

APPENDIX K

EXPLANATION OF MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES

EXPLANATION OF MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES FROM SECTION 5.0

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
5.2.1 GEOLOGY AND SOILS			
<p>1-1 To eliminate potential impacts resulting from excessive erosion and loss of topsoil, the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Construction General Permit (General Permit) would be complied with, including implementation of appropriate erosion control measures. Compliance with the General Permit requires developing a site specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). The SWPPP shall identify the location of temporary erosion control features necessary to direct and filter stormwater runoff during construction activities. Temporary erosion control features used during construction may include, but are not limited to, silt fences, fiber rolls, erosion control blankets, temporary sediment basins, and rock bag dams. The SWPPP shall also include Best Management Practices (BMPs) that would reduce the transportation of pollutants off-site. Compliance with the City's Grading Ordinance, or functional equivalent, would also require a SWPPP. In addition to a SWPPP, the Grading Ordinance requires a grading and drainage study to be developed for each alternative to which an Erosion Control Plan (ECP) would be incorporated. The ECP would have to be approved by the City for construction on fee lands. The ECP would identify permanent erosion control BMPs and permanent project features that would limit erosion and sediment-laden stormwater from leaving the site. The location of permanent erosion control features such as sediment/grease traps, vegetated drainage swales, and riprap shall be identified within the ECP. The ECP would also specify compliance standards, procedural requirements, regulatory compliance requirements, and implementation timeframe requirements. The ECP shall be implemented during the construction and operation of each alternative.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable	<p>This measure was previously recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the State Water Resources Control Board Construction General Permit including preparation of a SWPPP. In addition, the Modified Project must comply with the City Excavation, Grading, and Earthwork Construction Ordinance to include the preparation of an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.</p>
<p>1-2 To prevent the loss of life or property as a result of development on unstable or expansive soils the following measures shall be implemented. Prior to construction of any new buildings or parking structures, a registered geologist shall prepare a final geotechnical report that provides design-grade specifications for structural engineering of all new construction and retrofitting of historic buildings. The geologist shall employ geotechnical/soils laboratory testing according to standard engineering practices and the California Building Code (CBC). The geotechnical report shall provide design specifications and engineering standards to address any expansive or unstable soils. Recommendations made as a result of these investigations to protect new structures and reduce impacts from geological hazards shall be incorporated into project design and verified</p>	Revise	MM 4.6-1	<p>This measure has been revised to reflect the recommendations provided in the 2019 geotechnical feasibility memo (Appendix R).</p>

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
through implementation of the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan. These measures are anticipated to include requirements to construct foundations designed to resist movements of expansive soils and removal of unstable soils and replacement with suitable fill or engineered materials. Based on the geotechnical study (Appendix I), suitable fill material is available on-site to replace hazardous soils.			
<p>1-3 For project components located on fee lands (residential component of Alternatives B and B1 and all of Alternative D), all new structures shall be designed in compliance with the 2007 CBC and 2008 City of Richmond (COR) Building Code (Article VI Chapter 6.04) such that risks to the health or safety of workers or members of the public are reduced. Specifically, Chapter 16 of the 2007 CBC and the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) Standard 7-05 Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures shall be adhered to. Chapter 16 of the 2007 CBC addresses structural design requirements for buildings and other structures (including hazardous materials storage facilities) that are consistent with rational analyses and well-established principles of mechanics. In this regard, the 2007 CBC design requirements shall apply to all new structures built on the project site. The Winehaven buildings and any other existing on-site historic buildings included under each alternative shall be retrofitted in compliance with the State Historical Building Code (Health and Safety Code 18950, et. seq. and California Code of Regulations Part 8 Title 24) to reduce the risk of collapse during strong seismic events.</p> <p>For trust lands, the Tribe shall adopt and implement a Building Code that is the functional equivalent of the most recent CBC (Chapter 16) as amended by the City of Richmond, COR Building Code (Article VI Chapter 6.04), and ASCE Standard 7-05. Appropriate building standards within the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) shall be implemented for the rehabilitation and retrofitting of existing historic buildings.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable	This measure was previously recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the California Building Standards Code (CBSC), including the California Historical Building Code so this measure is no longer necessary.
5.2.2 HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Surface Water Quality			
<p>2-1 In compliance with the Clean Water Act (CWA), a SWPPP shall be prepared that addresses water quality impacts associated with construction, remediation and operation of the project. Water quality control measures identified in the SWPPP shall include, but not be limited to, the following list:</p> <p>a. Existing vegetation shall be retained where possible. To the extent feasible, grading activities shall be limited to the immediate area required for construction and remediation.</p>	Revise	4.8-1	This mitigation measure was retained for the Modified Project, but language was adjusted to provide clarity. Additional provisions were added to increase efficiency of the mitigation measure.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> b. Temporary erosion control measures (such as silt fences, fiber rolls, vegetated swales, a velocity dissipation structure, staked straw bales, temporary revegetation, rock bag dams, erosion control blankets, and sediment traps) shall be employed for disturbed areas during the wet season. c. No disturbed surfaces shall be left without erosion control measures in place during the winter and spring months. d. Construction area entrances and exits shall be stabilized with crushed aggregate. e. Sediment shall be retained on-site by a system of sediment basins, traps, or other appropriate measures. f. A spill prevention and countermeasure plan shall be developed, which identifies proper storage, collection, and disposal measures for potential pollutants (such as fuel, fertilizers, pesticides, etc.) used on-site. g. Petroleum products shall be stored, handled, used, and disposed of properly in accordance with provisions of the Clean Water Act (33 USC § 1251 to 1387). h. During the wet season, construction materials, including topsoil, chemicals and quarried materials transported by barge (regardless of the season) shall be stored, covered, and isolated to prevent runoff losses and contamination of surface and groundwater. i. Fuel and vehicle maintenance areas shall be established away from all drainage courses and designed to control runoff. j. Sanitary facilities shall be provided for construction workers. k. Disposal facilities shall be provided for soil wastes, including excess asphalt during construction and demolition. l. The Tribe shall require all workers be trained in the proper handling, use, cleanup, and disposal of all chemical materials used during construction activities and provide appropriate facilities to store and isolate contaminants. m. The Tribe shall require all contractors involved in the project be trained on the potential environmental damages resulting from soil erosion prior to development by conducting a pre-construction conference. Copies of the project's erosion control plan shall be distributed at this time. All construction bid packages, contracts, plans, and specifications shall contain language that requires adherence to the plan. n. Construction activities shall be scheduled to minimize land disturbance during peak runoff periods. Soil conservation practices shall be completed during the fall or late winter to reduce erosion during spring runoff. o. Creating construction zones and grading only one area or part of a construction zone at a time shall minimize exposed areas. If possible during the wet season, grading on a particular zone shall be delayed until protective cover is restored on the previously graded zone. 			

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>p. Utility installations and decommissioning shall be coordinated to limit the number of excavations.</p> <p>q. Preserving as much natural cover, topography, and drainage as possible shall protect disturbed soils from rainfall during construction. Trees and shrubs shall not be removed unnecessarily.</p> <p>r. Disturbed areas shall be stabilized as promptly as possible, especially on long or steep slopes. Recommended plant materials and mulches shall be used to establish protective ground cover. Vegetation such as fast-growing annual and perennial grasses shall be used to shield and bind the soil. Mulches and artificial binders shall be used until vegetation is established. Where truck traffic is frequent, gravel approaches shall be used to reduce soil compaction and limit the tracking of sediment. The project shall use a preponderance of drought resistant species native to the Richmond area in the selection of vegetation, plants, mulches, or other plant material used in re-vegetation or soil stabilization.</p> <p>s. Surface water runoff shall be controlled by directing flowing water away from critical areas and by reducing runoff velocity. Diversion structures such as terraces, dikes, and ditches shall collect and direct runoff water around vulnerable areas to prepared drainage outlets. Surface roughening, berms, check dams, hay bales, use of permeable paving surfaces or similar measures shall be used to reduce runoff velocity and erosion.</p> <p>t. Sediment shall be contained when conditions are too extreme for treatment by surface protection. Temporary sediment traps, filter fabric fences, inlet protectors, vegetative filters and buffers, or settling basins shall be used to detain runoff water long enough for sediment particles to settle out.</p> <p>u. Topsoil removed during construction shall be carefully stored and treated as an important resource. Berms shall be placed around topsoil stockpiles to prevent runoff during storm events.</p> <p>v. Encountered groundwater shall be removed from trenches and excavations in such a manner as to reduce potential contact with construction materials, construction personnel, and surface waters and shall be disposed of at an appropriately permitted facility such as a wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) in accordance with the requirements of the NPDES permit.</p>			

