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INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER
FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS
BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE
WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Board of Commissioners
Housing Authority of the City of Richmond
Richmond, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the business-type activities and the discretely presented component unit of Housing Authority of the City of Richmond as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 23, 2015.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Finding 2015-001 that we consider to be significant deficiencies.
Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2015-002, 2015-003, 2015-004 and 2015-005.

Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s Response to Findings

Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Pamela Azazleh, CPA
Oakland, California
February 5, 2016
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

The Board of Commissioners
Housing Authority of the City of Richmond
Richmond, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Housing Authority of the City of Richmond's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of Housing Authority of the City of Richmond's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015. Housing Authority of the City of Richmond's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of Housing Authority of the City of Richmond's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Housing Authority of the City of Richmond's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of Housing Authority of the City of Richmond's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Housing Authority of the City of Richmond complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015.
Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2015-002, 2015-003, 2015-004 and 2015-005. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of Housing Authority of the City of Richmond is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Housing Authority of the City of Richmond's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weakness. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2015-002, 2015-003, 2015-004 and 2015-005 that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Housing Authority of the City of Richmond’s response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.
The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

**Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB circular A-133**

We have audited the financial statements of Housing Authority of the City of Richmond as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and have issued our report thereon dated December 23, 2015, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

[Signature]

Oakland, California
February 5, 2016
### HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND
### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
### YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor</th>
<th>Program or Cluster Title</th>
<th>Federal Number</th>
<th>Program CFDA Number</th>
<th>Program Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department of Housing and Urban Development</td>
<td>Low Income Housing Program</td>
<td>14.850</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>2,256,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Programs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition and Revitalization of Severely Distressed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Housing (HOPE IV)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Housing Capital Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Based Paint Hazard Control in Privately Owned Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Department of Housing and Urban Development</td>
<td>23,190,454</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenditures of Federal Awards</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>23,190,454</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Note 1: **Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the "Schedule") includes the federal grant activity of the Housing Authority of the City of Richmond under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2015. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Government, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of Housing Authority of the City of Richmond, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net assets or cash flows of Housing Authority of the City of Richmond.

Note 2: **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

Summary of significant accounting refers to *when* revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements, regardless of the measurement focus applied. All proprietary funds are accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting. Expenditures of Federal Awards reported on the Schedule are recognized when incurred.

Note 3: **Direct and Indirect (Pass-Through) Federal Awards**

Federal awards may be granted directly to the Authority by a federal granting agency or may be granted to other government agencies which pass-through federal awards to the Authority. The Schedule includes both of these types of Federal award programs when they occur.
SECTION 1 - SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditor’s report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weaknesses identified? No
- Significant deficiencies identified? Yes

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weaknesses identified? No
- Significant deficiencies identified? Yes

Type of auditor’s report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133? Yes

Identification of major programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA Number</th>
<th>Name of Federal Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.850</td>
<td>Low-Income Housing Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.871</td>
<td>Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.872</td>
<td>Public Housing Capital Fund Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: $695,714

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? No
SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding 2015-001: Financial Status

Criteria:

This is a repeat of a prior year finding. The Authority’s operating expenses exceeded its federal funding. Current financial conditions still indicate financial and cash flow difficulties to meet the Authority’s obligations and fulfill its operation needs.

Condition:

The Authority’s audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 indicates a financial and cash flow difficulties to meet its financial obligations and fulfill its operation needs on a timely basis. In addition, the current liabilities consists a balance due to City of Richmond (related party) for which there have been no settlement made during the fiscal year 2015. Conditions that indicate concern on insufficient cash balance include:

- At June 30, 2015 current liabilities exceeded current assets by $1,515,880 or by 104%.
- For the year ended June 30, 2015, operating expenses exceeded operating revenues by $4,036,258 or by 17%.
- The change in net position for the year ended June 30, 2015 was a negative $(2,822,296)

Effect:

The Authority’s financial condition will make it difficult to fulfill its bill obligations in a timely manner.

Cause:

The Authority’s operating expenses exceed the available operating funding.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority review its program and other administrative costs and align it with the available resources of funds. Further the Authority needs to improve its operation efficiency to regain financial health.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions:

While the Authority’s financial condition may make it difficult to fulfill its bill obligation in a timely manner; the fact that the Authority is converting a large portion of its public housing portfolio to project-based section 8 developments, under the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) Program, and fully renovating these developments throughout this process, will modify its operations for better sustainability moving forward. The majority of the conversion is anticipated to be completed by June 30, 2016.
SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Finding SA2015-002: Rent Reasonableness
Federal Agency: Department of Housing and Urban Development

Program Affected: Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers (CFDA # 14.871)

Criteria:

The Authority must determine that the rent to owner is reasonable at the time of initial leasing. Also, the Authority must determine reasonable rent during the term of the contract: (a) before any increase in the rent to owner, and (b) at the HAP contract anniversary if there is a five percent decrease in the published Fair Market Rent in effect 60 days before the HAP contract anniversary. The Authority must maintain records to document the basis for the determination that rent to owner is a reasonable rent (initially and during the term of the HAP contract) (24 CFR sections 982.4, 982.54(d)(15), 982.158(f)(7), and 982.507).

