of approximately \$100,000 a month for about a year and a half and we could have been going into this if we just settled on it. Garrett recommended to now go out to a RFP for Scenario 2.

Leacox noted that this presentation is an Engineer's Estimate and it is not a bid and TD is also an Engineers Estimate using best understanding and unit costs. Garrett noted that PMCAC is asking for final costing and time spent is just unacceptable. Leacox described why to get a bid is once the bids and specifications are prepared once the methodology is determined how it will go and the bids and specifications are provided to a contractor to provide a bid and then you will have your bid amount. Leacox noted that it is standard in the industry to develop the project, prepare an Engineers estimate, put plans and specifications out to bid, and then you would have your bid. Garrett noted that this should have been done over a year ago.

Carman inquired if the City can go out to bid and last meeting discussion on the alternate bid process. Carson indicated that he does not recommend TD through the FS RAP and recommends an alternative bid through the excavation. Hite asked how long it will take to bid and stated it would be about six months. Garrett noted a year and a half for TD with the CEQA approval. Martinez inquired to how large a difference between an engineers estimate and a bid. Whitty noted that engineers estimate is usually a little high. Leacox noted in the Civil Engineering world in is typical to try to get to the Engineers Estimate with 5% and the uncertainty in this project is the amount of soil might be more than what is estimated. Garrett noted that the 15% contingency is pretty fat. Carson noted that it is pretty standard. Sundance inquired that the City Manager desired to clean to highest standard. Leacox confirmed that is Scenario 4 that is called Clean Closed in the landfill business. Leacox summarized the process to get to the bidding point. Carson noted that the bidding for a construction bid would allow contractors to get numbers will be at least a month.

Puleo asked if 4 Scenarios can be bid out is realistic. Garrett said no and noted that Terraphase's recommendation is Scenario 2 excavation and described the process that the Committee is going through and see if there are other possibilities such as Scenario 4 and 2 and Garrett does not personally discount TD whether Scenario 2 or 4 but there is a big financial difference between the two and based on that the recommendation is Scenario 2. Carson agrees to get an alternate cost and maybe it will fit into the budget but not to give up the scenario that does fit with the budget. Carson noted that once it is bid, the City may determine that it would like to come up with an extra 3-5 million or whatever is needed to clean closure alternative. Garrett noted that there is agreement with process on the x axis doing it with the alternative but would like TD bid. Carson noted that bidding TD delays the process. Excavation bid with hard numbers you can be in field in 2-3 weeks versus the year and half additional time for TD. Carman indicated that it may be worth it if you can save money such as \$8 million and recommends putting TD in the bid. Carson noted that you will not save \$8 million. Hite asked if the GHG permit can be waived. Discussion that it would come around in project cost. General discussion about GHG emissions. Shellum noted that the Green House Gas emissions would need to be permitted and what needs to be determined. Garrett noted that the CEQA document did not address GHG emissions.

Carman noted that City staff is moving forward anyway and need to get this in FS RAP. Garrett noted that it has been two years of slow walking the process. Carson noted if it takes longer then you will spending money. Garrett noted that it costs money slow walking the process. Carson clarified that it is taken time due to the Water Board's regulatory stance on the process and the debate over this particular issue. Garrett noted that it took over a year to get a meeting with NER. Carman opened discussion to NER. Shellum noted that NER has listened and first got involved about two years ago with a meeting of George Leyva and Bill Carson at the site. Shellum summarized process and details such as fuel and noted that the NER process does take more time but liability is severed on site by reusing the soil on site. Shellum indicated that the bids could come in 30-40 percent higher with excavation and compared a clean site via TD or a site with a Waste Management Unit.

Puleo said PMCAC should recommend to go forward now and City to put out an RFP whether TD or excavation. Carman noted that Murray stated that the City is already moving forward on the FS RAP. Carman believes entire PMCAC recommends that TD be included in FS RAP and bid process. Murray noted that from the last PMCAC that there was an Administrative Decision to go forward with FS RAP and seek a cost for clean closure. Martinez inquired if the figures on the WMU include the dumpsite for disposal. Leacox said that the tipping disposal fee is included forever at the dump site. Leacox agreed with NER's point that you still own it and it is cradle to grave. Shellum indicated that the risk may with an insurance policy may be really expensive. Puleo noted that the City has already spent a lot of money on insurance policies. Stello asked Murray if TD was included in the steps forward. Murray indicated that the discussion with the FS RAP will include the Clean Closure alternative bid and time issues and lapse with TD may be with George Leyva and consultants. Garrett noted that in December of 2012 George Leyva already stated that the FS RAP will be rejected if TD isn't addressed and rejected a pilot study requirement. Garrett inquired why can't go forward with TD and small footprint WMU and it would be wasting additional time with Water Board not to include TD. Murray clarified the responsibility of the Water Board. Carman stated importance to having a site without a Waste Management Unit and it may restrict value and use of the property and this is not seen in the numbers.

Puleo motioned that the City go forward with the bid process. Martinez seconded. Garrett provided further discussion and the restoration advisory subcommittee should further discuss the quantitative aspects and seek further funding for full clean closure and seek developers that will provide that additional funding and stress that both technologies have benefits and drawbacks and both are at equal footing and in Garrett's book they are even.

