

City of Richmond, California
 Community Police Review Commission
PUBLIC CASE SUMMARY

Case #	Date Received	Date Completed
2018-1	1/2/2018	5/6/2020

CATEGORY OF CONDUCT: (check one)

Unnecessary Force	Racial Bias

NEITHER

FINDING OF FACT: (brief summary of complaint and investigation)

This complaint alleges in relevant part that employees of the Richmond Police Department failed to “register the acts [Celeste Guap] did prostitution with police officers and the police officers did not register the acts of prostitution under her real name to the Department of Justice. Why did this woman get special treatment?” The only real investigative issue presented by this complaint is whether it alleges issues that are within the investigative authority of the CPRC under its Ordinance.

The dispositive issue in this investigation is whether the allegations of the complaint are within the investigative authority of the CPRC, as set out in Richmond Municipal Code Chapter 3.54. With regard to complaints by the public, the RCPC Ordinance provides that “[t]he Investigative Officer shall [. . .] conduct investigations of resident complaints alleging use of unreasonable force and/or racially abusive behavior by Richmond Police Officers.” It is clear from the complaint that it is not alleging unreasonable force or racially abusive behavior. Rather, the complaint is that RPC employees did not use proper procedures in reporting an alleged criminal’s behavior to the DOJ. The allegation of the complaint therefore is not within the investigative authority of the CPRC.

The CPRC therefore finds that it does not have the authority to investigate the allegations of this complaint or make findings on the allegations.

RECOMMENDED FINDING: (check one)

<u>SUSTAINED</u>	<u>NOT-SUSTAINED</u>	<u>UNFOUNDED</u>	<u>EXONERATED</u>

NONE.