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>2-2 For project components located on fee lands (as may be the case with the residential component of Alternatives B and B1 and all of Alternative D), the Tribe shall apply for a grading permit from the City for construction activities in compliance with Chapter 12.44 Excavation, Grading and Earthwork Construction of the City of Richmond (City) Municipal Code. Furthermore, the Tribe shall have an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan prepared by a licensed civil engineer for lands that are to remain in fee status.</p> <p>For trust lands, the Tribe would adopt and implement a Grading Ordinance equivalent to the requirements of the City's grading permit (Chapter 12.44 Excavation, Grading and Earthwork Construction).</p> <p>Permanent erosion control and stormwater management features shall be consistent with relevant Bay Plan policies including, but not limited to, Policies 1 through 4 detailing design, construction, and long-term maintenance guidance.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the City Municipal Code to include the preparation of an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan, and to comply with the Bay Plan. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>2-3 The Tribe shall develop a design-grade Stormwater Control Plan in compliance with the City's Municipal Code 12.22.050, required during submission for the permit to construct for project components on fee and trust lands. The Code requires the Stormwater Control Plan to include the provisions outlined within the most current version of Contra Costa County's Stormwater Quality C.3 Guidelines (C.3 Guidelines). The Stormwater Control Plan shall include final design specifications as required and therefore will be developed at the time the application for development is made. The Stormwater Control Plan shall include all the provisions of the most current C.3 Guidelines.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the City Municipal Code to include the preparation of a Stormwater Control Plan consistent with the C.3. Guidelines. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>2-4 The Tribe shall develop a Demolition and Containment Plan to reduce the potential for contamination of the Bay from the covering/removal of the petroleum conveyance pipeline during pier renovation. The plan shall include provisions for control of potential releases of piping, piping materials, and pipe contents into the San Francisco Bay. The plan shall also include capture and associated disposal provisions of any residual petroleum products or any other substances that may be released from the pipeline during demolition activity.</p>	Revise	4.8-2	This mitigation measure was retained, but additional language was added to specify hazardous materials storage protocols and performance standards.
<p>2-5 The Tribe shall adopt standards equivalent to the California Plumbing code for gray water recycling. The standards would apply to subsurface application procedures for landscape irrigation with gray water to protect water quality. Gray water shall be monitored for water quality to determine adequacy for subsurface irrigation use. The gray water system shall be plumbed to allow for diversion to the sewerage conveyance system in the event of unacceptable gray water quality or system failure.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	The Modified Project does not propose graywater recycling. Therefore, this mitigation measure is not relevant for the Modified Project.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
5.2.3 AIR QUALITY Construction And Remediation Emissions			
3-1 Water all active construction and remediation areas at least three times daily during dry weather.	Revise	MM 4.2-1(a)	This mitigation measure was retained but revised for consistency with BAAQMD Basic Construction Mitigation Measures.
3-2 Cover all trucks hauling soil, sand, excavated soil from remedial activities, and other loose materials or require all trucks to maintain at least two feet of freeboard.	Revise	MM 4.2-1(b)	This mitigation measure was retained but revised for consistency with BAAQMD Basic Construction Mitigation Measures.
3-3 Pave or apply (non-toxic) soil stabilizers on all unpaved access roads, parking areas and staging areas at construction sites.	Delete	Not applicable	This mitigation was removed as construction emissions would be reduced through implementation of BAAQMD Basic Construction Mitigation Measures.
3-4 Sweep daily (with water sweepers) all paved access roads, parking areas, and staging areas at construction sites.	Revise	MM 4.2-1(c)	This mitigation measure was retained but revised for consistency with BAAQMD Basic Construction Mitigation Measures.
3-5 Sweep streets daily (with water sweepers) if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent public streets	Revise	MM 4.2-1(c)	This mitigation measure was retained but revised for consistency with BAAQMD Basic Construction Mitigation Measures.
3-6 Hydroseed or apply (non-toxic) soil stabilizes to inactive construction areas (previously graded areas inactive for ten days or more).	Delete	Not applicable	This mitigation was removed as construction emissions would be reduced through implementation of BAAQMD Basic Construction Mitigation Measures.
3-7 Enclose, cover, water twice daily or apply (non-toxic) soil binders to exposed stockpiles (dirt, sand, etc.).	Revise	MM 4.2-1(a)	This mitigation measure was retained but revised for consistency with BAAQMD Basic Construction Mitigation Measures.
3-8 Limit traffic speeds on unpaved roads to 15 miles per hour.	Revise	MM 4.2-1(d)	This mitigation measure was retained but revised for consistency with BAAQMD Basic Construction Mitigation Measures.
3-10 Install sandbags or other erosion control measures to prevent silt runoff to public roadways.	Delete	Not applicable	This mitigation was removed as construction emissions would be reduced through implementation of BAAQMD Basic Construction Mitigation Measures.
3-11 Install wheel washers for all exiting trucks, or wash off the tires or tracks of all trucks and equipment leaving the site.	Revise	MM 4.2-1(c)	This mitigation measure was retained but revised for consistency with BAAQMD Basic Construction Mitigation Measures.
3-12 Install windbreaks, or plant trees/vegetative windbreaks at windward side(s) of construction areas.	Delete	Not applicable	This mitigation was removed as construction emissions would be reduced through implementation of BAAQMD Basic Construction Mitigation Measures.
3-13 Suspend excavation and grading activity when winds (instantaneous gusts) exceed 25 miles per hour.	Delete	Not applicable	This mitigation was removed as construction emissions would be reduced through implementation of BAAQMD Basic Construction Mitigation Measures.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
3-14 Limit the area subject to excavation, grading and other construction activity at any one time.	Delete	Not applicable	This mitigation was removed as construction emissions would be reduced through implementation of BAAQMD Basic Construction Mitigation Measures.
3-15 Heavy, diesel-powered equipment idling shall be limited to two minutes.	Revise	MM 4.2-1(f)	This mitigation measure was retained but revised for consistency with BAAQMD Basic Construction Mitigation Measures.
3-16 The Tribe shall implement the following measures to reduce criteria pollutants and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from construction activities: a. Heavy duty construction equipment shall be equipped with a diesel particulate matter filter and a diesel oxidation catalyst. b. The Tribe shall fully fund a program to encourage and facilitate the use of 'carpools' by construction workers, including providing off-site locations for construction workers to park their vehicles and meet to carpool. c. The Tribe shall use heavy duty construction equipment that meets the California Air Resources Board's (CARB) Off-Road Compression-Ignition (Diesel) Engines and Equipment certification standard and CARB's Heavy-Duty Vehicle Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Regulation standards. d. The Tribe shall provide a storage area for recyclables and green waste during construction.	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the CARB emissions standards and regulations, the City's recycling requirements, and the City's Climate Action Plan. The City has imposed other GHG emission reduction measures other than construction worker carpooling that it believes would more effectively reduce GHG emissions. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
5.2.3 AIR QUALITY Operational Emissions			
3-17 Implementation of the following mitigation measures would further reduce criteria pollutants by 6.5 percent under the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) CEQA Guidelines, 1999 (Appendix W). a. The Tribe shall coordinate with regional ridesharing organizations, provide employee and customer access to guaranteed ride home programs, and fully fund measures such as carpool/vanpool subsidies and preferential parking for carpools and vanpools. This BAAQMD mitigation measure would reduce criteria pollutants by two percent (BAAQMD, 1999). b. The Tribe shall provide and fully fund the following on-site transit use incentives for employees: construct transit facilities such as bus turnouts, bus bulbs, benches, bus shelters, and landscaping at transit stops. This BAAQMD mitigation measure would reduce emissions of criteria pollutants by one percent (BAAQMD, 1999). c. The Tribe shall design and locate buildings for easy access to public transportation. This BAAQMD mitigation measure would reduce projects emissions by 0.5 percent (BAAQMD, 1999).	Revise	MM 4.2-2(e)	This mitigation measure was retained but revised for consistency with the Transportation Demand Management program described in Section 4.13 of the SEIR and to make the TDM measures more suitable for the type of project now proposed.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>d. The Tribe shall provide and fully fund shuttle service to transit stations/multimodal centers. Project-operated shuttle buses will be required to run at least two times per hour and on-time. Because the resort is proposed to be open 24 hours per day (Alternatives A, B, C, and B1), shuttle buses that provide service to public transit stops (e.g., the Tewksbury Turnaround) shall run at night and on weekends when those carriers provide service (e.g., shuttle buses shall serve the Richmond Intermodal Station when trains are running). Shuttle bus schedules will mesh with those of AC Transit, BART, Golden Gate Transit, and other public transit providers, who will be incentivized to provide safe, frequent, and reliable service on schedules and at locations compatible with the resort shuttle buses. The Tribe shall continue consultation and coordination with the regional public transit providers in the final planning and implementation phases of project development. This BAAQMD mitigation measure would reduce emissions of criteria pollutants by two percent (BAAQMD, 1999).</p> <p>e. The Tribe shall provide and fully fund sidewalks and/or paths, connected to adjacent land uses, transit stops, and/or community-wide network. This BAAQMD mitigation measure would reduce emissions of criteria pollutants (BAAQMD, 1999).</p> <p>f. The Tribe shall provide and fully fund showers and lockers for employees bicycling or walking to work. This BAAQMD mitigation measure would reduce emissions of criteria pollutants (BAAQMD, 1999).</p> <p>g. The Tribe shall provide and fully fund adequate and secure short-term bicycle parking for retail customers and other non-commute trips. This BAAQMD mitigation measure would reduce emissions of criteria pollutants (BAAQMD, 1999).</p> <p>h. The Tribe shall provide and fully fund safe, attractive pedestrian access from project to transit stops and adjacent developments. This BAAQMD mitigation measure would reduce emissions of criteria pollutants (BAAQMD, 1999).</p> <p>i. The Tribe will provide and fully fund secure, weather-protected bicycle parking for employees. This BAAQMD mitigation measure would reduce emissions of criteria pollutants (BAAQMD, 1999).</p>			
<p>3-18 Implementation of the following mitigation measures would reduce criteria pollutants from Alternatives A and C by 17 percent and Alternatives B, D, and B1 by 23 percent under the Sacramento Municipal Air Quality Management District's (SMAQMD) <i>Recommended Guidance for Land Use Emission Reductions, 2007</i> (Appendix W). The SMAQMD provides reduction percentages for mitigation measures, which quantify reductions for criteria pollutants. These proposed mitigation measures are standard project mitigation measures that are applicable in most air districts.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.2 of the SEIR.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>a. The Tribe shall plant trees near structures. Trees near structures act as insulators from weather thereby decreasing energy requirements. A one percent reduction in criteria pollutant would occur with the implementation of this mitigation measure.</p> <p>b. Alternatives B, D, and B1 provide residential clustering, which preserves forest/woodland resources, while preserving and restoring open space. A five percent reduction in criteria pollutant would occur with the implementation of this mitigation measure/design feature.</p> <p>c. The Tribe shall ensure the use of solar, low-emission, central, or tank less water heaters and install wall insulation and use energy efficient appliances in the residences, hotel, and casino that shall exceed Title 24 requirements. A one percent reduction in criteria pollutant would occur with the implementation of this mitigation measure.</p> <p>d. The Tribe shall implement Smart Land Use strategies including: mixed-use development, which would reduce vehicle trips and promote efficient delivery of services and goods, and would include travel demand management measures. A four percent reduction in criteria pollutants would occur with the implementation of this mitigation measure.</p> <p>e. The Tribe shall, in cooperation with the City of Richmond, coordinate traffic lights at controlled intersections so that traffic passes more efficiently through congested areas. A three percent reduction in criteria pollutants would occur with the implementation of this mitigation measure.</p> <p>f. The Tribe shall encourage the use of public transit systems by enhancing safety and cleanliness at transit stops. In cooperation with the City of Richmond, the Tribe shall provide new transit stops along Western Drive as needed, to be maintained by the Tribe. A one percent reduction in criteria pollutants would occur with the implementation of this mitigation measure.</p> <p>g. The Proposed Project would be located within one-half mile of an existing/planned Class I or Class II bike lane. A one percent reduction in criteria pollutants would occur with the implementation of this mitigation measure.</p> <p>h. The project design would contain (either on-site or off-site) three of the following within one-quarter mile: residential development (Alternatives B, D, and B1), retail development, park, open space, and office. A three percent reduction in criteria pollutants would occur with the implementation of this design feature.</p> <p>i. The Tribe shall provide a complimentary electric lawnmower to each residential buyer of single-family homesites (Alternative D only). A one percent reduction in criteria pollutants would occur with the implementation of this mitigation measure.</p>			

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> j. Project design shall not incorporate fireplaces or wood burning stoves. A one percent reduction in criteria pollutants would occur with the implementation of this mitigation measure. k. The Tribe shall provide an on-site, renewable energy system by installing a solar array capable of producing at least 1.5 megawatts of power. A two percent reduction in criteria pollutants would occur with the implementation of this mitigation measure/design feature. 			
<p>3-19 One or more of the following measures shall be implemented within the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin (SFBAAB) prior to the beginning of construction of the Proposed Project in an effort to reduce nitrogen oxides (NO_x), reactive organic gases (ROG), particulate matter 2.5 micron in size (PM_{2.5}) emissions to less than 10 tons per year (tpy), and particulate matter 10 micron in size (PM₁₀) emissions to less than 15 tpy, and GHG emissions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Pave or resurface unpaved or “poor” roadway(s) (as defined in the <i>Pavement Management Program Report</i>, City of Richmond, 2008), which have a minimum daily vehicle count of 100 vehicles. b. Purchase low emission buses to replace aging buses used in the City of Richmond, Contra Costa County, and/or regional school districts. c. Purchase new hybrid vehicles to replace the City of Richmond’s aging fleet. d. Purchase criteria pollutant Emission Reduction Credits that are available from sources within the SFBAAB. e. Contribute a fair share percentage to the synchronization of traffic signals within the City of Richmond. f. Contribute to a program to retrofit residential fireplaces that do not meet USEPA certification standards within the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin. g. Fund low emission engine upgrades for ferry vessels. h. Purchase Criteria and GHG emissions credits (a valid credit must be real, quantifiable, permanent, and enforceable) in the amount specified in Tables 5-1A, 5-1B, and 5-2. <p>Prior to construction, the Tribe shall develop an Air Quality Mitigation Plan, which would identify the project(s) that will reduce project-related emissions to below BAAQMD thresholds under Mitigation Measure 3-19 a through h. The Mitigation Plan shall quantify the reductions in ROG, NO_x, PM₁₀, and GHGs and provide a detailed methodology for conducting the quantifications. The Tribe shall submit the Mitigation Plan to the BAAQMD for at least 30 days to review the quantification methodology in the plan for technical adequacy.</p> <p>Table 5-1B presents operational criteria pollutant emissions from each alternative, as well as reductions in emissions from Mitigation</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.2 of the SEIR.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>Measures 3-17 and 3-18. The table also quantifies reductions required from Mitigation Measure 3-19 to reduce emissions below BAAQMD CEQA thresholds, after Mitigation Measures 3-17 and 3-18 are applied.</p> <p>Implementation of the Mitigation Measures provided below would further reduce the emission of criteria pollutants for Alternatives A, B, C, D, and B1.</p>			
<p>3-20 The Tribe shall fund and implement travel demand management (TDM) measures. Measures shall include, but may not be limited to, the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Designation of an on-site TDM coordinator. TDM measures shall be integrated with AC Transit, BART, and Golden Gate Transit services. b. The Tribe shall participate in the EPA's Best Workplaces for Commuters Program (http://www.bestworkplaces.org/index.htm). c. The Tribe shall provide transit passes (free or subsidized) and transit schedule information to employees. d. The Tribe shall provide a curbside covered and lighted passenger waiting area on-site. e. The Tribe shall provide ample covered bicycle parking at commercial areas and parks. f. Buses serving the project site shall be equipped with bicycle racks. g. The Tribe shall consider bicycle and pedestrian circulation in the design of intersections and turning movements, and adequate sidewalk facilities, striped crosswalks, and pedestrian countdown signals. h. A free or reduced parking fee and preferentially located parking spaces shall be provided for carpools (three or more passengers) or vanpools at the project site to encourage ridesharing. i. The Tribe shall assist in funding the improvements necessary to connect the Bay Trail south of I-580 to the proposed segment north of the freeway. j. The Tribe shall sponsor charter buses from various destinations around the Bay Area. k. The Tribe shall provide and fund a shuttle between the project site and one or more transit hubs in the local area. l. The Tribe shall encourage patrons to use public transit by providing coupons (for food, beverage, entertainment, etc.) or other incentives to patrons who arrive via public transit. 	Revise	MM 4.2-2(e)	This mitigation measure was retained but revised for consistency with the Transportation Demand Management program described in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
<p>3-21 Buses and other commercial diesel-fueled vehicles shall comply with the California Air Resource Board's (CARB) Airborne Toxic Control Measure to Limit Diesel-Fueled Commercial Motor Vehicle Idling (California Code of Regulations, Title 13, Division 3, Article 1, Chapter</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
10, Section 2485), which requires that the driver of any diesel bus shall not idle for more than five minutes at any location, except in the case of passenger boarding where a ten minute limit is imposed, or when passengers are onboard. Furthermore, the Tribe shall provide a "Drivers Lounge" for bus and truck drivers to discourage idling.			jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required comply with state regulations. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>3-22 The Tribe shall work with AC Transit to expand its current service and contribute funds and supplement operating expenses to implement the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bus and/or shuttle schedules tied to the timing of employee shifts; and • New routes to serve employee population centers around the project site. 	Delete	Not applicable.	This mitigation measure was retained but revised for consistency with the Transportation Demand Management program described in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
<p>3-23 In coordination with the regional transportation agencies, such as AC Transit, Golden Gate Transit, and Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) rail, the Tribe shall provide funding for the following to support regularly-scheduled community transit or shuttle service to and from the nearest mutually-acceptable major transit node:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Transit shelter benches, b. Street lighting, c. Route signs and display, and d. Bus turnouts. 	Revise	MM 4.2-2(e)	This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Sections 4.2 and 4.13 of the SEIR.
<p>3-24 The Tribe shall maintain all company vehicles to manufacturer's specifications.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with state regulations. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>3-25 The Tribe shall implement and fund the following mitigation measures used in the URBEMIS air quality modeling program:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Tribe shall provide building energy savings 20 percent beyond Title 24 requirements. • The Tribe shall use 20 percent electric landscape maintenance equipment. • The Tribe shall use low VOC emitting architectural coatings on commercial and residential buildings 	Delete	Not applicable.	This mitigation measure is out of date, as Title 24 has been updated and made stricter. The requirements to use electric landscape maintenance equipment and low VOC emitting architectural coatings have been retained by other mitigation measures in Section 4.2 of the SEIR.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
5.2.3 AIR QUALITY Climate Change			
3-26 The Tribe shall purchase local building materials whenever feasible.	Delete	Not applicable.	This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.2 of the SEIR.
3-27 The Tribe shall ensure the albedo rating of rooftop materials is at least 30.	Delete	Not applicable.	This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.2 of the SEIR.
3-28 The Tribe shall use environmentally preferable materials to the extent practical for construction of facilities. The Tribe shall meet or exceed Green Building Council Standards for new and retrofit construction. Buildings shall be constructed and designed to meet Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) or equivalent certification standard, except with respect to indoor smoking allowed in certain restricted areas.	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with state green building standards. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
3-29 The Tribe shall use low-flow appliances where feasible and utilize both potable and non-potable water to the extent practicable. The Tribe shall use a preponderance of drought resistant species native to the Richmond area in the selection of vegetation, plants, mulches, or other plant material used in site landscaping, with the exception of shoreline park areas, green walls and green roofs, and areas adjacent to the resort and residential buildings where non-native species may be utilized. These areas will use recycled, treated gray water as an irrigation source. "Save Water" signs shall be placed near water faucets throughout the development.	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with state green building standards. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
3-30 The Tribe shall institute and fund an on-site waste composting program.	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with state and local waste diversion goals. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
3-31 The Tribe shall incorporate advanced lighting design, including daylighting, where feasible. Advanced lighting design and day lighting would reduce project related GHG emissions by reducing electrical energy usage.	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with state green building standards. This is