Whitty clarified that the City go forward with both Scenarios to bid. Motion passed unanimously. Smith wanted to make another motion and changed it to a recommendation that the Committee goes to the three that spoke the longest that would compose the letter to the City Council. Whitty noted to the City Council Liaison. Mayor indicated that it should also be directed to City Council. Whitty noted that the three of us would draft the letter. Carman indicated that time is of the essence because Staff is not waiting. Carman asked if NER is still there. Shellum confirmed that they were there and wanted to know if project and bid will be bonded. Shellum said yes. Mayor asked if PMCAC letter can be copied to Bill Lindsay. Whitty agreed.

8. STAFF REPORTS

PROJECT MANAGER'S STAFF REPORT INCLUDING

- a. Report on new US Navy Escrow Fund Agent
- b. Review of fund balances for Pt Molate General Fund budget and Navy Escrow Account
- c. Report on Facility Survey with City of Richmond Caretaker
- d. Inquiry of Use of Goats for Vegetation Management
- e. Information from David Rosenberg, Esq. Presentation on Rosenberg Rules
- f. PMCAC Establishment Resolution No. 8-11 Review

Whitty noted that there is a Staff Report in the packet under item 8 and congratulated the PMCAC for getting the Beach Park opened and there was a huge amount of press. Garrett requested that item 8d be carried over to November. Whitty agreed. Garrett suggested that item 8e and the Charter reading be carried over until

November and asked each PMCAC member to read. Whitty called for report on 8a,b,c. Murray noted that KCRT's Brian Bland put together a video on Pt Molate Beach Park and asked if it would be alright to play the video while the Staff Report item is being heard. Murray reported that the new Fiscal Agent Agreement was provided to the US Navy Attorney and the first Escrow payment request, #39 in sequence, was requested through Union Bank. Murray reported that both finance reports are there. Garrett requested if a summary can be provided in the Staff Report and asked for a report by vendor. Garrett summarized a \$105,000. Invoice from Terraphase and inquired about how to see invoices since March. Garrett also inquired about monthly insurance report. Murray indicated that any Committee member can come in and review. Carman indicated not to place this in packet because it is too large. Garrett inquired if Staff can place items into Google documents and Murray reiterated that this is available any time for Committee members to review hard copies but can check with City Manager Staff to see if this request can be coordinated. Murray reported on update on review of space usage at Pt Molate.

9. CONSENT CALENDAR

- a. APPROVE – PMCAC meeting minutes of September 16, 2013

Murray noted that item will need to be carried over to next month.

10. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Whitty noted the two carry over items from Staff Report and to seek for a future presentation of the Pt Molate Beach Park Eelgrass. Garrett asked to reconsider PMCAC meetings on Monday nights. Carman noted that with Hite they have some volunteers for broom removal and this could be considered. Whitty said that it can be put on for next agenda.

11. CITY COUNCIL LIAISON REPORTS

- a. Report by Councilmember/Mayor McLaughlin regarding recent issues in Richmond relevant to the Advisory Committee

Mayor reported no significant items of change in litigation from the City Attorney's Office and advised the Court's will continue the case management and there will be a conference coming up in December. Mayor also announced that the City is waiting for the Court's ruling on the City's motion on the judgement on the pleading that some of the issues get thrown out of the court. Mayor indicated that she is pleased that Pt Molate Beach Park is opened and expressed thanks to entire PMCAC for their work on this effort. Mayor noted that she is in contact with the City Parks Superintendent to select a date for a more official grand opening. Garrett inquired if arguments have been submitted. Mayor indicated that she can check and report.

- b. PMCAC appointment status

No report.

12. CHAIR AND SUB-COMMITTEE REPORT

- a. Clean-Up and Restoration:
 1. Summarization of wet season groundwater monitoring report covering period 1/1/13 to 6/30/13
 2. Report out on August Monthly Status Report
 3. Report out on September Monthly Status Report

Whitty referred to packet for Item 12.A.1. Garrett referenced to Carson to report but inquired on the high readings in a couple of wells. Carson reported a couple with high petroleum readings but have not heard from the Water Board and indicated that he can have Peter review and report back to him. Garrett inquired on the optimization comment in the report. Carson said that there is limited amount of contamination in the bioreactor and goal is to get rid of it before it collapses on itself and can do this by increasing the amount of sand filters. Carson noted that this should bring in the Operations and Maintenance time costs out there as well. Carson noted that Terraphase is already checking to make sure the Carbon is treating it adequately. Carson indicated that Terraphase found that it was loading the Carbon too rapidly and that would increase the costs but the amount of loading on the Carbon will be less costly than having Colin go out there two-three times a week to check and keep that Bioreactor from braking. Garrett requested a copy of the Water Board comments. Carson noted that he received Garrett's email last week requesting comments and Carson will Kelly will go through Garrett request for documents and apologized because in the industry this is the busy time just before going into winter weather. Carson noted that Water Board comments were regarding what Terraphase will be doing with the tanks and want a report on each one that is planned to be closed and what to do with tanks that still have some impact. Carson said there is no sense in providing active remediation of these tanks but will provide Water Board a report on each tank closure. Carson noted in August and September really haven't been doing anything other than running the systems waiting for a decision on where to go with IR Site 3.