Condition:

The Authority utilizes a Rent Reasonableness Certification Form with supporting documents to determine reasonable rent. During our testing of tenant files, 1 file out of 25 tenants’ files selected for testing the rent amount reasonableness was missed and the Authority failed to provide documentation records for that program’s beneficiary.

Effect:

Failures to accurately complete the Rent Reasonableness Certification Form in accordance with HUD requirements will result in the failure to determine whether the contract rent the Authority entered with the owner is reasonable compared with other unassisted units under similar conditions.

Cause:

1. The Authority failed to implement the rent reasonableness policy.
2. The Authority failed to maintain accurate and complete tenant file records.

Questioned Costs:

We are unable to determine the questioned cost.

Recommendation:

The Authority should perform rent reasonableness comparison and complete Rent Reasonableness Certification Form with supporting documents in accordance with criteria established by HUD. The Authority should also maintain records, reports, documents and information for each tenant account as required by HUD.
Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions:

The Authority concurs with the Auditor’s recommendation

Corrective Action Plan:

The Authority will continue to improve in this area of program compliance and enhance its quality control procedures to ensure that full compliance is attained.

Finding SA2015-003: Housing Quality Standards Inspections
Federal Agency: Department of Housing and Urban Development

Program Affected: Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers (CFDA # 14.871)

Criteria:

The Authority must inspect the unit leased to a family at least annually to determine if the unit meets Housing Quality Standards (HQS) and the Authority must conduct quality control re-inspections. The Authority must prepare a unit inspection report (24 CFR sections 982.158(d) and 982.405(b)).

Condition:

The Authority utilizes inspection forms/reports to ascertain if the unit was inspected and to document that HQS inspections were performed at every annual re-examination to comply with HUD compliance requirements. During our testing of units leased/tenant files, 1 file out of 25 tenants’ files selected for testing, the annual inspection reports were either missing or not updated.

Effect:

The lack of proper documentation for Housing Quality Standards (HQS) annual inspections and quality control re-inspections by the Authority indicates ineffective controls over Authority’s procedures to comply with HUD program compliance requirement and affects the Authority’s ability to detect any life threatening HQS deficiencies on a timely basis and consequently pay unauthorized HAP payments to the leased units’ owners.

Cause:

1. The Authority lacks effective and operating control activities and procedures to satisfy the completeness of HQS annual inspections and quality control re-inspections.
2. The Authority failed to maintain accurate and complete records to document the procedures performed to meet the compliance requirement of HUD program.
Questioned Costs:

We are unable to determine the questioned cost.

Recommendation:

The Authority should perform HQS annual inspections and quality control re-inspections with supporting documents in accordance with criteria established by HUD. The Authority should also maintain records, reports, documents and information for each leased units subsidized with HAP assistance as required by HUD.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions:

The Authority concurs with the Auditor's recommendation

Corrective Action Plan:

The Authority will continue to improve in this area of program compliance and enhance its quality control procedures to ensure that full compliance is attained.

Finding SA2015-004: Eligibility for Individual Beneficiary
Federal Agency: Department of Housing and Urban Development

Program Affected: Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers (CFDA # 14.871)

Criteria:

As required by HUD to satisfy the compliance requirement of “Eligibility for Individuals”, the Authority must, among others, do the following:

a. As a condition of admission or continued occupancy, require the tenant and other family members to provide necessary information, documentation, and releases for the Authority to verify income eligibility (24 CFR sections 5.230, 5.609, and 982.516).

b. For both family income examinations and reexaminations, obtain and document in the family file third-party verification of (1) reported family annual income; (2) the value of assets; (3) expenses related to deductions from annual income; and (4) other factors that affect the determination of adjusted income or income-based rent (24 CFR section 982.516).

c. Determine income eligibility and calculate the tenant’s rent payment using the documentation from third-party verification in accordance with 24 CFR part 5 subpart F (24 CFR section 5.601 et seq.) (24 CFR sections 982.201, 982.515, and 982.516).

e. Reexamine family income and composition at least once every 12 months and adjust the tenant rent and housing assistance payment as necessary using the documentation from third-party verification (24 CFR section 982.516).