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
			required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>3-32 The Tribe shall require the use of energy efficient lighting, which would reduce indirect GHG emissions. Where available, Energy Star equipment and appliances shall be used throughout the development. Using energy efficient lighting and Energy Star equipment and appliances would reduce the Project's energy usage, thus, reducing the projects indirect GHG emissions.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with state green building standards, state energy efficiency code, and the City's Climate Action Plan. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>3-33 The Tribe shall use alternative-fueled (e.g., biodiesel and electric) construction and maintenance vehicles/equipment of at least 15 percent of the fleet.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.2 of the SEIR.
<p>3-34 The Tribe shall use at least 10 percent local building materials.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.2 of the SEIR.
<p>3-35 The Tribe shall recycle at least 50 percent of construction waste or demolition materials.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the City's waste diversion requirements.
<p>5.2.3 AIR QUALITY Improvement Measures</p>			
<p>3-36 The Tribe shall plant trees and other carbon-sequestering vegetation on-site. The addition of photosynthesizing plants would reduce atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) because plants use CO₂ for elemental carbon and energy production. Trees planted near buildings would result in additional benefits by providing shade to the buildings, reducing heat absorption and the need for air conditioning.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	The Modified Project proposes to plant trees on site, but planting trees would be insufficient to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.2 of the SEIR.
<p>3-37 A Solid Waste Management Plan (SWMP) shall be adopted by the Tribe that addresses recycling and solid waste reduction on-site. The plan shall have at least a 50 percent diversion goal, which includes reduction, recycling, and reuse measures.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
			comply with state and local waste diversion goals. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>3-38 The Tribe shall install a living roof on the conference center. Living roofs reduce GHG emissions in two ways. First, a living roof would sequester carbon through the planting of vegetation. Second, a living roof reduces energy used for heating and cools the interior of a building.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project and would conflict with solar and other rooftop requirements for the uses and building types proposed by the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.2 of the SEIR.
<p>3-39 The Tribe shall provide recycling bins in accessible areas on the project site. Retail establishments throughout the proposed development shall discourage the use of plastic bags by providing reusable cloth bags at a nominal fee as well as charging a small fee for the use of plastic bags. Additionally, restaurants within the resort shall offer menu items featuring regionally-produced and organic food. Recycling, use of reusable bags, and local sourcing of food products reduce GHG emissions from indirect energy use, landfills, and manufacturing of raw materials.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with state and local waste goals and single-use plastic bag bans. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>3-40 The Tribe shall use an energy-efficient key card building system, which turns off lights as guests leave their hotel rooms. The use of an energy-efficient key card building system would reduce project related GHG emissions by reducing electrical energy usage</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This mitigation measure is no longer applicable to address impacts from the Modified Project, which does not propose hotel uses. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.2 of the SEIR.
<p>3-41 The Tribe shall fund a program or contribute to an existing program that would assist in weatherizing Richmond-area homes. Retrofitting area homes would reduce GHG emission by reducing energy usage.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.2 of the SEIR.
<p>3-42 The Tribe shall participate in the Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) company's ClimateSmart program. The Climate Smart program allows the Tribe to contribute a set amount of money each month to PG&E's development of green energy. The program is based on energy usage and would reduce GHG emissions from indirect sources.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.2 of the SEIR.
<p>3-43 The Tribe shall implement Mitigation Measure 3-17 to further reduce GHG emission from project related sources.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.2 of the SEIR.
<p>3-44 The Tribe shall implement Mitigation Measure 3-18, 19, 3-21, and 3-24 to further reduce GHG emission from project related sources.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.2 of the SEIR.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
5.2.3 AIR QUALITY Indoor Air Quality			
3-45 The Tribe shall ensure that ventilation of air is consistent with ASHRAE Standard 62-1999 under all operating conditions.	Delete	Not Applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included casino facilities in which indoor tobacco smoking would be allowed. Indoor tobacco smoking would not be allowed under the Modified Project in compliance with state regulations.
3-46 To limit public exposure to environmental tobacco smoke, the Tribe shall provide non-smoking areas, or “smoke-free zones” in the casino gaming area.	Delete	Not Applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included casino facilities in which indoor tobacco smoking would be allowed. Indoor tobacco smoking would not be allowed under the Modified Project in compliance with state regulations.
3-47 Signage shall be prominently displayed alerting patrons and employees of areas that permit smoking, noting that environmental tobacco smoke has been found to be deleterious to health, and noting the availability of a brochure(s) describing the health effects of exposure environmental tobacco smoke.	Delete	Not Applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included casino facilities in which indoor tobacco smoking would be allowed. Indoor tobacco smoking would not be allowed under the Modified Project in compliance with state regulations.
3-48 A brochure(s) describing the health effects of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke shall be made available to casino patrons in common areas that permit smoking.	Delete	Not Applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included casino facilities in which indoor tobacco smoking would be allowed. Indoor tobacco smoking would not be allowed under the Modified Project in compliance with state regulations.
3-49 Prospective employees shall be informed, prior to their hire that indoor smoking is permitted in portions of the buildings where they may be employed.	Delete	Not Applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included casino facilities in which indoor tobacco smoking would be allowed. Indoor tobacco smoking would not be allowed under the Modified Project in compliance with state regulations.
3-50 Prospective employees shall be given a brochure(s) describing the health effects of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke.	Delete	Not Applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included casino facilities in which indoor tobacco smoking would be allowed. Indoor tobacco smoking would not be allowed under the Modified Project in compliance with state regulations.
3-51 The Tribe shall ensure that significant expected sources of pollutant emissions are isolated from occupants using physical barriers, exhausts, and pressure controls. Non-smoking areas shall be located upwind (relative to the direction of building exhaust flow) from those areas that permit smoking.	Delete	Not Applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included casino facilities in which indoor tobacco smoking would be allowed. Indoor tobacco smoking would not be allowed under the Modified Project in compliance with state regulations.
3-52 The Tribe shall ensure that outdoor air entering the building is protected from contamination from local outdoor sources and from building exhausts and sanitation vents.	Delete	Not Applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included casino facilities in which indoor tobacco smoking would be allowed. Indoor tobacco smoking would not be allowed under the Modified Project in compliance with state regulations.
3-53	Delete	Not Applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included casino facilities in which indoor

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
The Tribe shall ensure that provisions are made for easy access to HVAC equipment requiring periodic maintenance.			tobacco smoking would be allowed. Indoor tobacco smoking would not be allowed under the Modified Project in compliance with state regulations.
5.2.4 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Habitats			
<p>4-1 Habitat mitigation shall be accomplished by a combined 2:1 replacement/restoration ratio, when appropriate. Replacement/restoration at this ratio is appropriate mitigation for annual grassland and coastal scrub habitats. For every acre of habitat impacted (e.g., coastal scrub), an acre of equivalent habitat type (e.g., coastal scrub) on-site shall be placed into an open space preserve that includes a permanent conservation easement protecting the total area of open space in perpetuity. Open space preserves shall be fenced with post and cable fencing to deter trespassing within the preserves. Boundary signs shall also be installed at appropriately spaced intervals along the perimeter of the preserves to delineate the boundaries of the preserves and discourage trespassing. The boundary signs shall read "Protected Habitat, No Trespassing." In addition to open space preservation, not less than a 1:1 ratio shall be restored (i.e., converted) into the equivalent impacted habitat type. The non-native, on-site habitats (e.g., eucalyptus woodland, invasive scrub, and/or landscape plantings) on-site shall be the habitat areas used for restoration. Once the habitat restoration is achieved, post and cable fencing and boundary signs shall be installed (as mentioned above), along the perimeters of all the restoration areas to discourage trespassing. Table 5-3 summarizes the mitigation replacement/restoration ratios required for native habitat mitigation when appropriate.</p>	Revise	MM 4.3-11, 4.3-12, 4.3-18	<p>Annual grasslands: Due to the dominance of invasive vegetation within the annual grasslands community, no mitigation is recommended for the conversion of this habitat type. Additional habitat surveys of the Project Site have identified those grassland areas with a high percentage of native grasses (coastal terrace prairie). These areas have been included within habitat mapping and are covered under MM 4.3-18 at a 2:1 mitigation to impacts ratio.</p> <p>Coastal scrub: Mitigation is included as MM 4.3-11. Updated coastal scrub mitigation to allow for selective preservation, creation, or restoration such that the previously recommended 2:1 ratio remains unchanged, but the proportion of preservation to creation and/or restoration is no longer restricted. Complete removal of invasive scrub has also been included.</p> <p>Open space preserves: The 2011 FEIR analyzed for anticipated Tribal ownership. The SEIR addresses private landowners and City ownership over a portion of the project site to remain as public access. To comply with local regulations regarding land use, a majority of the project site shall be retained as open space with public access. Therefore, an Open Space Plan was recommended to address management and restoration of City-owned lands (MM 4.3-12). Language regarding a fenced-off open space preserve has been removed.</p>
<p>4-2 The on-site, mixed riparian habitat shall be avoided to the maximum extent feasible. Each alternative shall be amended and reconfigured to the maximum extent feasible such that avoidance of the on-site, mixed riparian habitat is accomplished.</p>	Revise	MM 4.3-14	Due to potential impacts to mixed riparian habitat, MM 4.3-14 includes additional information regarding the appropriate permits and potential compensatory permit terms required for potential impacts. These areas are covered under MM 4.3-14 at a 3:1 mitigation to impacts ratio.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>4-3 Setbacks at a minimum of 50 feet shall be established (i.e., staked) around all areas of mixed riparian habitat unless the soils, slope, hydrology, vegetation and runoff potential of adjacent construction areas dictate that a greater buffer distance is required. Prior to the onset of development activities, high visibility fencing shall be installed to delineate the riparian setbacks. A qualified biologist shall be present during development activities that ensue within the vicinity of the fenced riparian setbacks. The qualified biologist shall act as a construction monitor to ensure the fencing remains intact and that construction activities do not penetrate these avoidance buffers. Future development shall not occur within the riparian setbacks once established.</p>	Revise	MM 4.3-14	Due to potential impacts to mixed riparian habitat, this measure has been revised to require setbacks at a minimum of 50 feet, or the largest buffer possible when 50 feet is not feasible as certain activities will occur within 50 feet of this habitat type, specifically at the nexus between impacted and non-impacted mixed riparian habitat.
<p>4-4 The footprints of Alternatives B, D, and B1 include potential impacts to mixed riparian habitat. Alternatives B, D, and B1 shall be reconfigured to avoid and/or reduce this impact. If complete avoidance of mixed riparian habitat is not feasible and/or the project design cannot be reconfigured to avoid the mixed riparian habitat areas on-site, a Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement (Fish & Game Code Section 1600 et seq.) shall be obtained from the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) and habitat replacement ratios defined within the permit conditions shall be implemented for development on fee lands. Typical section 1600-permit mitigation occurs at a 3:1 ratio (acres created versus impacted), though individual permit conditions may vary.</p>	Revise	MM 4.3-14, MM 4.3-16	This mitigation measure has been updated to reflect current land ownership and regulatory requirements. Under the FIER, a Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement was only required on land held in fee. The Modified Project will not result in land held in trust. Therefore, this mitigation measure has been updated to include any impacts to mixed riparian habitat on the entire project site.
<p>4-5 The beach strand habitat on-site shall be completely avoided to the maximum extent feasible. Replacement/restoration is not appropriate for this habitat type due to its inherent intrinsic value, role as habitat for plant and wildlife species (including special-status species), increasing threats by development, and its currently limited distribution within the region. Under the current project description, total avoidance of beach strand habitat is accomplished. To assure avoidance and avoid impacts to the beach strand habitat on-site (and Bay Conservation and Development Commission [BCDC] jurisdictional areas), the existing roadways shall be used to the degree feasible. Improvement of the existing roadways may be implemented as necessary, but no new roadways shall be built in the vicinity of the beach strand habitat on-site.</p>	No Change	MM 4.3-15	No Change
<p>4-6 Setbacks shall be established (i.e., staked) around all areas of beach strand habitat within the footprint and vicinity of project development. Setback distances for areas of beach strand habitats shall be approved through consultation with BCDC taking into account the soils, slope, hydrology, vegetative cover and runoff potential of areas adjacent to beach strand habitat where construction will occur. Prior to the onset of development activities, high visibility fencing shall be installed to</p>	Revise	MM 4.3-15	This has been revised to define minimum and maximum setback requirements. BCDC permit information has been removed due to complete avoidance of this habitat type.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>delineate the beach strand setbacks. A qualified biologist shall be present during any and all development activities that ensue within the vicinity of the fenced beach strand setbacks. A qualified biologist shall act as a construction monitor to ensure the fencing remains intact and that construction activities do not penetrate these setback buffers. If complete avoidance is not feasible, consultation with BCDC would need to be initiated. Any impacts to beach strand habitat would require explicit approval by BCDC and conditions for such impacts would be specified within a permit, if applicable.</p>			
<p>4-7 The tidal marsh habitat on-site shall be completely avoided. While the final amount of setback will be determined by the jurisdictional agency, a minimum setback of 50 feet is recommended around the tidal marsh habitat on-site as a means of preventing any impacts to it from development. The 50-foot setback buffer shall be approved by the BCDC through consultation taking into account the soils, slope, hydrology, vegetative cover and runoff potential of areas adjacent to beach strand habitat where construction will occur. The exact width of the tidal marsh setback shall likely be a specified condition of the BCDC permit(s). The location of the Bay Trail in each of the alternatives should be amended and reconfigured, if necessary, such that the minimum 50-foot tidal marsh setback is accommodated and maintained. Prior to the onset of development activities, high visibility fencing shall be installed to delineate the tidal marsh setback. A qualified biologist shall be present during any and all development activities that ensue within the vicinity of the fenced tidal marsh setback. The qualified biologist shall act as a construction monitor to ensure the fencing remains intact and that construction activities do not penetrate this setback buffer.</p>	Revise	MM 4.3-17	<p>Since the FEIR, a proposed route for the San Francisco Bay Trail has been established and analyzed for impacts to biological resources, including the tidal marsh habitat (NCE, 2018). This trail alignment is included as part of the Modified Project and was determined to avoid impacts to tidal marsh habitat. Therefore, the recommendation that alternative trail alignments be evaluated to avoid tidal marsh impacts has been removed.</p>
<p>4-8 The eelgrass bed habitat on-site shall be completely avoided during construction and operation of the Proposed Project. Specifically, ferries servicing the retrofitted pier shall not come within 1,000 feet of the eel grass bed habitat. Based on the current project description, total avoidance of eelgrass bed habitat is accomplished because only the existing pier shall be utilized and the total surface area of the pier shall not be increased. Improvement of the existing pier may be implemented as necessary, but no new piers and/or structures shall be built in the vicinity of the eelgrass bed habitat on-site. All activities associated with the pier reuse shall be approved by the BCDC through consultation, and specific parameters associated with the pier reuse will likely be specified conditions of the BCDC permit(s). Aggregate materials scheduled for processing on-site would be transferred from designated crushing locations to barges docked at the end of the pier using a conveyor belt system. Sufficient dust control of crushed materials would be achieved prior to transport along the conveyor system using approved dust control BMPs. To reassure that all</p>	Revise	MM 4.3-4	<p>Details regarding the use of aggregate materials has been revised to reflect activities associated with the Modified Project.</p> <p>Restrictions on water vessel courses in the vicinity of the eelgrass habitat have been expanded to include any water vessel, not just ferries and barges.</p> <p>Requirements for a long-term monitoring plan for eelgrass approved by the National Marine Fisheries Service remain unchanged. Language referencing the consultation history between NMFS and the Bureau of Indian Affairs has been removed.</p> <p>The balance of this mitigation measure is unchanged.</p>

