



**THE NCS**<sup>TM</sup>  
The National Community Survey<sup>TM</sup>

# Richmond, CA

## Dashboard Summary of Findings

2019



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300  
Boulder, Colorado 80301  
n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500  
Washington, DC 20002  
icma.org • 800-745-8780

# Summary

The National Community Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents’ opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Richmond’s performance in the eight facets of community livability with the “General” rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The “Overall” represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Richmond’s community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Within the pillar of Community Characteristics and Governance, ratings for most facets tended to be lower than the national averages. Residents’ levels of Participation were similar to those seen elsewhere with the exceptions of participation within the areas of Built Environment and Education and Enrichment, which were lower than the national comparisons.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

	Community Characteristics			Governance			Participation		
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower
Overall	0	8	44	0	7	36	7	20	9
General	0	0	7	0	0	3	0	2	1
Safety	0	0	3	0	1	6	1	0	2
Mobility	0	5	3	0	2	5	1	2	0
Natural Environment	0	0	3	0	4	1	1	2	0
Built Environment	0	0	5	0	0	6	0	0	2
Economy	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	3	0
Recreation and Wellness	0	0	7	0	0	4	0	4	1
Education and Enrichment	0	0	6	0	0	2	0	1	2
Community Engagement	0	3	2	0	0	8	4	6	1

National Benchmark	
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower

# The National Community Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
General	Overall appearance	↔	↓↓	17%	Customer service	↔	↓↓	44%	Recommend Richmond	↔	↓↓	62%
	Overall quality of life	↑	↓↓	38%	Services provided by Richmond	↔	↓↓	36%	Remain in Richmond	↔	↔	77%
	Place to retire	↔	↓↓	31%	Services provided by the Federal Government	↓	↓	20%	Contacted Richmond employees	↔	↔	50%
	Place to raise children	↔	↓↓	26%								
	Place to live	↔	↓↓	47%								
	Neighborhood	↔	↓	65%								
	Overall image	↔	↓↓	13%								
Safety	Overall feeling of safety	↔	↓↓	24%	Police	↔	↓↓	50%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	↔	↓	78%
	Safe in neighborhood	↔	↓	74%	Crime prevention	↔	↓↓	33%	Did NOT report a crime	↔	↓	61%
	Safe downtown/commercial area	↔	↓↓	46%	Fire	↔	↔	80%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	↔	↑↑	58%
					Fire prevention	↔	↓	55%				
					Ambulance/EMS	↔	↓	73%				
					Emergency preparedness	↑	↓	42%				
Mobility	Traffic flow	↔	↔	40%	Traffic enforcement	↔	↓↓	29%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	↔	↔	45%
	Travel by car	↔	↔	61%	Street repair	↑	↓↓	17%	Walked or biked instead of driving	↔	↔	57%
	Travel by bicycle	↔	↔	44%	Street cleaning	↔	↓↓	30%	Used public transportation instead of driving	↑	↑↑	66%
	Ease of walking	↔	↓	39%	Street lighting	↑	↓	44%				
	Travel by public transportation	↔	↔	38%	Sidewalk maintenance	↔	↓↓	21%				
	Overall ease travel	↔	↓	52%	Traffic signal timing	↔	↔	39%				
	Public parking	↔	↔	55%	Bus or transit services	↔	↔	40%				
Natural Environment	Paths and walking trails	↔	↓	41%								
	Overall natural environment	↔	↓↓	38%	Garbage collection	↔	↓	67%	Recycled at home	↔	↔	97%
	Air quality	↔	↓↓	28%	Recycling	↔	↔	64%	Conserved water	↔	↑	92%
	Cleanliness	↔	↓↓	13%	Yard waste pick-up	↔	↔	64%	Made home more energy efficient	↔	↔	80%
					Open space	↔	↔	49%				
Built Environment					Natural areas preservation	↑	↔	49%				
	New development in Richmond	↔	↓	30%	Sewer services	↔	↓	55%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	↑	↓↓	48%
	Affordable quality housing	↔	↓	23%	Storm drainage	↔	↓	43%	Did NOT observe a code violation	↓	↓↓	25%
	Housing options	↔	↓	26%	Power utility	↔	↓	54%				
	Overall built environment	↔	↓↓	26%	Utility billing	↔	↓	47%				
	Public places	↔	↓↓	25%	Land use, planning and zoning	↔	↓	23%				
				Code enforcement	↔	↓↓	14%					

**Legend**

↑↑ Much higher    ↑ Higher    ↔ Similar    ↓ Lower    ↓↓ Much lower    \* Not available

# The National Community Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
Economy	Overall economic health	↔	↓↓	16%	Economic development	↔	↓↓	21%	Economy will have positive impact on income	↔	↔	25%
	Shopping opportunities	↔	↓↓	17%					Purchased goods or services in Richmond	↔	↔	88%
	Employment opportunities	↔	↓	21%					Work in Richmond	↔	↔	34%
	Place to visit	↔	↓↓	27%								
	Cost of living	↔	↓	26%								
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	↔	↓↓	10%								
	Place to work	↔	↓	36%								
Business and services	↔	↓↓	26%									
Recreation and Wellness	Fitness opportunities	↑	↓	42%	City parks	↔	↓↓	50%	In very good to excellent health	↔	↔	48%
	Recreational opportunities	↑	↓	39%	Recreation centers	↔	↓	40%	Used Richmond recreation centers	↓	↓	37%
	Health care	↔	↓	36%	Recreation programs	↔	↓	40%	Visited a City park	↔	↔	83%
	Food	↑	↓↓	36%	Health services	↔	↓↓	34%	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	↔	↔	88%
	Mental health care	↔	↓	20%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	↔	↔	84%
	Health and wellness	↑	↓↓	33%								
Preventive health services	↑	↓	37%									
Education and Enrichment	K-12 education	↓	↓↓	17%	Public libraries	↔	↓↓	54%	Used Richmond public libraries	↔	↓	50%
	Cultural/arts/music activities	↔	↓	33%	Special events	↔	↓	46%	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	↔	↓	34%
	Child care/preschool	↔	↓↓	21%					Attended a City-sponsored event	↔	↔	52%
	Religious or spiritual events and activities	↑	↓	57%								
	Adult education	↔	↓	34%								
	Overall education and enrichment	↑	↓↓	26%								
Community Engagement	Opportunities to participate in community matters	↔	↔	48%	Public information	↑	↓	43%	Sense of community	↔	↓	34%
	Opportunities to volunteer	↑	↔	55%	Overall direction	↔	↓	37%	Voted in local elections	↔	↔	89%
	Openness and acceptance	↔	↔	56%	Value of services for taxes paid	↔	↓	24%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	↔	↔	89%
	Social events and activities	↔	↓	31%	Welcoming resident involvement	↔	↓	37%	Attended a local public meeting	↔	↑	38%
	Neighborliness	↔	↓	42%	Confidence in City government	↔	↓↓	23%	Watched a local public meeting	↓	↑	34%
					Acting in the best interest of Richmond	↔	↓	29%	Volunteered	↔	↔	41%
					Being honest	↓	↓	28%	Participated in a club	↔	↔	21%
					Treating all residents fairly	↔	↓	30%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	↔	↑	39%
									Contacted Richmond elected officials	↔	↑	30%
									Read or watched local news	↓	↔	88%
								Done a favor for a neighbor	↔	↔	80%	

**Legend**

↑↑ Much higher    ↑ Higher    ↔ Similar    ↓ Lower    ↓↓ Much lower    \* Not available