Garrett asked Carson if he could provide a copy of the draft FS RAP that Terraphase is preparing. Carson indicated that now he is working on details on alternatives and by next meeting should be able to bring what the alternatives are to go through the FS RAP.

Garrett asked if anyone else had comments on the August and September reports.

b. Community Outreach:

Hite reported that attending PMCAC have a copy of the current draft brochure and asked for comments. Hite reported that the brochure and survey will be circulated to the community and noted that PMCAC will have the benefit of the University of California, Berkeley Graduate Students again to assist in outreach and marketing. Hite thought that targeted efforts this time may be focus and go to Iron Triangle. Stello noted Santa Fe. Hite indicated no slide show because of limitations with technology. Garrett inquired how all Neighborhood Councils will be reached. Hite indicated that he will do what he can and start coordinating it and indicated that Mary, Eduardo or Charles may help as well. Garrett inquired if a schedule of when the Outreach will be performed at each Neighborhood Council. Hite said yes. Whitty inquired if a presentation has been made to the Coordinating Council. Hite said yes that there has been a presentation there but can go back there again.

Hite expressed thanks to Ellen Gailing for doing the work and she can make information into a slide presentation. Garrett asked for Hite to report next month on the schedule of visits to Neighborhood Councils. Smith reported on Beach Park. Garrett suggested new flyer be placed on posts at the Beach Park. Garrett inquired if there is a PMCAC recruitment portion of the flyer. Hite noted it is a section on how to participate. Smith noted that a lot of people have asked if Kayaks can be brought to and up on the Pt Molate Beach Park and stated that the answer, according to Garrett, is yes. Garrett clarified that we, the PMCAC, are not in a position to say no. Garrett noted a concern that the Kayaks will create their own social caste down there unless we, the PMCAC, say no kayaking rules then people will arrive with kayaks and drag across the lawn. Murray stated that there is an Eelgrass area that has been studied at Pt Molate Beach Park for some time and it is a group that PMCAC may want to come speak and PMCAC can consider items such as this in the management of the Beach Park and make sure everyone is on the same page. Garrett acknowledged that these particular Eelgrass sensitive areas off of Pt. Molate Beach Park has been already reviewed by every kayaking club and a bunch of conservators. Whitty noted that Eelgrass Research presentation can be put on as a presentation for the future. Stello said it is Kathryn Boyer of the Tiburon Research Center as the point of contact. Hite noted the Romberg Center. Stello said yes.

c. Grant Development:

Stello reported a conversation with Healthy Richmond and they have a grant coming up in November. Stello presented that effort would involve youth in a summertime afternoon coastal education day camp. Stello reported that PMCAC has three Interns from UC Berkeley to work on visioning on the ground with interviews and focus on parents and youth with a focus on the Santa Fe Neighborhood Council area but decision hasn't been made yet and could include North Richmond. Principals at MIG, Daniel Iacafono and Susan Goldsmith, will be leading the youth and Stello noted that both Daniel and Susan spoke to the PMCAC and MIG worked on POGO park, Solano playlot and the Richmond Central Greenway. Stello noted that besides visioning, the big question would be transportation of the youth to the daycamp.

Stello indicated that there is work on a second grant with Wells Fargo for a Coastal Prairie Demonstration plot and signage at Beach Park for Watershed and a coastal education curriculum.

d. Pt Molate Beach: Proposed beach park signage; Baykeeper marine debris cleanup progress

Whitty noted that the Beach Park was opened on Monday and this has been covered already. Garrett noted that photos are in the packet about what the Beach Park looked like on Monday. Garrett reported on new improvements to Beach Park. Puleo noted that there is no beach at high tide but there is pilings there. Garrett reported that every rule that not supposed to break was being observed and there was a bunch of Laoian kids out there with fishing poles. Garrett noted overflowing trash cans, new sign. Garrett reviewed type of trash such as a box an awning came in and Styrofoam cooler and noted that PMCAC will be watching the waste stream. Garrett did report that other than one Kleenex that there was no trash other than marine debris on the beach. Garrett noted that there was already graffiti on a park bench. Discussion on how to clean the benches and Smith noted if there is not enough trash cans then trash will go all over.

e. Chair: Identification of pending schedule conflicts

Whitty reviewed the dates of Nov.18 and Dec.16 during the holidays and inquired if there were conflicts. No conflicts reported.

13. ADJOURNMENT

Sundance moved to adjourn the meeting at 9:13 pm, seconded by Smith. Passed unanimously.

14. Assemblage of PMCAC Standing Sub-Committees

Adjourned to Sub-Committee Meetings.

15. SCHEDULED MEETINGS

Committee Meeting – .

Monday, November 18, 2013, 6:30 p.m., Multi-Purpose Room, 440 Civic Center Plaza

Minutes respectfully submitted by:



Craig K. Murray, PMCAC Staff Liaison