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>material transported along the conveyor has a low risk of being discharged into the Bay by wind erosion or any other causes, complete enclosure of the conveyor belt system will prohibit any loose aggregate, soils or dust from entering the Bay during these transport operations. All ferry and barge routes will be limited to the deepwater shipping channel when not moored at the pier and velocities shall be reduced as ferries approach the pier to reduce wakening. Ferry and barge speeds shall be limited to 10 knots or less within 750 feet of the pier. In addition, ferry traffic will not route from the terminal landward towards the shoreline and the mooring of private boats would not be allowed on the pier. Appropriate signage and/or a buoy system will be implemented to properly inform marine traffic of the sensitive eelgrass habitats and to help keep any misguided vessels away from these habitats. To assess the impacts of the ferry service on eelgrass on and directly adjacent to the project area, eelgrass surveys will be conducted for the project site immediately prior to and annually for three years following the implementation of the proposed ferry service, as recommended in a letter by NMFS dated September 15, 2010 and required by the BIA in a letter dated October 4, 2010 (Appendix FF). The surveys shall be done in accordance with a NMFS approved monitoring plan; the monitoring plan (including the location of a control site) shall be submitted to the NMFS prior to construction. Surveys of eelgrass distribution and density in both the project area and at a suitable control site shall be performed during the eelgrass growing season. Results of the pre- and post- ferry service surveys shall be provided to NMFS Santa Rosa office staff within 60 days of completion. If NMFS determines the project actions have adversely impacted eelgrass in or adjacent to the project area based on pre- and post- work distribution and density surveys, the BIA shall provide NMFS with an eelgrass mitigation plan within 60 days of completion of the final post-ferry survey. The mitigation plan shall include success criteria that are approved by the NMFS.</p>			
<p>4-9 To assure that the suggested habitat mitigation is implemented and achieved, a comprehensive Vegetation Management Plan (VMP) for the site shall be prepared by a qualified and regionally affiliated vegetation ecologist/restoration specialist. The VMP shall be divided into five components: Open Space Habitat Preserves, Open Space Restoration Preserves, Invasive Plant Species Management, Parkland Management, and Wildfire Prevention. For each of the five components, the VMP shall outline the specific goals of mitigation, describe detailed logistics and instructions for implementation of the specified goals, determine appropriate monitoring regimes and reporting requirements, establish success criteria, and devise an adaptive management strategy to ensure the goals of mitigation are achieved in perpetuity. The detailed composition of native grasses, forbs and shrubs used for restoration and replacement of impacted</p>	Revise	MM 4.3-12, MM 4.3-13	<p>Because land ownership on the project site will be split between the City and private landowners, this mitigation measure has been revised. An Open Space Plan (OSP) has been recommended for the portion of the property to be held by the City for public access. Vegetation management activities have been included for private land areas to be managed by the HOA.</p> <p>Provisions of both of these are designed to include components similar to those recommended in the FEIR.</p>

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>habitats shall be detailed in the VMP. All native plant species that occur on-site will be harvested for seeds, to the extent feasible, and used for re-establishment in these newly created habitats. The direct transplanting of individual native species or clusters of native species may also occur depending on the area of impact and the native species composition in the impact area. Areas selected for mitigation will be fully evaluated in the VMP, and to the maximum extent feasible, will be consistent in slope, aspect, soil type, and elevation when compared to the impacted habitat. In addition, native grass hydroseed mixes will be used for the re-vegetation of all disturbed slopes onsite consistent with the requirements set forth in the NPDES permit and SWPPP document.</p>			
<p>5.2.4 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Wetlands and other Waters of the U.S.</p>			
<p>4-10 The proposed development shall avoid filling of wetlands and other waters of the U.S. to prevent impacts to these features entirely, if feasible. Each alternative shall be amended and reconfigured, if necessary, such that avoidance of wetlands and/or waters of the U.S. is accomplished to the maximum extent feasible. Setbacks of 50 feet shall be established around each of the wetland features within the vicinity of project development, unless the soils, slope, hydrology, vegetation and runoff potential depict that a greater buffer distance is required. Setbacks would consist of the installation of high visibility fencing prior to the onset of any development activities. A qualified biologist shall be present during any and all construction activities that ensue within the vicinity of the wetland/other waters avoidance buffer zones. The qualified biologist shall act as a construction monitor to make sure the fencing remains intact and that construction activities do not penetrate the wetland avoidance buffer areas.</p>	Revise	4.3-19	<p>Minimum and maximum buffer details have been included in MM 4.3-19. Additional information on RWQCB jurisdiction has been included as the FEIR only considered Tribal ownership.</p>
<p>4-11 If complete avoidance of wetlands and other waters of the U.S. is not possible and impacts to these features cannot be avoided, authorization from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) is required. A Section 404 CWA permit shall be obtained from the USACE and wetland/other waters replacement ratios defined within the permit conditions shall be implemented. Typical 404-permit mitigation occurs at a ratio of 1:1 acres created versus impacted and 2:1 acres restored versus impacted, though individual permit conditions may vary. A CWA Section 401 water quality certification permit from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) is also required. In addition, a Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement (1600-permit) from the CDFG is required for impacts to streams, lakes, and riparian habitats on fee lands. Typical 1600-permit mitigation occurs at a 3:1 ratio of acres created versus impacted, though individual permit conditions may vary.</p>	Revise	4.3-19	<p>This mitigation measure has been updated to reflect current land ownership and regulatory requirements. Under the FEIR, a Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement was only required on land held in fee. The Modified Project will not result in land held in trust. Therefore, mitigation measure has been updated to include any impacts to habitats subject to state or local jurisdiction.</p> <p>Additional language is also provided on waters of the state, which is now included as a CEQA threshold of significance.</p> <p>Clarification is also provided that minimum mitigation and monitoring terms set forth in the OSP are subject to necessary permit terms and conditions.</p>

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>4-12 Wetland mitigation shall be accomplished through the creation of seasonal wetlands within open space preserves, which shall be established on-site at agency-approved locations. Ephemeral drainage mitigation shall be accomplished through the restoration of existing on-site streams, which were previously channeled (i.e., piped) underground as part of prior site developments. A detailed mitigation and monitoring plan shall be designed that includes all the necessary details regarding the location and size of the proposed wetlands/ephemeral drainages and open space preserves, monitoring stipulations, reporting requirements, responsibilities, and performance success criteria. The mitigation and monitoring plan shall meet the stipulated requirements of and be written in accordance with the 401, 404, and 1600 permits, if applicable.</p>	Revise	4.3-16	<p>This mitigation measure has been updated to reflect current land ownership and regulatory requirements. Minimum mitigation standards have also been defined. The Modified Project is subject to mitigation requirements for wetlands as dictated by USACE, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, and CDFW for the entirety of the project site.</p> <p>Clearly defined setbacks and construction monitoring are additionally recommended for all wetlands and ephemeral drainages, regardless of jurisdictional status.</p>
<p>4-13 Alternatives B, D, and B1 shall avoid the on-site population of Suisun Marsh aster to prevent impacts to this special-status plant, if feasible. If feasible, these alternatives shall be amended and reconfigured such that avoidance of the Suisun Marsh aster population and its habitat on-site is accomplished. Setbacks of 50 feet shall be established around the total area where the population occurs via high visibility fencing prior to the onset of development activities. A qualified botanist shall be present during any and all construction activities that ensue within the vicinity of the Suisun Marsh aster setback. The qualified botanist shall act as a construction monitor to ensure the fencing remains intact and that construction activities do not penetrate this setback.</p>	Revise	MM 4.3-1	<p>This mitigation measure was minimally revised to maintain consistency with the alternatives proposed for the Modified Project, and additional language was added to support further protection of Suisun Marsh Aster if avoidance is not feasible.</p>
<p>4-14 If complete avoidance of the Suisun Marsh aster population cannot be reasonably achieved, and impacts to this species are unavoidable, consultation shall be initiated with the CDFG (for Alternatives B, D, and B1). Upon CDFG approval, the impacted individual plants shall be transplanted out of their existing locations and into an equivalent and suitable wetland feature(s) that occurs within an established on-site open space preserve. A qualified botanist shall determine the exact transplanting locations and shall supervise all of the transplanting activities. Transplanting activities shall occur during the fall months, prior to the onset of heavy rains and inundation of seasonal wetland features to minimize transplant stress to the plants and assure transplant success. Transplanting activities shall not occur in the spring, summer, or winter months, unless prior approval from the CDFG is obtained.</p>	Revise	MM 4.3-1	<p>This mitigation measure was minimally revised to maintain consistency with the alternatives proposed for the Modified Project, and additional language was added to support further protection of Suisun Marsh Aster if avoidance is not feasible.</p>
<p>5.2.4 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Special-Status Bird Species</p>			
<p>4-15 Special-status and/or migratory bird and raptor species have potential to nest within the project site. Generally, the nesting season extends</p>	Revise	4.3-5	<p>Revised based on current recommendations for nesting bird surveys that reduce the timeframe of preconstruction nesting bird surveys from anytime</p>

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>from February through September with peak activity taking place from March through June. If any construction activities (e.g., tree and/or vegetation removal, grading) are scheduled to occur during the nesting season, pre-construction bird surveys shall be conducted. Pre-construction surveys for any nesting bird species shall be conducted by a qualified wildlife biologist throughout all regions of the site and vicinity, which are within 500 feet of any proposed construction activity (including thorough coverage of the pier). The surveys shall occur no more than 14 days prior to the scheduled onset of construction activities. If construction is delayed or halted for more than 14 days, another pre-construction survey for nesting bird species shall be conducted. If no nesting birds are detected during the pre-construction surveys no additional surveys are required.</p>			<p>within 14 days prior to construction to five days prior to construction (U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, 2020).</p>
<p>4-16 If special-status nesting bird species are observed within 500 feet of construction areas during the surveys, consultation shall be initiated with the CDFG and/or the USFWS. Through consultation, an appropriate course of action, acceptable setbacks, and a suitable monitoring plan shall be determined. If non-special-status nesting birds are observed during the surveys, an appropriate setback shall be determined based on the species, the location of the nest(s), and other pertinent biological attributes. Avoidance setbacks shall be established around all active nest locations via stakes and high visibility fencing. Typical setbacks are 250 feet for bird species and 500 feet for raptor species. The nesting bird setbacks shall be completely avoided during the duration of construction activities and the fencing must remain intact. The fencing may be removed when a qualified wildlife biologist confirms that the nest(s) is no longer occupied and all fledglings have left.</p>	Revise	4.3-5	<p>Specific buffer sizes are not described within the SEIR mitigation measure. Buffer size is recommended to be based solely on needs of an individual species rather than a standard buffer size.</p> <p>Agency consultation is now recommended as necessary for determination of buffer size and additional necessary mitigation for nests of special-status birds.</p>
<p>4-17 If impacts (i.e., take) to federal or state threatened or endangered bird species are unavoidable, an incidental take permit from the USFWS/CDFG would be required. Take is defined as to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, or collect any threatened or endangered bird species. Harm may also include significant habitat modification.</p>	No change	4.3-5	Not Applicable
<p>4-18 Outdoor lighting known to attract shorebirds and migratory birds (e.g., advertising lighting, lights on signs, spotlights, floodlights, etc.) shall not be used. All lighting fixtures associated with the development of the proposed project shall be designed to ensure maximum efficiency, eliminate direct upward light, reduce spill (i.e., light that spills beyond the intended areas to be lit, but that is not projected directly upward), be deliberately directed downward, be intentionally directed away from marshes and beaches, and optimize useful light. In addition, motion-sensitive lighting, lower intensity lights, and appropriately programmed timed lights shall be used to the maximum extent feasible. Nighttime</p>	Revise	4.3-6	<p>Additional information and studies on eelgrass have determined that artificial lighting has the potential to impact eelgrass and the behavior of wildlife within eelgrass habitat. Therefore, it is recommended that the Modified Project create a nighttime lighting plan subject to City approval that addresses impacts to both migratory birds and eelgrass. This provision replaces the adherence to FLAP, which only considers impacts to birds.</p>