**Condition:**

The Authority utilizes Annual Certification (Form 50058) to ascertain if the tenant’s income is annually re-examined and certified in order to determine income eligibility and calculate the tenant’s rent payment as required to comply with HUD compliance requirements. During our testing of units leased/tenant files, 2 files out of 25 tenants’ files selected for testing did not include documentation on the annual income certifications to indicate that it was done on a timely basis.

**Effect:**

The nonexistence of proper documentation for annual income eligibility examination and certification by the Authority indicates ineffectiveness of controls over Authority’s procedures to comply with HUD program compliance requirements and may cause the Authority to subsidize ineligible families and individuals and also affect the Authority’s ability to determine the accurate tenant’s rent payment.

**Cause:**

1. The Authority lacks effective and operating control activities and procedures to satisfy the completeness of verification of HUD compliance requirement “Eligibility for Individual Beneficiary”
2. The Authority failed to maintain accurate and complete records to document the procedures performed to meet the compliance requirement of HUD program.

**Questioned Costs:**

We are unable to determine the questioned cost.

**Recommendation:**

The Authority should perform annual income re-examination and certification with supporting documents in accordance with criteria established by HUD. The Authority should also maintain records, reports, documents and information for each tenant subsidized with HAP assistance as required by HUD.
Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions:

The Authority concurs with the Auditor's recommendation

Corrective Action Plan:

The Authority will continue to improve in this area of program compliance and enhance its quality control procedures to ensure that full compliance is attained.

GENERAL:

Finding 2015-005: Section 8 Management Assessment Program (SEMAP)
Federal Agency: Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Finding:

As stated in the letter dated October 29, 2015 Department of Housing and Urban Development notified the Authority about the scoring information for the Section 8 Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) for fiscal year ending June 30, 2015. The letter stated that the Authority self-certified to an overall score of 96% which makes the Authority a High Performer. However the Authority’s current SEMAP status is pending until SEMAP Confirmatory Review is completed by HUD.

Recommendation:

It is highly recommended that the Authority comply with all the HUD requirements and avail itself to get more effective program assistance from HUD.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions:

The Authority concurs with the Auditor's recommendation

Corrective Action Plan:

The Housing Authority has complied with all of the HUD requirements regarding the Section 8 Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) and is currently awaiting HUD’s SEMAP Confirmatory Review for fiscal year ending June 30, 2015, which is scheduled to take place February 22-24 2016, to confirm the Authority’s SEMAP self-certified score of 96%.
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND
STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

FINDINGS

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Prior year audit disclosed significant deficiencies and instances of noncompliance significant to the basic financial statements as listed below.

Finding 2014-001: Financial Status

Condition:

Although the Authority was able to finance and pay back past debts owed to the City of Richmond and OIG sanction from the proceeds of selling Westridge at Hilltop Apartments, the financial conditions for fiscal year 2013-2014 suggest that the Authority is still facing the challenge of meeting its future debt obligations. Conditions that indicate concern on insufficient cash balance include:

1. At June 30, 2014, current liabilities exceeded current assets by $570,421, or by 45%.
2. For the year ended June 30, 2014, operating expenses exceeded operating revenues by $5.2 million, or by 24%.

Finding 2014-002: Journal Entry Review Process

Condition:

In 18 out of 25 manual journal entries selected for control testing over the journal entry process, we noted that there was no evidence that the journal entries had been reviewed and approved by someone other than the preparer prior to being posted to general ledger.

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Finding SA2014-003: Physical Inventory of Low Income Public Housing Equipment

Federal Agency: Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
Program Affected: Low-Income Housing Program (CFDA # 14.850)

Condition:

The Authority has been unable to provide current records or documents to verify a physical inventory has been completed for equipment.

STATUS

Not implemented

See current year Finding 2015-001
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND
STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

**FINDINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding SA2014-004: Rent Reasonableness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Agency: Department of Housing and Urban Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Program Affected:** Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers (CFDA # 14.871)

**Condition:**

The Authority utilizes a Rent Reasonableness Certification Form with supporting documents to determine reasonable rent. During our testing of tenant files, we came across following instances of missing forms:

In 9 out of 25 unit files selected for our testing, we noted that the Rent Reasonableness Certification Form was missing with no records to document the basis for the contracted rent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding 2014-005: Section 8 Management Assessment Program (SEMAP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Agency: Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Condition:**

By letter dated October 27, 2014 Department of Housing and Urban Development notified The Authority about the scoring information for the Section 8 Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) for fiscal year ending June 30, 2014. SEMAP enables HUD to better manage the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program by identifying capabilities and deficiencies related to the administration of a Housing Authority’s HCV program. As a result, the Department will be able to provide more effective program assistance to PHAs. The Housing Authority’s overall designation is Troubled.

**STATUS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See current year Finding SA2015-002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See current year Finding 2015-005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>