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
lighting shall also be reduced to the maximum extent feasible including turning off lights from the hours of 11 pm to 7 am, unless they are essential for security purposes and are properly designed and installed to reduce light spillage. Lights that must be used during these designated nighttime hours shall be dimmed in order to reduce the intensity of light projected by the project. The proposed project should adhere to the Bird-Friendly Development Guidelines sponsored by the Fatal Light Awareness Program (FLAP, 2008).			
4-19 The facility shall implement practical measures to deter and/or minimize disturbance by common scavenging mammals (e.g., raccoons, opossums, feral cats, and skunks) which could potentially agitate, disrupt, or otherwise frighten bird species that may be present within the project site. Such measures shall include but are not limited to daily collection and removal of trash generated by the facility, the use of sealed and secure trash dumpsters and bins throughout the facility, and fencing around trash collection areas.	Revise	4.3-7	This mitigation measure was reworded for clarity, but the content remains consistent with how the measure was presented in the 2011 FEIR.
5.2.4 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Special-Status Mammal Species			
4-20 A qualified wildlife biologist shall conduct pre-construction surveys within all potentially suitable bat habitats (i.e., buildings which would be modified or demolished, the pier, and the eucalyptus woodland) that occur within the site and vicinity of any proposed construction activities. If no bats and/or evidence of bats (i.e., guano) are detected during the pre-construction surveys, no additional surveys are required.	Revise	4.3-8	The FEIR did not define a timeline constraint during which the preconstruction survey needed to be performed. This has been revised to require that preconstruction bat surveys be completed no more than seven days prior to construction.
4-21 If bats and/or evidence of bats are detected during the pre-construction surveys, a qualified bat biologist (i.e., specialist) shall facilitate bat evacuation and removal. This typically entails the installation of exclusionary (e.g., mist) nets around occupied habitats while the bats are away from their roosts. The netted habitats shall be monitored frequently at appropriate times and intervals to assure that all the bats have left the roosts and that no bats re-enter during the entire duration of all development activities. The qualified bat biologist shall determine the specific logistics and details regarding bat removal within the larger historic buildings on-site, after he or she has fully assessed the site. When construction activities are completed, the exclusionary nets shall be removed.	Revise	4.3-8	This has been revised to require agency notification prior to any bat exclusion performed by the qualified biologist. Additionally, the 2011 FIER did not include actions for potential bat roost trees. Therefore, this mitigation has been update to require a two-day removal process for any potential bat roost trees. All trees determined by the qualified biologist to be potential roost tree are to be marked as such with high-visibility markers in order to prevent accidental or inappropriate removal of a bat roost tree.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
5.2.5 CULTURAL RESOURCES			
<p>5-1 For Alternatives A, B, C, D, and B1, a Programmatic Agreement (PA) shall be developed that addresses impacts from construction of the project and that provides for long-term treatment and maintenance of historic properties/historical resources located within the project site.</p> <p>The PA shall be developed cooperatively by the City of Richmond, State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), Tribe, Bureau of Indian Affairs (for Alternatives A – C and B1), and other invited signatories. The agreement shall specify the means by which the significant impacts resulting from the project would be eliminated or reduced. The agreement would apply to the known historic properties/resources within the project site, including the Winehaven Historic District (CA-CCO-422H), the historic archaeological site (CA-CCO-506H), and the off-site prehistoric archaeological site (CA-CCO-284). While site CA-CCO-283 does not qualify as a historic property/resource, it will be afforded consideration under the PA due to the presence of a limited amount of human bone within the deposit.</p> <p>Provisions of the PA concerning the Winehaven National Register Historic District (CA-CCO-422H) shall address the following:</p> <p>The Tribe shall develop comprehensive Design Guidelines to comply with the <i>Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for the Treatment of Historic Properties</i> that will govern the rehabilitation of all retained buildings within the Historic District as well as new construction near or within the historic core of the District. The Design Guidelines should incorporate the Preservation regulations of the City of Richmond. Prior to implementation, such guidelines shall be reviewed by the City of Richmond's Historic Preservation Advisory Committee (HPAC). Recommended provisions of the Design Guidelines include the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The Winehaven Building (Building #1) carries distinctive decorative detailing that provides a unique texture and design for the building that depends partly upon its basic construction materials. Use of the appropriate materials and design detail in the rehabilitated Winehaven building, as well as all new construction in proximity to it, is critical to the retention of its character-defining image. b. Shoreline development in front of the main Winehaven Building could obscure the building, existing elements of the District, or detract from its character. This activity could occur just outside the District and still affect its setting. This potential impact should be avoided through sensitive placement and appropriate design of new construction. 	Revise	4.4-1, 4.4-2a/b, 4.4-4	This mitigation measure was revised to reflect several changes between the Casino Project and the Modified Project, including alterations in the project description, changes to the Winehaven Historic District, updates to AB 52, and the shift from the requirement for a new (additional) Programmatic Agreement to an Unanticipated Discoveries Treatment Plan. The original mitigation measure was split into several mitigation measures in the SEIR to maintain clarity between measure topics.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>c. All retained contributing elements of the Historic District shall be rehabilitated in keeping with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for the Treatment of Historic Properties and Design Guidelines for the Project that include preservation regulations of the City of Richmond. This will reduce negative impacts of alteration to less than significant.</p> <p>d. Prior to the demolition or alteration of any contributing buildings within the Historic District, the 1995 Historic American Building Survey (HABS) documentation shall be updated. The timbers and wood floors in Building 6 shall be reclaimed for reuse in architectural features of Alternatives A, B, C, D, and B1.</p> <p>e. Any new public entrances added to Building #1 shall be designed to be compatible with the character of the building.</p> <p>f. Any attachments to the existing historic buildings should be minimal and reversible. This includes additions, canopies, and exterior lighting.</p> <p>g. Existing windows within Buildings #1, 10, and 13 should be repaired and preserved to the maximum extent feasible. Any replacements must match the original windows. Installation of any new windows should be kept to a minimum and should be the same material, size and design character as existing windows.</p> <p>h. Metal sheet hood moldings over the paired windows on the west elevation and the three story portion of the Building #1 should be repaired and replaced. The original material should be retained wherever possible. Where it is missing, new hood moldings should be recreated to match the original.</p> <p>i. New construction south of Building #1 shall be cognizant of the existing scale, massing, and height of Building #1. The height of new construction shall be stepped-up gradually from the north side of new construction toward the south, with the lower side near Building #1.</p> <p>j. Damaged or deteriorated brickwork throughout Buildings #1, 10, and 13 should be repaired or replaced to match the existing brickwork.</p> <p>k. Any work involving the relocation of utilities, water, sewer or electrical facilities should avoid impacts to the visual character of the existing Historic District and its buildings. Installation of any utility features in visually prominent sites within the District or adjacent to its buildings shall be avoided.</p> <p>l. Building # 17 shall be relocated to an appropriate location within the Historic District in consultation with a qualified architectural historian.</p> <p>m. The two additions flanking the central (original) portion of the fire station (Building #63) shall be removed. These additions are not original and are not in keeping with the construction of the original building.</p>			

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>n. Retain open space, or the impression of space, between Building #1 and any new construction south of Building #1. Allow the Powerhouse and hillside to be viewed through space.</p> <p>o. Reconfiguration of Western Drive should de-emphasize the physical division of the east and western portions of the district. Use landscaping to help minimize the visual division.</p> <p>p. Landscaping within the Historic District should remain compatible with the original image of the complex. Historic photographs can be used as a guide.</p> <p>q. Limit the height of any new amenities on the west side of Building #1. New elements shall be oriented away from this area to avoid obscuring the view of the building.</p> <p>r. Incorporate design elements into the new construction that serve the same purpose of architectural detail on the four Teutonic influenced buildings and carry-over existing themes in scale and texture.</p> <p>The PA shall also provide for development of a Treatment Plan to address potential impacts to archaeological sites CA-CCO-283, CA-CCO-284, and CA-CCO-506H. Recommended mitigation includes the following:</p> <p>a. All ground disturbance or project components to be constructed in proximity to CA-CCO-506H shall be sited in a manner consistent with the recommendations of Volume II of the cultural resources investigation (Appendix Y) so as to avoid any construction or operational impacts to the resource;</p> <p>b. The core area of site CA-CCO-283, as described in Volume II of the cultural resources investigation (Appendix Y), shall be avoided by all ground disturbing activity;</p> <p>c. Site CA-CCO-284 shall be avoided by ground disturbing activities. Avoidance will be achieved by adhering to recommendations provided in Volume II of the cultural resources investigation (Appendix Y).</p> <p>d. Construction monitoring by a qualified archaeologist during construction work involving ground disturbance in the vicinity of the sites;</p> <p>e. Long-term protection of the sites by capping, fencing, or other means to prevent unauthorized or inadvertent intrusion.</p> <p>The PA shall provide for the development of a cultural interpretive center highlighting the long history of Point Molate and the surrounding community. The interpretive center shall be housed at one of the historic buildings within the Winehaven National Register District and shall include exhibits highlighting the history of native peoples, Winehaven, and the role of Point Molate and Richmond in World War II. The former shrimp camp that was located at Point Molate shall be recognized, memorialized, and interpreted throughout the project site in</p>			

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
a manner that would not subject it to looting of <i>in situ</i> archaeological remains.			
<p>5-2 The following requirements shall be included in contract specifications for construction activities associated with the Proposed Project, regardless of the alternative selected:</p> <p>In the event that any prehistoric, historic, or paleontological resources are discovered during construction-related earth-moving activities, all work within 50 feet of the resources shall be halted and a qualified archaeologist or paleontologist, as appropriate, shall be consulted to assess the significance of the find. If any find is determined to be significant by the qualified professional, then appropriate agency and project representatives and the qualified archaeologist and/or paleontologist shall meet to determine the appropriate course of action. All significant cultural or paleontological materials recovered shall be subject to scientific analysis, professional museum curation, and a report prepared by the qualified archaeologist or paleontologist according to current professional standards.</p> <p>If human bone or bone of unknown origin is found during construction, all work shall stop within 50 feet of the find and the Contra Costa County Coroner and the Tribe shall be contacted immediately. If the discovery of human remains is made on federal trust land, the BIA archaeologist shall be contacted immediately. If the remains are determined to be Native American, the coroner shall notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) who shall identify the most likely descendant. The most likely descendant shall work with the Tribe and/or BIA, as appropriate, to develop a plan for re-interment of the human remains and any associated artifacts. No additional work shall take place within the immediate vicinity of the find until the identified actions have been implemented.</p>	Revise	4.4-2, 4.4-3, 4.4-4	This mitigation measure was revised to reflect several changes between the Casino Project and the Modified Project, including alterations in the project description, changes to the Winehaven Historic District, updates to AB 52, and the shift from the requirement for a new (additional) Programmatic Agreement to an Unanticipated Discoveries Treatment Plan. The original mitigation measure was split into several mitigation measures in the SEIR to maintain clarity between measure topics.
5.2.6 SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS			
<p>6-1 The Tribe shall provide compensation to an organization that provides services for problem and pathological gamblers within ten miles of the project site for two new licensed counselors. Through the Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) between the Tribe and Contra Costa County, the County agrees to provide these additional professionals for gambling addiction prevention and treatment. Compensation to the County for costs related to these professionals is detailed in Section 4.7 and the IGA. The Tribe and County shall further engage in consultation every two years and assess the needs for</p>	Delete	Not Applicable	This mitigation measure was included in the 2011 FEIR because the document was prepared as a joint document to satisfy the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA). NEPA requires an analysis of a project's effects on socioeconomic conditions. Under CEQA, economic or social effects are not considered significant effects on the environment. Therefore, socioeconomic effects of the Modified Project are

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>counselors, based on the discussion of problem and pathological gambling treatment in Section 4.7.</p> <p>The Tribe shall also provide non-monetary support to the California Council on Problem Gambling, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conducts responsible gambling workshops for Casino employees; • Staffs a 24 hour/day, 7 days/week “Problem Gambling Help Line” with live professional counselors who can provide first contact crisis intervention; • Trains and certifies California Certified Gambling Counselors; • Provides information on cost accessible programs for those with compulsive gambling problems; and • Maintains a “Speakers Bureau” of volunteers to help educate children and adults at schools and community service forums 			<p>not analyzed in this SEIR. This mitigation measure is not applicable to the Modified Project.</p>
<p>6-2 The Tribe shall adopt a policy statement on problem gambling. The Tribe shall provide training to all appropriate employees regarding the identification of intoxicated patrons gambling; shall adopt procedures to prohibit intoxicated persons from gambling at the gaming establishment; and shall provide information to intoxicated gambling patrons regarding the dangers of intoxicated gambling, and available counseling and treatment resources.</p> <p>Additionally, the Tribe shall take special measures to prevent underage gambling including, at a minimum: (a) appropriate signage, detailing the age limit and possible penalties, shall be posted at all Casino entrance points; (b) Casino floor personnel shall be instructed to contact Casino Security when they suspect that a minor is gambling; and (c) Casino Security shall escort the minor from the Casino, and contact the appropriate law enforcement officials when warranted.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable	<p>This mitigation measure was included in the 2011 FEIR because the document was prepared as a joint document to satisfy the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA). NEPA requires an analysis of a project’s effects on socioeconomic conditions. Under CEQA, economic or social effects are not considered significant effects on the environment. Therefore, socioeconomic effects of the Modified Project are not analyzed in this SEIR. This mitigation measure is not applicable to the Modified Project.</p>
<p>6-3 The Tribe shall respectfully and confidentially provide the customer with written information that includes a list of professional gambling treatment programs and self-help groups. The Tribe shall provide information to its guests through signage, pamphlets, and an Internet website that describe the symptoms of problem gambling. Informational brochures shall be available throughout the Casino that discuss how a person knows that he or she has a gambling problem and the ramifications of such a problem in terms of family, friends and social obligations. The brochures shall provide a hotline number that is available to call 24 hours each day, including the Council on Compulsive Gambling of California’s 24-hour free and confidential Helpline, which offers problem gamblers and their families’ information and referral to self-help and professional services. All appropriate</p>	Delete	Not Applicable	<p>This mitigation measure was included in the 2011 FEIR because the document was prepared as a joint document to satisfy the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA). NEPA requires an analysis of a project’s effects on socioeconomic conditions. Under CEQA, economic or social effects are not considered significant effects on the environment. Therefore, socioeconomic effects of the Modified Project are not analyzed in this SEIR. This mitigation measure is not applicable to the Modified Project.</p>

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>Casino employees shall receive training in the identification of problem gambling. Employees shall offer customers information about available problem gambling resources when signs of problem gambling are evident.</p> <p>Within three months of the Project start date the Tribe shall adopt a comprehensive Responsible Gambling Program ("Program") to support the development of awareness and prevention programs for problem and underage gambling at the gaming establishment. The Manager shall provide a copy of the Program to the County Health Officer for review and comment, and shall consider all comments received, and shall strive to improve the Program on a continuing basis. County and Tribe shall each designate a representative for coordination of the County's Pathological Gambling Treatment Program with the Tribe's Responsible Gambling Program. Within three months of the Project start date, the two representatives shall meet to review opportunities for coordinating and for reviewing the effectiveness of the County's and the Tribe's programs. Additional meetings shall be scheduled quarterly or as determined by the representatives, for the purpose of ongoing coordination and continual improvement of the programs.</p>			
<p>6-4 The Tribe shall maintain a Self-Exclusion Policy whereby patrons may request a halt to casino promotional mailings, check cashing privileges, and player club privileges. A patron may also request to be physically excluded from the gaming establishment. Procedures shall be established that allow problem gamblers to assume the responsibility of excluding themselves from any form of gambling at the gaming establishment. These procedures shall outline the steps involved in the initiation of a Self-Exclusion Form, and provide for the processing and retention of the Self-Exclusion Form, patron's return and patron's reinstatement process. Additionally, the Tribe shall maintain an Involuntary Exclusion Policy whereby a patron may be involuntarily subjected to the same provisions associated with the Self-Exclusion Policy, for purposes of preventing the patron's problem gambling.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable	This mitigation measure was included in the 2011 FEIR because the document was prepared as a joint document to satisfy the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA). NEPA requires an analysis of a project's effects on socioeconomic conditions. Under CEQA, economic or social effects are not considered significant effects on the environment. Therefore, socioeconomic effects of the Modified Project are not analyzed in this SEIR. This mitigation measure is not applicable to the Modified Project.
5.2.7 TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC Construction			
<p>7-1 The Tribe shall provide the City of Richmond (City) and Contra Costa County with a Soil Disposal Plan one month prior the beginning of construction for Alternatives A, B, C, D and B1. The Soil Disposal Plan shall include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Soil haul truck routes • Soil disposal sites • Barge route • Traffic safety mitigation 	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to submit a Traffic Control Plan pursuant to the Richmond Municipal Code. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Road and right-of-way deterioration restoration funding Limits on times haul trucks and barges can operate. A two week notice shall be given to residents of the San Pablo Peninsula regarding any temporary blockage on Western Drive. The Tribe shall determine alternate construction and operational access routes during times of roadway construction in the vicinity of the project site. 			
<p>7-2 The Tribe shall coordinate all construction activities that would affect traffic flow on Western Drive with local emergency service providers at least one month in advance of construction. Emergency service providers shall be notified of the timing, location, and duration of construction activities. All roads shall remain passable to emergency service vehicles at all times. Western Drive shall remain passable to through traffic 24 hours a day, seven days a week to provide access to and from other land uses located on the San Pablo Peninsula. In the event that portions of Western Drive must be closed temporarily, reasonable detours shall be provided such that access to the San Pablo Yacht Harbor and other adjacent land uses is not restricted. This mitigation measure applies to Alternatives A, B, C, D, and B1.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to submit a Traffic Control Plan pursuant to the Richmond Municipal Code. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>7-3 The Tribe shall develop and provide the City and the California Department of Transportation with a Construction Coordination Plan one month prior the beginning of construction. Elements of the plan would include, but would not be limited to: routes, hours of operation, and sequencing. This mitigation measure applies to Alternatives A, B, C, D, and B1.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to submit a Traffic Control Plan pursuant to the Richmond Municipal Code. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
5.2.7 TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC Build-Out Year			
<p>7-4 The Tribe shall make a fair share contribution, as specified in Table 5-5, to re-stripe the eastbound approach of Richmond Parkway to provide a shared right through lane, where there is currently an exclusive right turn lane, would achieve a LOS D at the intersection of Richmond Parkway and Blume Drive/WB I-80 On/Off Ramps under all alternative conditions.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>7-5 The Tribe shall make a fair share contribution, as specified in Table 5-5, to the widening and signalization improvements at the intersection of Sir Francis Drake Boulevard and Andersen Drive proposed in the City of San Rafael's General Plan 2020 Circulation Element, page 173, Exhibit 2, <i>Major Planned Circulation Improvements for the intersection at Sir Francis Drake Blvd and Andersen Drive</i>.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
<p>7-6 Implement Mitigation Measures 3-16, 3-18, and 3-20. Implementation of these mitigation measures would reduce vehicle trips generated by the Proposed Project by providing accessible public transit.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
<p>7-7 The Tribe shall work with AC Transit and other regional transit providers to expand service, tie bus schedules to the timing of employee shifts, and provide new routes to serve employee population centers. The Tribe shall contribute the necessary funds to supplement AC Transit operating expenses to achieve the expanded services.</p> <p>The Tribe shall develop a Transit Plan that serves as a mechanism to implement the broad range of mitigation measures (Sections 5.2.3 and 5.2.7), improvement measures, and project design components concerning transportation issues. The Transit Plan shall be developed in consultation with regional transit providers, the City of Richmond, and the West Contra Costa Transportation Advisory Council (WCCTAC).</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
5.2.7 TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC Cumulative Year			
<p>7-8 The Tribe shall make a fair share contribution, as specified in Table 5-7, to add an additional exclusive left turn lane at the southbound approach of Richmond Parkway (Castro Street) at the intersection of Redwood Way /WB I-580 On/Off Ramps, providing two left turn lanes to achieve LOS D operations during the PM peak hour operations under all alternatives in the cumulative project condition.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>7-9 The Tribe shall make a fair share contribution, as specified in Table 5-7, to re-stripe the eastbound approach of the I-580 EB Off Ramp to provide a shared left through lane, where there is currently an exclusive left turn lane, to achieve LOS B at the intersection of Marine Street and EB I-580 On/Off Ramps under all alternatives.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
<p>7-10 The Tribe shall make a fair share contribution, as specified in Table 5-7, to add additional southbound and northbound through lanes on Richmond Parkway at the intersection of Gertrude Avenue to achieve LOS B operations during the weekday AM peak hour and LOS C during the PM peak hour for all alternatives.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
<p>7-11 The Tribe shall make a fair share contribution, as specified in Table 5-7, to re-stripe the southbound approach of Richmond Parkway at the intersection of Parr Boulevard to provide a shared right through lane where there is currently an exclusive right turn lane, to achieve LOS B operations during the AM peak hour under all alternatives in the cumulative project conditions; and to re-stripe the northbound approach of Richmond Parkway at the intersection of Parr Boulevard to provide a shared right through lane where there is currently an exclusive right turn lane to achieve LOS B operations during the PM peak hour under cumulative project conditions.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
<p>7-12 The Tribe shall make a fair share contribution, as specified in Table 5-7, to make the following reconfigurations and additions to mitigate operations of the Richmond Parkway and Blume Drive/WB I-80 On/Off ramps intersection to LOS D during the PM peak hour for all alternatives in the cumulative project condition:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Construction of an I-80 westbound on-ramp on the south side of Richmond Parkway; b. Re-striping of the existing northbound through lane to provide an additional exclusive right-turn lane, and the eastern-most existing right-turn lane becoming an on-ramp exclusive lane; c. Re-striping of the existing eastbound left-turn lane as an exclusive through lane, and the through movement for the shared-through-right lane would become an on-ramp exclusive lane; and d. Re-stripe the existing southbound approach to provide two right-turns, through, and left-turn lanes, using the existing width of the roadway. 	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>7-13 The Tribe shall make a fair share contribution, as specified in Table 5-7, to add approximately 1,600 feet of additional traffic lane on I-580 EB from the toll plaza to the Marine Street Off-Ramp. This would bring the total number of eastbound lanes to three and also add an additional Marine Street Off-Ramp lane, bringing the total number of off-ramp lanes to two. The roadway segment of I-580 at Marine Street Off-Ramp would achieve LOS D during the PM peak hour for all alternatives in the cumulative year.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
<p>7-14 The Tribe shall make a fair share contribution, as specified in Table 5-7, to convert two more of the existing manual/Fastrak lanes to exclusive Fastrak lanes at the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge toll plaza.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
<p>7-15 The Tribe shall make a fair share contribution, as specified in Table 5-7, to add one travel lane for a total of three lanes in each direction by remarking the existing deck of the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
<p>7-16 The Tribe shall make a fair share contribution, as specified in Table 5-7, to construct an additional eastbound through lane to achieve LOS D during the PM peak hour at the intersection of Richmond Parkway at Goodrick Avenue.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
<p>7-17 The Tribe shall make a fair share contribution, as specified in Table 5-7, to convert the existing northbound right turn lane to a combined through-right lane to achieve LOS D at the intersection of Richmond Parkway at Pittsburg Avenue.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
7-18 Housing on the project site (applicable only to Alternatives B, D, and B1) will be served with a free on-site shuttle system (fully funded by the Tribe) to BART, the Winehaven entertainment district, and the ferry pier.	Delete	Revised.	This mitigation measure was retained but revised for consistency with the Transportation Demand Management program described in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
5.2.7 TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC Improvement Measures			
7-19 The Tribe shall fund the City for signage directing patrons to the Proposed Project along the primary travel corridors. In addition, the Tribe shall provide funding to place street lights and underpass lighting along direct paths to the Proposed Projects from I-580 (applicable to Alternatives A, B, C, and B1).	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer needed to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
7-20 In conjunction with the widening and other improvements proposed for Western Drive (refer to Section 2.0), bicycle lanes in the roadway shall be provided from the project site to the existing trail under I-580 if the Bay Trail segment between the Point Molate and I-580 is not funded or functional by the time the Proposed Project becomes operational. In addition, a sidewalk will be provided along Western Drive for the length of the Point Molate property, regardless of functionality of the Bay Trail at the time the Project becomes operable (applicable to Alternatives A, B, C, D, and B1).	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations, including bike lanes as proposed under the Modified Project, as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer adequate to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
7-21 The Tribe shall fully fund widening Standard Avenue to add an additional eastbound through lane on Standard Avenue at Castro Street (applicable to Alternatives A, B, C, D, and B1).	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer needed to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
7-22 The Tribe shall fully fund widening the westbound approach to I-580 on Castro Street from Tewksbury Avenue to the I-580 westbound on-ramp to provide an additional exclusive lane for the I-580 westbound on-ramp (applicable to Alternatives A, B, C, D, and B1).	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer needed to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>7-23 The Tribe shall fully fund improvements to the Tewksbury Avenue bus turnaround. These improvements shall include: constructing bus shelters, improve bus benches, landscaping, and lighting (applicable to Alternatives A, B, C, D, and B1).</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer necessary to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
5.2.7 TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC Mitigation Measures			
<p>7-24 The Tribe shall pay the Traffic Impact Fees established by the City of Larkspur for impacts identified along Sir Francis Drake Boulevard. The fee is currently established at \$3,399 for each PM peak hour trip added by a project (CC Resolution No. 39/92). Alternative A would add 100 PM peak hour trips, Alternative B and B1 would add 114 PM peak hour trips, Alternative C would add 63 PM peak hour trips, and Alternative D would add 61 PM peak hour trips to Sir Francis Drake Boulevard in the City of Larkspur. Because the Larkspur General Plan Policy M states that "Sir Francis Drake Boulevard shall not be widened to allow additional through traffic lanes", the Traffic Impact Fees would be utilized to reduce trips in the area through transit, bicycle, and pedestrian improvements. Current plans for the area include improvements associated with the Central Marin Ferry Connection Project and the Sonoma Marin Area Rail Transit (SMART) Train Project. Transportation Impact Fees may also be appropriated to improve bus transit and shuttle service systems proposed for the SMART Train Project (applicable to Alternatives A, B, C, D, and B1).</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer necessary to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
<p>7-25 In the course of widening and improving Western Drive (applicable to Alternatives A, B, C, D, and B1), a left-hand turn pocket shall be provided to the entrance to the Caltrans maintenance yard located between the Richmond – San Rafael Bridge toll plaza and Western Drive.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable.	A new TIA was prepared for the SEIR and is included in Appendix D. The TIA evaluates project impacts based on existing traffic volumes and configurations as traffic conditions have changed significantly since preparation of the 2011 FEIR. This mitigation measure is no longer necessary to address impacts from the Modified Project. New impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are identified in Section 4.13 of the SEIR.
5.2.8 LAND USE AND PLANNING			
<p>8-1 The Tribe shall place a community warning sirens at appropriate locations on the project site.</p>	Delete	Not Applicable	The measure was included in the 2011 FEIR to reduce the potential for an impact relating to the compatibility of the proposed uses of the Modified Project with the nearby Chevron facility, which was determined to be less-than-significant impact prior to mitigation. However, CEQA does not require

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
			mitigation for impacts found to be less-than-significant; therefore, this mitigation is not applicable to the Modified Project. However, similar mitigation measure has been incorporated under the Hazardous Materials and Wildfire section as part of the emergency evacuation plan.
8-2 Approximately one-third of housing units will be set aside in a Tribe-subsidized affordable housing program for tribal members.	Delete	Not Applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with City Affordable Housing Ordinance.
8-3 For the proposed residential development, the Tribe shall coordinate with the City of Richmond Housing and Community Development Division to include an affordable housing component or pay an in-lieu fee to the City.	Delete	Not Applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the City Affordable Housing Ordinance.
5.2.9 PUBLIC SERVICES AND UTILITIES Water Supply			
9-1 The Tribe shall incorporate the following water conservation measures into development and operation of the selected project alternative: a. The Tribe shall comply with the Model Water Efficient landscape Ordinance, AB 325 (or the functional equivalent for Tribal trust land), including the development of a landscape documentation package including all the required provisions: I. Water Conservation Concept Statement II. Calculation of Maximum Applied Water Allowance III. Calculation of the Estimated Applied Water Use IV. Calculation of the Estimated Total Water Use V. Landscape Design Plan VI. Irrigation Design Plan VII. Irrigation Schedules VIII. Maintenance Schedule IX. Landscape Irrigation Audit Schedule X. Grading Design Plan XI. Soil Analysis XII. Certificate of Substantial Completion.	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with AB 325 and Section 31 of the City Municipal Code to incorporate water conservation and efficiency measures. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>b. The landscape architecture plan shall include the use of native, drought tolerant plants to reduce irrigation demands.</p> <p>c. The Tribe will comply with Section 31, Water Efficiency Requirements, of the Regulations Governing Water Service to Customers. The Water Efficiency Requirements that shall be implemented include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I. Inclusion of high efficiency toilets and low flow shower heads and faucets. II. Landscaping plans shall be submitted to EBMUD. III. No more than 20 percent of landscaping shall be non-native plants, these plants shall be grouped and irrigated separately and efficiently. IV. Irrigation systems shall avoid overspray and runoff and shall be operated at no more than 80 percent of the reference evapotranspiration. V. Irrigation controllers shall be automatic and self-adjusting to account for changes in the weather. VI. A dedicated irrigation meter shall be installed on the system. VII. Commercial refrigeration shall be air-cooled or closed looped if water cooled. VIII. Ice-machines shall be air-cooled or use no more than 25 gallons of water per 100 pounds of ice and be equipped with a recirculating cooling unit. <p>d. The Tribe shall limit the washing of bedding, towels, and linens from hotel activities to once after each guest's checkout, unless otherwise requested by the guest.</p> <p>e. All landscaping plans shall be reviewed and adjusted seasonally based on temperature and rainfall in order to minimize water use.</p>			
<p>9-2 The Tribe shall incorporate the provisions of the East Bay Municipal Utility District's (EBMUD) application process for water service and pay its corresponding fair share contribution for required upgrades to the off-site EBMUD distribution system.</p>	Revise	4.14-1	This mitigation measure was maintained, but additional language was added to include the preparation of a hydraulic analysis to determine specific upgrades to the existing EBMUD distribution system resulting from the Modified Project.
<p>5.2.9 PUBLIC SERVICES AND UTILITIES Wastewater Service</p>			
<p>9-3 The Tribe shall apply to connect to the Richmond Municipal Sewer District (RMSD) for conveyance and treatment of wastewater generated at the project site. In accordance with the application procedure, the Tribe shall pay its fair share for improvements, if necessary, consistent with typical commercial requests for service, to fund upgrades to the conveyance system to reduce existing rates of infiltration and inflow to</p>	Revise	4.14-2	This mitigation measure was maintained, but additional language was added to provide flexibility in funding sources, and to describe two necessary infrastructure improvements to the existing RMSD system which were identified in Appendix U .

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
such an extent as to provide adequate conveyance and treatment capacity for the selected alternative's peak day wastewater generation rate.			
5.2.9 PUBLIC SERVICES AND UTILITIES Construction-Related Solid Waste			
9-4 Construction waste shall be recycled to the fullest extent practicable by diverting green waste and recyclable building materials from the solid waste stream. To the extent feasible, existing asphalt and concrete shall be processed and reused on-site. To the extent practical, environmentally preferable materials shall be used in construction.	Delete.	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with CalGreen for diversion of greenwaste and recyclable building materials, and required to comply with WCCIWMA's solid waste management plan. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
5.2.9 PUBLIC SERVICES AND UTILITIES Operational Solid Waste			
9-5 The Tribe shall adopt a Solid Waste Management Plan (SWMP) that addresses recycling and solid waste reduction on the project site. The measures adopted under this plan shall be applied to the design of the hotel, casino and event center facilities, as well as the daily operations and waste disposal of the project. These measures shall include, but would not be limited to, the installation of a trash compactor for cardboard and paper products, recycling bins in each hotel room (unless housecleaners can more efficiently separate recyclables from trash during room cleaning) and next to every trash bin throughout the facilities, and an annual waste stream analysis. Regulations within the SWMP shall call for the diversion away from the waste stream of 50 percent of waste produced at the project.	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with West Contra Costa Integrated Waste Management Authority's SWMP. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
9-6 Trash containers shall be emptied daily. Dumpsters shall be located in an enclosed area with concrete floors, which slope to drains that connect to the facility's sanitary sewer system. Dumpsters shall be equipped with roofs and metal doors and shall be emptied and trash shall be removed from the site daily. The enclosed dumpster area shall be hosed down daily and all excess refuse shall be removed from the area. Trash shall be picked up from parking lots, grassy swales, and park area daily.	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with West Contra Costa Integrated Waste Management Authority's SWMP, which includes provisions for solid waste disposal. This is required

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
			by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
5.2.9 PUBLIC SERVICES AND UTILITIES Fire Protection and Emergency Medical Services			
<p>9-7 The Tribe shall use fire resistant construction materials for the larger buildings and equip all enclosed buildings with automatic sprinkler systems. The automatic sprinkler systems shall be designed to meet or exceed the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) standards governing the different occupancies associated with the project structures.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the California Building Codes, California Fire Codes, and the City of Richmond Zoning Ordinance. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>9-8 Twenty-four hour surveillance shall be implemented to afford timely detection and early intervention of any fires.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the California Fire Code. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project. Additionally, the Modified Project includes a joint fire and police station which would provide 24-hour fire protection to the Project Site.
<p>9-9 Through the use of modern construction and fire engineering techniques, the Tribe shall include built-in automatic systems designed to contain any fire to the room of origin.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the California Building Codes, California Fire Codes, and the City of Richmond Zoning Ordinance. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>9-10 Through the use of modern fire engineering technology, the Tribe shall create and maintain a facility equipped with the latest early detection systems to insure an initial response to any fire alarm (automatic, local, or report) within three minutes. This would rely on automatic sprinkler</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
systems in the occupied areas and smoke detection devices in the areas of the facility that are normally unoccupied, such as storerooms and mechanical areas.			jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the California Building Codes, California Fire Codes, and the City of Richmond Zoning Ordinance. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
9-11 Pursuant to Article 5 Section 5.1(b) of the MSA, the Tribe shall contract with the City at the City's actual cost, to provide services such as building, engineering and public works plan-checking and inspections with respect to all improvements on the Property (see page E-7 of Appendix C).	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the City of Richmond fee schedule for City services such as plan checking and inspections. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
9-12 The Tribe shall adopt a Fire Ordinance that is the functional equivalent of the City's Municipal Code 8.16.40	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply City's Municipal Code 8.16.40. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
9-13 Pursuant to Article 2 Section 2.3(a) of the MSA, the Tribe has agreed to provide a fire station on-site without charge and to maintain the office space in good working condition. To meet this obligation, the Tribe shall provide the City of Richmond with a Combined Emergency Service Center to facilitate adequate Fire Department response times on-site. The Combined Emergency Service Center, which would be located on the ground floor of the primary parking garage and shared with the Richmond Police Department, shall be approximately 7,500 sq. ft. (including vehicle space) and would have the following amenities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fire Department - 4 sleeping rooms, living room, showers, gym, restrooms, fueling station (diesel), double apparatus bay, and shall be first priority for back-up electrical generators. • Provide for one (1) fire captain and three (3) firefighter positions per shift on a 24-hour per day basis to meet the burdens undertaken by the Fire Department to serve the project site. 	Delete	Not applicable	The joint fire and police station that is proposed in the Modified Project is a project component and the building of the joint station shall be constructed by the Applicant. Therefore, funding for the construction of the joint station is no longer required as a mitigation measure.
9-14 The Tribe shall provide on-site security for casino operations to reduce and prevent criminal and civil incidents.	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included the development of a casino as part of the Proposed Project. The Modified Project does

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
			not include a casino development and therefore this security mitigation measure is no longer necessary.
<p>9-15 The Tribe shall adopt a “Responsible Alcoholic Beverage Policy” that would include, but not be limited to, requesting identification of patrons and refusing service to those who have had enough to drink. This policy shall be discussed with the Richmond Police Department.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with federal, State, and local alcoholic beverage regulations. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>9-16 All security guards shall carry two-way radios to respond to emergency related calls and to provide backup. This would aid in the prevention of criminal activity within gaming facilities.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included the development of a casino as part of the Proposed Project. The Modified Project does not include a casino development and therefore this security mitigation measure is no longer necessary.
<p>9-17 Areas surrounding the gaming facilities shall have “No Loitering” signs in place, be well lit, and be patrolled regularly to aid in the prevention of illegal loitering and loitering behavior that could potentially lead to other criminal acts.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included the development of a casino as part of the Proposed Project. The Modified Project does not include a casino development and therefore this security mitigation measure is no longer necessary.
<p>9-18 All parking areas shall be well lit and monitored by parking staff and/or roving security guards at all times during operation to aid in the prevention of auto theft and other related criminal activity.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included the development of a casino as part of the Proposed Project. The Modified Project does not include a casino development and therefore this security mitigation measure is no longer necessary.
<p>9-19 Pursuant to Article 2 Section 2.1 of the MSA, the Tribe has agreed to provide office space to the Police Department on-site without charge and to maintain the office space in good working condition. To meet this obligation, the Tribe shall provide the City of Richmond with a Combined Emergency Service Center to facilitate adequate Police Department response times on-site. This improvement measure would also apply to Alternative D, which is not covered by the MSA. The Combined Emergency Service Center, which would be shared with the Richmond Fire Department, shall be approximately 7,500 sq. ft. (including vehicle space) and would have the following amenities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Police Department - interview room, reception area, office space (up to 3 offices), combined meeting/break room, storage space, and restrooms. 	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. The joint fire and police station proposed will be required to comply with City Zoning Ordinance, California Building Code and California Fire Code when constructed because it will be required by law. Furthermore, the joint fire and police station is now a project component and is therefore no longer required as a mitigation measure.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>9-20 Pursuant to Schedule 2.2 of the MSA, the Tribe agrees to fund nine new Police Department staff positions and associated equipment in order to provide police services on-site under Alternatives A, B, C, and B1. The Tribe shall fund an additional two new Uniformed Patrol Beat police officer positions (total of 11 new staff positions).</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. The Modified Project would construct a joint fire and police station as part of the Modified Project. Further, the Project proponent will also be required to pay the City's impacts fees to cover the costs of providing City services to the Modified Project.
<p>9-21 Pursuant to Article 12 Section 2.1 of the MSA, the Tribe and the City shall meet on a quarterly basis to consider issues related to implementation of, amendments to, or concerns with, the MSA. As part of this process, Tribe and City shall periodically review the adequacy of police staffing on-site. Evaluation of the adequacy of police staffing shall consider objective criteria, including the number of calls responded to by the Richmond Police Department, response times, and the City's target officer-to-citizen ratio. The Tribe shall hire additional Tribal police or compensate the City to hire additional staff should the need arise.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. The joint fire and police station proposed would be run by the City's fire department and police department to the City's standards.
<p>5.2.9 PUBLIC SERVICES AND UTILITIES Electricity, Natural Gas, and Telecommunications</p>			
<p>9-22 The Tribe shall purchase and install onsite fuel cells, providing a source of clean alternative energy to the Proposed Project.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the City of Richmond's Green Building Standards, which include energy efficiency requirements and the City's Climate Action Plan. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>5.2.9 PUBLIC SERVICES AND UTILITIES Health and Safety</p>			
<p>9-23 For trust lands, the Tribe shall adopt a Tribal Ordinance related to the maintenance of health and safety of retail food facilities, public swimming pools/spas, well drilling, well abandonment, soil borings, and disposal of medical waste that is the functional equivalent of the applicable sections of the Contra Costa County Code of Ordinances.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with Contra Costa County Code of Ordinances. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>9-24 For lands held in fee title, the project proponent shall abide by all applicable sections of the Contra Costa County Code of Ordinances related to health and safety measures of retail food facilities, public swimming pools/spas, well drilling, well abandonment, soil borings, and disposal of medical waste.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with Contra Costa County Code of Ordinances. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
5.2.10 NOISE Construction Noise			
<p>10-1 Engine-powered construction equipment shall be fitted with adequate mufflers and enclosures, as supplied by the manufacturer. Such construction equipment shall be maintained in good condition.</p>	Revise	MM 4.10-1	This mitigation measure is required for compliance with the City of Richmond Municipal Code and has been incorporated into MM 4.10-1.
<p>10-2 Any engine-powered construction equipment located adjacent to residential uses for more than 5 days shall be shielded from those uses by temporary barriers.</p>	Revise	MM 4.10-1	This mitigation measure is required for compliance with the City of Richmond Municipal Code and has been incorporated into MM 4.10-1.
5.2.10 NOISE Operational Noise (Transportation and Refuse Noise)			
<p>10-3 The Tribe shall implement noise control measures, which may include, but not be limited to, compliance with Title 24 of the California Administrative Code (CCR Title 24) indoor noise standards, construction of berms, setbacks of residences approximately 275 feet from the centerline of Western Drive, or include structural design features to reduce inside noise levels, where feasible. The resort facilities will include at least one music/concert/banquet hall that is built to minimize the release of sound from within the hall. Noise control measures shall be incorporated into the project design, where feasible.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the California Administrative Code and City of Richmond noise ordinances. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>10-4 The project applicant shall incorporate site-specific features in the design of residential developments on the project site that reduces noise exposure at outdoor activity areas (e.g., private balconies and common outdoor activity areas), if feasible. For instance, outdoor activity areas that are part of a multifamily residential development could be located such that the building(s) serve as a sound barrier to the nearest predominant noise source. However, balconies should not be prohibited on the basis of noise exposure so long as applicable interior noise standards are achieved and so long as an outdoor activity area that satisfies the exterior noise standards is available to the residents.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the City of Richmond noise ordinances. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>10-5 Refuse dumpsters and commercial loading and unloading areas shall be located as far as reasonably possible from existing off-site sensitive receptors, as well as from common outdoor activity areas of proposed residential buildings. Refuse containers shall also be located such that buildings shield nearby residential land uses from noise generated by loading dock and garbage collection activities.</p>	Delete	Not applicable.	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the City of Richmond noise ordinances. This is required by law and is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
5.2.11 HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS			
<p>11-1 To protect construction personnel from potential exposure to undiscovered hazardous materials, a site-specific hazardous materials inadvertent discovery plan (Plan) or an equivalent soil and groundwater management plan (SMP) that addresses inadvertent discovery of hazardous materials, shall be developed for the project prior to any grading or ground disturbing activities. The Plan shall define protocols to be implemented if suspected contamination is found during mass grading and excavation activities associated with site development. These protocols shall include identification of how soils and affected groundwater are to be managed and requiring hourly field measurements within active excavation areas during hillside UST excavation. Hourly field measurements shall also be required within active soil stockpile areas and confined spaces. The Plan shall be implemented by a professional engineer registered in the State of California and shall include hourly field measurements for undiscovered contaminants using a photo ionization detector (PID) for measuring volatile organic compounds (VOCs), confined space monitor (oxygen, carbon monoxide, hydrogen sulfide, and lower explosive limit), and any other monitor deemed appropriate by the registered engineer. If deemed necessary by the engineer, soil samples shall be collected and analyzed for petroleum hydrocarbons in areas of suspected contamination. If suspected contamination is found during construction activities, all work shall stop in the immediate area and a safe zone for construction personnel shall be established. The extent of contamination shall be assessed to determine whether there is a significant health risk to construction personnel working on-site. The SMP would also include construction personnel safety protocols according to Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) guidelines to be implemented as part of the SMP. The Tribe shall ensure through contractual obligations that OSHA guidelines are followed during construction activity and any potential removal of affected soils.</p> <p>Construction and Remediation</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with federal, State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R2-2011-0087. This is required by law and compliance with this order is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project. Furthermore, a Soil and Groundwater Management Plan has been developed since the 2011 EIR for the Point Molate Site with the exception of IR Site 3 and 4. Therefore, a soil and groundwater management plan is not required for these areas any longer.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>The following mitigation measures should be included as part of a site specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) to reduce the potential for hazardous materials releases during construction and remediation of Alternatives A, B, C, D, and B1:</p> <p>a. Any hazardous materials or fuels that would be stored temporarily for project construction and remediation shall be properly containerized to minimize the potential for release.</p> <p>b. The Tribe shall ensure, through the enforcement of contractual obligations, that all contractors prepare hazardous materials business plans and that they transport, store, and handle construction and remediation-related hazardous materials in a manner consistent with applicable regulations and guidelines. Recommendations may include, but are not limited to, transporting and storing materials in appropriate and approved containers, maintaining required clearances, and handling materials in accordance with the applicable federal, state, and/or local regulatory agency protocols.</p> <p>c. In compliance with the Clean Water Act (CWA), a SWPPP shall be prepared that addresses hazardous materials impacts associated with construction, remediation, and operation of the project. Hazardous materials control measures identified in the SWPPP shall include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A spill prevention and countermeasure plan shall be developed, which identifies proper storage, collection, and disposal measures for potential pollutants (such as fuel, fertilizers, pesticides, etc.) used on-site. • Petroleum products shall be stored, handled, used, and disposed of properly in accordance with provisions of the Clean Water Act (33 USC § 1251 to 1387). • During the wet season, construction materials, including topsoil and chemicals, and quarried materials transported by barge (regardless of the season) shall be stored, covered, and isolated to prevent runoff losses and contamination of surface and groundwater. • Fuel and vehicle maintenance areas shall be established away from all drainage courses and designed to control runoff. • Sanitary facilities shall be provided for construction workers. • Disposal facilities shall be provided for soil wastes, including excess asphalt during construction and demolition. • The Tribe shall require all workers be trained in the proper handling, use, cleanup, and disposal of all chemical materials used 			

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>during construction activities and provide appropriate facilities to store and isolate contaminants.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encountered groundwater shall be removed from trenches and excavations in such a manner as to reduce potential contact with construction materials, construction personnel, surface waters, and, to the extent required by regulation or requirements, shall be disposed of at an appropriately permitted facility such as a WWTP in accordance with the requirements of the NPDES permit. <p>Operation The following mitigation measures would reduce the potential for hazardous materials releases during operation of Alternatives A, B, C, D, E, and B1:</p> <p>a. Landscaping chemicals such as pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers would consistently be kept at the lowest volumes needed and in the least toxic amounts. Such products shall be applied in a manner that prevents contact with groundwater, streams, domestic water supply, or wetlands. This shall be achieved in conjunction with mitigation providing for the planting of native vegetation that requires less, or no, pesticides or herbicides.</p>			
<p>11-2 A site specific emergency response plan (ERP) would be developed for the selected alternative (applicable to Alternatives A – D and B1). The Plan shall identify measures to be employed for sheltering in-place and evacuation of the project site in the event of an ammonia or chemical release from the Chevron-Richmond Refinery. The ERP shall identify protocols such as emergency evacuation routes in the case of an earthquake, wildfire, and chemical release. The ERP shall include integration with the Contra Costa Health Service (CCHS) Community Warning System (CWS), and placement of warning sirens throughout the project site. The Plan shall be developed in coordination with CCHS to ensure an adequate level of emergency preparedness for patrons visiting the project site.</p>	Revise	Mitigation Measure 4.7-1	An emergency response plan would be developed for Modified Project consistent with the provisions included in the original measure of the 2011 FEIR, but additional details have been added to increase the effectiveness of the ERP.
<p>11-3 The responsible party (Discharger) shall continue ongoing remedial and clean up actions at the previously identified installation restoration (IR) sites, underground storage tanks (USTs), and all additional areas on the project site that are identified in SFRWQCB Site Cleanup Orders. The ongoing cleanup will be completed under the regulatory oversight of SFRWQCB. Under each land use alternative, clean up levels for the site will be such that potential significant risks to human health and the environment are not present.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with federal, State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R2-2011-0087. This is required by law and compliance with this order is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
<p>11-4</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>Clean-up Levels: The site specific Fuel Product Action Levels (FPALs) do not address cleanup levels at or below the groundwater table. The establishment and approval of the cleanup of residual contamination below the groundwater table for all land use scenarios that are protective of human health and the environment will be required through input from the SFRWQCB.</p>			<p>therefore would not have been required to comply with federal, State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R2-2011-0087. This is required by law and compliance with this order is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project. Furthermore, a Soil and Groundwater Management Plan has been developed since the 2011 EIR for the Point Molate Site with the exception of IR Site 3 and 4. Therefore, a soil and groundwater management plan is not required for these areas any longer.</p>
<p>11-5 IR-01: Former Landfill Area: The responsible party shall continue groundwater, landfill, and oil/water separator (OWS) monitoring in accordance with the Post Closure Monitoring Plan (PCMC) and the Order. Ongoing groundwater monitoring and operation and maintenance (O&M) of the IR-01 groundwater containment extraction system would continue under the Final Post Closure Maintenance and Monitoring Plan (FPCMMP) and the Order. To limit potential health risk liability, access to IR-01 shall be restricted to groundskeepers and maintenance workers. Existing residential land use restrictions shall continue in order to prohibit disturbance of the soil cover. Monitoring of the functionality and effectiveness of the remedial system must be conducted every five years as documented in the Final Record of Decision (ROD) for the Disposal and Reuse of Fleet and Industrial Supply Center, Naval Fuel Depot Point Molate. Any new information discovered during such reviews that change the performance of the groundwater containment extraction system shall be reviewed and approved through the SFRWQCB.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	<p>This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with federal, State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R2-2011-0087 and Title 27 CCR Chapter 3, Subchapter 5. This is required by law and compliance is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.</p>
<p>11-6 Groundwater shall continue to be monitored to evaluate VOC concentrations. To reduce potential impacts to less-than-significant levels, impacted soils shall be remediated, if required by the SFRWQCB. Remediation is likely to include removal of soils to a depth of approximately 3 feet bgs in the areas adjacent to Building No. 87.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	<p>This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with federal, State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R2-2011-0087. This is required by law and compliance with this order is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project. Furthermore, a Soil and Groundwater Management Plan has been developed since the 2011 EIR for the Point Molate Site with the exception of IR Site 3 and 4. This plan includes measures for monitoring VOC and soil management, and IR Site 3</p>

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
			and 4 will be required to develop their own soil and groundwater management plans, although IR Site 3 is applying to be included in the current soil and groundwater management plan for the Point Molate Site.
<p>11-7 IR-03 (Former Treatment Ponds Area): Affected soils in the areas of the former treatment ponds shall be remediated to remove mobile petroleum fractions in soils as required by the SFRWQCB. The responsible party would complete excavation and disposal of impacted soils to a depth mandated by the SFRWQCB. Excavation of shallower soils (less than 10 feet bgs) with containment concentrations exceeding industrial/commercial action levels will be disposed of off-site at one or more approved landfills. Remediation of the deeper contaminated soils (below 10 feet bgs) will include excavation and off-site disposal of soils that contain more mobile fractions of TPH from various areas within IR-03. One alternative for remediation would include excavating a 10-foot-wide section of soils up gradient of the containment wall and replacing those soils with an adsorbent mix of silty sand and peat to capture any unexpected leachable petroleum that may have missed excavation. Upon removal of these affected soils and prior to site development, confirmation soil sampling in the area of use would be conducted.</p> <p>The Site Cleanup Requirements Order will provide for early implementation of the selected remedial action, followed by long-term monitoring of groundwater wells at the site. Regulatory closure would occur when the SFRWQCB issues a No Further Action statement for specific areas, while the responsible party would continue operation and maintenance (O&M) of the groundwater containment extraction system until the system is no longer required at which time the system would be decommissioned and removed upon SFRWQCB concurrence.</p> <p>Excavation of affected soils within the former treatment ponds area would be conducted according to a site specific SMP that would be approved by the SFRWQCB. Such a plan would define excavation protocols that would be implemented during removal of the affected soils. The SMP would provide guidance on the proper procedures for the handling and disposal of affected soils and safeguards to ensure human health risks remain less than significant according to approved OSHA guidelines. The Tribe shall ensure through contractual obligations that OSHA guidelines are followed during soil removal. SMP would also include field measurements for VOCs as stated in Mitigation Measure 11-1 above. If a residual human health risk is suspected, all work shall stop in the immediate area and a safe zone for</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with federal, State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R2-2011-0087. This is required by law and compliance with this order is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project. Furthermore, additional remediation has occurred since the 2011 EIR, such as the installation of a groundwater extraction trench and excavation of contaminated soil. Therefore, certain remediation actions, such as the removal of contaminated soil, is no longer required.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
construction personnel shall be established according to OSHA regulations.			
11-8 IR-04: Northern and Southern shoreline areas and Drum Lot 1: The responsible party shall continue ongoing groundwater monitoring under the base wide monitoring programs (BWMPs), as well as operation and maintenance (O & M) of the groundwater containment extraction system in the northern shoreline and Drum Lot 1 areas. Land use restrictions in the northern shoreline areas would ensure no use occurs that would create a potential human health risk. For the southern shoreline area, the source of free product at MW-10-23 and, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) impacts near former valve box VB-1, VB-2, and VB-3 shall be remediated to the extent required by the SFRWQCB Order. Soils in the area of the former valve boxes that contain PAHs shall be removed to ensure no significant human health risks would occur under the planned redevelopment. Regulatory closure allowing unrestricted use would occur when the SFRWQCB issues a No Further Action statement. Land use restrictions for the shoreline areas shall be maintained unless otherwise allowed by the SFRWQCB.	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with federal, State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R2-2011-0087. This is required by law and compliance with this order is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project.
11-9 IR-04: Drum Lot 2 and Building 87: The Site Cleanup Requirements Order will require removal of the source of TCE at Drum Lot 2. Soils that exceed target levels for dieldrin and benzo (a) pyrene adjacent to Building 87 would be excavated and removed from the project site as required by the SFRWQCB. A remedial alternative has not yet been selected; however, it is anticipated that source removal activities can be completed by excavation and temporary dewatering, followed by groundwater monitoring. Excavation is expected to eliminate significant exposure pathways that could lead to human health impacts. Existing land use restrictions shall remain in place until remediation has been accomplished to the satisfaction of the SFRWQCB. A site management plan would be developed and implemented in order to reduce future potential impacts to less-than-significant levels.	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with federal, State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R2-2011-0087. This is required by law and compliance with this order is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project. Furthermore, groundwater treatment for trichloroethene was conducted from 2012 to 2013 and a human health risk assessment was prepared to assess additional data requirements before additional remediation can occur.
11-10 Underground Storage Tanks 2 -6, 8, 12-15, 18 and 19: Until land use restrictions allowing for unrestricted use and regulatory closure of underground storage tanks (USTs) 2 through 6, 8, 12 through 15, 18, and 19 are granted, land use restrictions and BWMP would continue to be maintained in compliance with SFRWQCB directives.	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with federal, State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R2-2011-0087. This is required by

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
			law and compliance with this order is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project. Furthermore, underground storage tanks 4, 12, and 14 have received regulatory closure since the 2011 EIR.
11-11 USTs 1 through 3, 5, 7, and 15: Prior to regulatory closure of the excavated hillside tanks, confirmation testing for lower molecular weight hydrocarbons should be completed to verify that there are no residual vapor intrusion issues. These areas are to be developed for the casino resort complex, northern residential component (Alternative D only), Tribal office, cultural dance house, ceremonial dance grounds, and resort hotel cabanas. If necessary, soil vapor extraction systems shall be installed to remove vapor phase constituents and ensure no human health impacts remain within the excavation areas.	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with federal, State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R2-2011-0087. This is required by law and compliance with this order is no longer included as a mitigation measure for the Modified Project. Furthermore, underground storage tank 15 has applied for regulatory closure since the 2011 EIR and, therefore, may not require further vapor testing, but the regulatory closure is pending approval from the RWQCB.
11-13 Any construction equipment that normally includes a spark arrester shall be equipped with an arrester in good working order. This includes, but is not limited to, vehicles, heavy equipment, and chainsaws.	Revise	Mitigation Measure 4.7-2	This mitigation measure was maintained, but it was combined with Mitigation Measure 11-14.
11-14 During construction, staging areas and areas slated for development using spark-producing equipment shall be cleared of dried vegetation or other materials that could serve as fire fuel. To the extent feasible, the contractor shall keep these areas clear of combustible materials in order to maintain a firebreak.	Revise	Mitigation Measure 4.7-2	This mitigation measure was maintained, but it was combined with Mitigation Measure 11-13.
5.2.12 AESTHETICS			
12-1 a. The project site shall be landscaped to soften the view of the facilities from off-site locations. b. Landscaping shall be designed to be compatible with existing vegetation on site and in surrounding areas.	Revise	Mitigation Measure 4.1-1	The mitigation measure was revised to be more specific to landscaping for screening of utility infrastructure.
12-2 a. The new buildings shall be designed to be visually compatible with the existing buildings and in accordance with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for infill development in historic districts. b. Building materials and colors shall be chosen to enhance the aesthetics of the project site.	Delete	Not applicable	The Modified Project would include rezoning to a PA District, which includes a design review by the Richmond Design Review Board to ensure aesthetic compatibility of facilities and landscaping, so this measure is no longer necessary.

MITIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT MEASURES	PROPOSED REVISIONS?	MITIGATION MEASURE IN SEIR	EXPLANATION OF REVISIONS
<p>12-3</p> <p>a. Placement of floodlights on buildings and throughout the project site shall be designed so as not to cast light off-site. In order to minimize off-site impacts of light and glare, lighting shall adhere to the “full cutoff” standard developed by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA, 2001).</p> <p>b. Shielding, such as with a horizontal shroud, shall be used for all outdoor lighting so as to ensure it is downcast.</p> <p>c. Timers shall be utilized so as to limit lighting to when necessary.</p>	Delete	Not applicable	This measure was previously recommended because the 2011 FEIR included taking land into federal trust and therefore would not have been required to comply with State and local regulations. Because the Modified Project would be under state and local jurisdiction, the Modified Project is required to comply with the California Energy Commission outdoor lighting requirements.